# **INFLUENCE OF PLANT SPACING AND PLANT GROWTH** REGULATORS ON GROWTH AND YIELD PERFORMANCE **OF TUBEROSE (Polianthes tuberosa)**

#### BY

### **MD. SELIMUR RAHMAN**

Reg.No. 07-02633

A Thesis

Submitted to the Department of Horticulture and Postharvest Technology Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

#### **MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.S.)**

#### IN

#### **HORTICULTURE**

### SEMESTER: JULY - DECEMBER, 2008

### Approved by:

Prof.M.A. Mannan Miah

Dept. of Horticulture and Postharvest Technology Co-supervisor

Prof A.K. M. MahtabUddin Chairman **Examination Committee** 

Md. Hasanuzzaman Akand **Associate Professor** Dept. of Horticulture and Postharvest Technology **Supervisor** 

 $138(02)$ Hort.<br>roxx 21/01/10 Grond



**DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE & PT** Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207

Memo No: SAU/HORT./ (09)/

Date:

### **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, "INFLUENCE OF PLANT SPACING AND PLANT GROWIH REGULATORS ON GROWIH AND YIELD **PERFORMANCE OF TUBEROSE (Polianthes tuberosa L.) submitted to the** Department of Horticulture and Postharvest Technology . Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE IN HORTICULTURE embodies the result of a piece of bona-fide research work carried out by Md.Selimur Rahman, Registration No. 02633 under my supervision and guidance. No part of the thesis has been submitted for any other degree or diploma.

I further certify that any help or source of information, received during the course of this investigation has been duly acknowledged.

Dated: 31.12. 2008

SHER-E-R

Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Supervisor** 

Md. Hasanuzzaman Akand **Associate Professor** Dept. of Horticulture and Postharvest Technology Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka.



# **Acknowledgement**

All prices, gratitude and thanks are due to *"Almighty* Allah" who enabled the author to complete the work succcssfiully.

The author gets pleasure in expressing indebtness to his Supervisor Md. Hasanuzzaman Akand, Associate professor, Department of Horticulture & Postharvest Technology, Sher-e-Bangla Agyicultural University (SAU). for his guidance, constructive criticism and valuable suggestion in successful completion of the rcscarch work and preparation of this thesis profound gratitude is expressed to his honorable co-supervisor, Prof. M. A. Mannan Miah, Department of Horticulture & Postharvest Technology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University (SAU), Dhaka, Bangladesh for the valuable advice and constructive criticism provided in preparing the thesis.

The author expresses his cordial and most sincere gratitude to all teachers and stuff of the Department of Horticulture & Postharvest Technology for their help, valuable suggestions and encouragement during the period of the study. The author is highly great to his friends for their valuable and helpful suggestions.

Finally, the author is grateful to her beloved mother, father, sister, brother for their blessings, advice, inspiration and sacrifice during the study period.

The author



 $\mathbf{i}$ 

# INFLUENCE OF PLANT SPACING AND PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON GROWTH AND YIELD **PERFORMANCE OF TUBEROSE (Polianthes tuberosa)**

### BY

# MD. SELIMUR RAHMAN

#### ABSTRACT

An experiment (RCBD) was conducted at the Horticulture Farm of Shere-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during May 2007 to April 2008 to study the effect of spacing  $(S_1: 20 \times 15 \text{ cm}, S_2: 20 \times 20 \text{ cm} \text{ and } S_3: 20 \times 20 \text{ cm} \text{ cm}$ 25) and  $GA_3(G_0: 0 \text{ ppm}, G_1: 220 \text{ ppm}, G_2: 240 \text{ ppm}$  and  $G_3: 260 \text{ ppm}$  on growth, bulb and flower yield of tuberose. The widest plant spacing result in the highest bulb yield (9.61 t/ha) and flower yield (10.19 t/ha) whereas the closest plant spacing produced the lowest. Plant growth regulator  $(GA<sub>3</sub>)$  had also significant influence on the yield of tuberose. The higher level of  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) performed the highest bulb (9.81 t/ha) and flower  $(11.60 \t{t}$ ha) production. In respect of combined effect, the widest plant spacing (20 x 25 cm) with the highest level of  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ), produced the highest (9.71t/ha) yield of bulb and flower (10.89 t/ha). The lowest was recorded from the treatment combination of  $S_0G_0$ . Considering above findings the widest plant spacing (20 x 25 cm) with the highest level  $GA_3(260$  ppm  $GA_3$ ) may be used for tuberose cultivation

## **CONTENTS**



## *CONTENTS*



## **CONTENTS**



# LIST OF TABLES



# LIST OF FIGURES



## LIST OF PLATES



### *LIST OF APPENDICES*





# ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

- = Days After Planting DAP
- $\mathbf N$ =Nitrogen
- P =Phosphorus
- $\bf K$  $=$  Potassium
- =Relative Humidity  $RH$
- et al.  $=$  and others
- Viz.  $=$  Namely



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

Tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa L.)* belonging to the family Amaryllidacaae, produce attractive, elegant and fragrant white flowers. It occupies a very selective and special position to flower loving people because of its prettiness, elegance and sweet pleasant fragrance. It has a great economic potential for cut flower trade and essential oil industry (Sadhu and Bose, 1973). The flowers remain fresh for quite a long time and stand distance transportation and fill a useful place in the flower market (Desai, 1957).

The long spikes of tuberose are used for vase decoration and bouquet preparation and the florets for making artistic garlands, ornaments and buttonhole use. The flowers emit a delightful fragrance and are the source of tuberose oil. The natural flower oil of tuberose is one of the most expensive perfumer's raw materials.

Tuberose is a native of Mexico from where it spread to the different parts of the world during 16th century. How and when the tuberose found its entrance to India., Cylon and elsewhere in the Orient is probably is probably an unanswerable question (Yadav and Maity, 1989). Now a day, it is cultivated on large scale in France, Italy, South Africa, USA and in many tropical and sub-tropical areas, including India even Bangladesh.

In Bangladesh, its commercial cultivation was introduced during 1980 by some pioneer and innovative farmers at Panishara union of Jhikorgacha thana under Jessore district. Due to multi use, it holds a high demand in the market and its production is appreciable (Aditya, 1992).

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

Although tuberose is now under cultivation in the country, very little knowledge of production technology is at hand to the growers (Ahmed, 1985).

There are many factors which can affect the plant growth and economic cultivation of tuberose. Spacing is of prime importance among the cultural factors which greatly influences the growth, bulbing and flower production. For economic production and good yield, proper spacing is to be determined. In case of low density, there is actually loss of land, labor and energy. When plants are grown at high density, competition occurs among plants for space, water, nutrient, light, carbondioxide and oxygen. Developmental process also depends on plant spacing. At closer spacing, flowering may occurs early before the completion of full vegetative growth, often senescence starts earlier and develops faster at wider plant spacing (Vandor Valk & Timmer, 1974). In case of tuberose, number of flower spikes per plant and bulb production increases with spacing (Mukhopdahyay *et* al. 1986). However, there are reports that the maximum production of bulbs can be achieved from higher plant spacing (Cirrito and Zizzo, 1980).

Application of certain growth substances has been found to influence the growth and flowering of tuberose (Bose and Yadav, 1998). Mukhopdahyay and Banker (1983) sprayed the plants of cv. Single with GA<sub>3</sub> and observed that GA<sub>3</sub> increased spike length and number of flower per spike. Duration of flower in the field was improved with GA<sub>3.</sub> According to Dhua et al. (1987), treatment with GA<sub>3</sub> caused earliest flowering and gave the maximum yield of spikes and flowers.

In Bangladesh, a little work has been done in respect of plant spacing and use of plant growth regulator for tuberose cultivation. So, research work is lack about the production technique of tuberose. Considering the facts, such research is very important for the greater interest of the scientist as well as the growers of our country.

The present study is, therefore, undertaken with the following objectives:

- I. to find out the suitable plant spacing of tuberose in order to get a maximum growth, flower and bulb yield.
- 2. to determine the appropriate combination of plant spacing and growth regulator for ensuring the growth, flower and bulb production of tuberose.





#### **CHAPTER II**

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Tuberose is one of the most important cut flower in the world. Many research works has been done on various aspects of this important cut flower in different countries of the world. However, a limited research works has been carried out on this flower under Bangladesh condition. A review of literature related to the present study has been presented in this chapter.

# **2.1 Effect of plant spacing on the growth, bulb and flower production in** tuberose

Singh and Sangama (2000) noted the *effect* of seven plant spacing, viz. 30 X 30, 30 X 20, 30 X 10,20 X 20, 20 X *12.5,* 20 X 10 and 20 X *8.5*  cm, on vegetative growth, flowering and postharvest quality of cut spikes in tuberose cv. Single was investigated at Bangalore, Karnataka, India, during 1997-98. Wider spacing resulted in longer rachis and heavier individual florets. Closer spacing produced higher yield of cut flower and loose flower per plot basis. Wider and closer spacing have vice versa effect on above floral parameters. Rest of the studied parameters namely, plant height number of leaves per clump, spike length. diameter of second floret, flowering duration under field condition and number of florets per spike and their corresponding weight and post harvest quality of cut flower were not influenced significantly by the plant densities.

Patel et al. (1997) conducted with three spacing (45 X45 cm, 45 X30 cm or 45 X15 cm) and 4 fertilizer rates (5 kg organic manure/m<sup>2</sup> or NPK at 100+50+0, 200±100±50 or 300+ 200+ 100 kg/ha) were compared in trials in Navsari, Gujarat, India, in *1992-95* with Polianthes tuberosa (cv.

Double) grown for cut flower. Neither plant height nor leaf width was affected by the different spacing or fertilizer treatments. Leaf number was highest with the widest spacing and highest NPK fertilizer rate. The field of flower spikes/plant was similar in all treatments but the yield/ha was highest at the closest spacing (1047530 spikes/ha). Flower spike length and the number of *floretsls pike* were highest and the closest spacing with the highest NPK rate. The highest cost benefit ratios were obtained with the closest spacing (45 cm X15cm) and the highest NPK rate or organic manure.

*A* filed experiment was conducted by Balak *a' aL(1999)* during 1994-96 at Bantha Research Station, Lucknow, India, to determine the N and plant spacing requirements of (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.), grown in sodic soil. Application of 180kg N/ha with a plant spacing of *45 X* 30 cm significantly influenced growth (plant height, leaf area, number of spikes and spike length), and was the best treatment for promoting flower yield.

The N, P and K uptake by Polianthes tuberosa cv. Single was studied in an experiment conducted by Mohanty *et at,* (1999) in Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India. Treatments consisted of 3 intrarow spacing 10  $(S<sub>1</sub>)$ , 20  $(S<sub>2</sub>)$  and 30  $(S<sub>3</sub>)$  cm, keeping a constant interrow spacing of 30 cm; and /or 4 NPK application rates (100kg N +50kg  $P_2O_5$  +50 kg K<sub>2</sub>0/ha (F<sub>1</sub>), 175 kg N+ 75kg  $P_2O_5 + 75$  g K<sub>2</sub>0/ha (F<sub>2</sub>), 250 kg N + 100 kg  $P_2O_5 + 100$  kg K<sub>2</sub>0/ha (F<sub>3</sub>), and 325 kg N +125 kg P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub> + 125 kg K<sub>2</sub>0/ha (F<sub>4</sub>). F<sub>4</sub>,S<sub>3</sub> and its combination resulted in the highest N,P and K uptake, both at *50%*  flowering stage and harvesting stage.

Sunil and Singh (1998) conducted, 2- year experiments at Kanpur, P tuberosa bulbs of diameter  $11.5$ -2.0,2.1-2.5 or 2.6-3.0 cm were planted at spacing of 20 X20, 30 X 20 or 30 X30 cm given N at 0, 100, 200 or 300 kg /ha. Bulb yields increased with increasing N rate and initial bulb size and with wider spacing.

Singh and Sangama (2000) studied the N, B and K uptake by Polianthes tuberosa cv. Single conducted in Bapatla, Andhra Pardesh, India. Treatments consisted of *3* intrarow spacing ( 10(S1), 20 (S2) and 30 (S3) cm), Keeping a constant interrow spacing of 30 cm; and / or 4 NPK application rates (100 kg N+ 50 kg P205 + 50 kg K20/ha ( $F1$ ). 175 kg  $N+$  75 kg P205 + 75 kg K20/ha (F2), 250kg N + 100 kg P205+ 100kg K20/ha (F3), and *325* kg N + 125kg P205 + *125* kg K20/ha (F4), F4,S3 and its combinations resulted in the highest N , P and K uptake , both at 50% flowering stage and harvesting stage.

Field experiments were conducted by Misra *et* aL(2000) to determine the effect of bulb size spacing on plant growth and flowering of two tuberose (Polianthes tuberose L.) cultivars (Single and Double) in Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, during 1997 - 1998. Bulb size significantly influenced the initiation of spikes in both cultivars. The maximum days for spike initiation by smaller bulb size was 170.8 and 222.7 days for single and double cultivars, respectively. The larger bulb size produced the highest number of spikes/plant for both cultivars. With closer spacing, the plants took a longer time to produce spikes than wider spaced-plants. The number of spikes/plant was higher in wider spaced-plants. The spike length and number of florets decreased in closer spaced-plants. However, a bulb size of  $2.60 - 3.00$  cm at 30 x30 cm spacing was the best for both the cultivars.

6

Patil et al.(1987), conducted an experiment, they used rhizomes having *1.5 -2.5, 2.6 — 3.0 cm* diameterl5 x 20,20 x 20 and 25 x 20 cm spacing and the plants are grown for three years for cut flowers. The highest yield of top quality flowers were obtained from the large rhizome planted at 15 x 20 cm.

Kumar *et* al.(2003) studied the effect of bulb size *(<1.5,* 1.5 —2.5 or *2.5* - *3.5* cm in diameter), spacing (20 x20, 25 x *25,* 30 x 30 cm) and planting depth (3, 6 or 9 cm) on growth and development of tuberose *(polianthes tuherosa* L. cv. Single) in Unium, Mcghalaya, India, during 1998 and 1999. Sprouting was delayed with the increase in bulb size, planting depth and reduction in spacing. Large bulb resulted in the earliest spike emergence (93.89). Spike emergence was delayed with the increase of the planting depth. Spike length 88.78 and 89.37 cm and rachis lengths 19.76 and 20.06 cm were greatest with the medium and large size bulbs. The depth of planting was inversely related to flower quality in terms of spike and rachis length. Thus, the longest length of spike 89.52 cm and rachis length 19.48 cm were obtained with a planting depth with of 9 cm. The number of flower spike decreased with deep planting of small size of bulb at closer spacing. The number of floret/spike increased with the increase of spacing. Thus the highest number of florets/spike (33.70) was recorded from the spacing of 30 x 30 cm. This parameter, however, was independent of bulb size and planting depth. Increasing bulb size 2.5 cm and planting depth up to 9 cm increased bulb production.. Small bulb in combination with the widest spacing resulted in the earliest bulb sprouting 8.28 days, medium bulbs with moderate planting depth 6 cm and spacing 25 x 25 cm gave higher yield of flower and bulb

Bulbs of tuberose cv. Single 1.5-2.0, 2.1-2.5 or 2.6-3.0 cm in diameter were planted as spacing of 20 X20, 30 X20 or 30 X30 cm by Sunil and Singh (1998) on 22 March 1991 or 15 march 1992 and given 0,100,200 or 300 kg N/ha as urea. The urea was applied half at planting and then as 2 top dressings 60 and 90 days later. Emergence was earliest from the smallest bulbs planted at the widest spacing and given the highest N rate. Cut flower field and quality and bulb production were greatest from the largest bulbs planted at the widest spacing and given the highest N rate.

### 2.1 Effect of growth regulator on the growth, bulb and flower production in tuberose

Singh *et aL* (2003) conducted an experiment in Meerut, Uttar Pradesh. India during 1997-98 on tuberose (*Palianthes tuberosa* L) cv. Double. The treatments comprised of water dipping (control); dipping in GA3, IAA, and NAA at 50 and 100 ppm each; spraying GA3 and 100 ppm each spraying GA3, IAA., and NAA; and dipping + spraying 6A3, IAA, and NAA. The number of flowers, flower length, and longevity of the whole spike were highest for bulbs dipped in  $100$  ppm  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  for 24 hour before planting  $+$  spraying with 100 ppm  $GA_3$  at 30 days after planting. Spike length and rachis length were also highest in bulbs dipped and sprayed with 100 ppm  $GA_3$  at 100 ppm (dipping + spraying) increased the number  $(28.4)$ , weight (  $90.52g$ ), diameter (4.20cm), and yield  $(305.25 \text{ g/ha})$  of tuberose).



8

Manisha *et* al. (2002) studied tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single in Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh,India, during 1999-2000. Treatments comprised of a control of foliar sprays of gibberelic acid  $(GA<sub>3</sub>)$  at 100, 150 and 200 ppm at 40, 60 and 80 days after planting. Treatment with  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  at all concentrations promoted the height of the plants and increased the number of leaves per plant, being maximum (55.50 cm and 15.99, respectively) with 150 ppm application, Approximately 5 days early appearance of floral bud (96.82 days) over control (102.00 days) was also observed with this treatment.  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  at all concentrations significantly increased the number of spikes per plant, number of flowers per spike and per hectare yield. All these characters were maximum in plants applied with GA<sub>3</sub> at 150 ppm. Applications of GA<sub>3</sub> at all concentrations significantly increased the length of leaf, flower spike and rachis. Among the 3 concentrations of  $GA_3$  used, 150 ppm was found the most superior.

Nagar *et al.*(2002) conducted an experiment of identify the effects of gibberellic acid *(GA3;* 0,100,200, and 300 mg/litre) and nitrogen fertilizer (0,15,30, and 50 kg/feddan as ammonium nitrate), singly or in combination, on tuberose *(Polianthes tuherosa* cv. Double) in Alexandria, Egypt. during the summer seasons of 2000 and 2001. The roots were soaked in  $GA_3$  for 24 month after planting and twice within the following 42 days. The application of 200 mg  $GA_3/l$ itre+30 kg N/faddan resulted in the earliest flowering (109.30 days), and the greatest average plant height (99.34 cm), number of leaves per plant (51 .85), leaf dry weight (14.88 g), number of spike per plant (4.94), number of florets per spike ( 29.91), flower duration (18.28 days), number of corms and cormels per clump (28.74), fresh and dry weights of corms and cormels per clump (121.72 and 8.67 g respectively), and total cholorophyll

content (229.87 mg/100 g leaf fresh weight). The highest average floret dry weight (4.47 g) was obtained with 100 mg  $GA_3$  litre + 30 kg N/feddan, whereas the highest nitrogen content (3.92%) was obtained with 300 mg  $GA_3$ / litre + 50 kg N/Feddan. The contribution ratio of N fertilizer on growth and yield increased with increasing N rate. The contribution ratio of soil N decreased with increasing N fertilizer rate but increased with increasing  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  rate.

Tiwari and Singh (2002), Conducted and experiment of identify the effects of bulb size, i.e. large (> *1.5* cm diameter), medium (1.0-1.5 cm), and small  $(\leq1.00 \text{ cm})$ , and preplan ting soaking in gibberellic acid  $(GA_3)$ at 50, 100,150,200, and *250* ppm on the growth flowering, and yield of tuberose (Polianthes tuherosa) in Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, india, during 1992-93. Plants raised from large bulbs had the greatest plant height, number of leaves per clump, leaf length, leaf width, foliage weight, clump weight, bulb and bulblets per clump, inflorescence length, spike length, flower length, spike diameter, flowers per spike, and spikes per plant, and showed easlist flowering. Similar results were recorded for plants from bulbs treated with 200 ppm  $GA<sub>3</sub>$ , except for leaf width which was highest with 150 ppm  $GA_3$ . Large bulbs soaked in 200 ppm  $GA_3$ showed significant increase in growth flowering and bulb production.

Wankhade *et al.* (2002) conducted and experiment during 2000-2001 to study the effect of gibberellic acid with bulb soaking treatment and foliar spray on growth, flowering and yield of tuberose ( *Polianthes tuberosa*  L.) Data indicated that higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> (150 ppm) for bulb soaking treatment and 200 ppm of  $GA_3$  as a foliar spray showed significant increase in plant height, number of leaves, number of florets/spike and number of spikes/plant under study. Early sprouting,

early emergence to flower stalk and early opening of the first pair of florets were recorded by bulb soaking in water and foliar spray of water and of these with control treatment combinations.

Wankhade et al. (2002) conducted a field experiment during 2000-2001 at the Collage of Agriculture, Nagpur. Maharashtra, India, to study the effect of  $GA_3$ (gibberellic acid) treatments (soaking of bulbs in 0,50,100, and 150 ppm as main treatments, and foliar spraying of 0,100,150, and 200 ppm as sub -treatments) on P. tuberose (P.tuberosa). Higher concentrations of bulb soaking treatment at 150 ppm, foliar spraying of  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  at 200 ppm, and the interaction of these two treatments showed significant increase in diameter and length of fully opened floret, length of rachis, diameter of spike, weight of floret per spike, *number* of spikes, and fresh weight of bulbs.

Yang et al.(2002) in a greenhouse experiment on P. tuberosa bulbs were treated with GA<sub>3</sub> (40 and 80 ml/litre at  $4^{\circ}$ C for 30 days or at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 15 days before planting. Bulbs were planted in October, November and December. The tubers treated with low temperature and planted in October had high sprouting rates. The low temperature combined with gibberellic acid increased the flowering rate. The highest flowering rate was over 95%, with an average of 62%.

In a trial by Sanap et al. (2000) at Pune, tuberose plants were sprayed with  $100,150$  or  $200$  ppm  $GA_3$   $100,200$  or  $300$  ppm CCC Chlormequat *40,5* and 70 days after *planting.* **Flower yield was highest** *(27.5tlha)* **when 150 ppmGA**3at a *concentration* of 200 mg 1-1 stimulated shoot growth and consequently flowering in cooled derooted tulip bulbs.

Nagaraja *et al.* (1999) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of growth regulators on the growth and flowering of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa),* cultivar Single. The tuberose bulbs were soaked for 24 hour in solutions of GA<sub>3</sub>, Ethrel (ethephon) or BA each at 100,500, 1000 and 1500 ppm and then planted in a randomized block design. All treatments influenced growth and flowering characteristics. All treatments resulted in earlier plant emergence, a higher percentage of sprouting and eariler flowering compared-to the control with  $GA_3$  at 500 and 1500 ppm being particularly) effective. Plant height was greatest with  $GA_3$  at 100ppm while ethrel at all concentrations reduced plant height compared to the control. The number of spikes/plant and florest/spike were enhanced by  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  at 500 and 1500 ppm. All  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  treatments increased flower spike length and rachis length. Length of flowering was greatest with ethrel at 1000 ppm. All  $GA_3$  treatments and etherl at 100ppm increased bulb number whereas all other etheral and all BA treatments reduced bulb number.

Deotale *et al.*(1995) observed that Chrysanthemum (cv.Raja) was sprayed with  $GA_3$  at 0,50.100 or 150 ppm, as 2 applications 15 days after planting and again I month later. Planting on 24 June and spraying with 105 ppm  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  producted the heaviest (2.15g) and largest (6.42 cm diameter) flowers.

Leena et al.(1992) carried out an experiment at Kerala, India on Gladiolus (cv.Friendship during 1989-90 with TIBA (150 or 300 ppm). NAA (100 and 200 ppm), CCC ( Cholormequat ( *250* or 500 ppm) or  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  (50 or 100 ppm) applied a foliar spray at 4.6 and 8 weeks after planting. Control plants were sprayed with distilled water. The 100 ppm

 $GA<sub>3</sub>$  treatment resulted with the greatest plant growth and earliest flowering. The greatest flower spike length, rachis length and number of florest/spike were obtained with the 50 ppm  $GA_3$  treatment. The greatest corni weight (70.20 g) and size (71.00cm2) were obtained with the lOOppm NAAA treatment. The greatest number and weight of cormels (93.33 and 17.57 g, respectively) were obtained with 500 ppm CCC treatment.

Dhua *et al.* (1987) and Pathak *et al.* (1980) found that soaking of bulb in GA3, Ethrel, Kinetin and Thiourea solutions before planting improved the growth and flowering of tuberose among the different chemicals used. GA<sub>3</sub> and thiourea proved more effective than others. Thiourea promoted plant height and leaf number while GA<sub>3</sub> improved flowering. Treatment with  $GA_3$  at 200 mg/litre caused earliest flowering and gave the maximum yield of spikes and flowers.

Dhua *et al.* (1978) reported that tuberose (P.tuberosa) is an important cut flower crop. Using rhizomes with a diameter between 1.50-2.0 cm. storage of rhizomes at  $4-10^{\circ}$ C for 10-30 days and soaking in  $GA_3$  (200 mg/L) or thiorea (2000mg/L) solution for 6 hour improved plant growth and increased the yield of spikes and flower spikes and improved flower quality.

Gowda (1985) concluded that GA3 spray on rose cv. Super star resulted in more number of flowers and longer stems which are the important characters of a good cut flower.

According to Biswas *ci al.(* 1983) the highest number of flower spikes 6/clump was obtained after foliar application of  $GA_3$  at 1000 mg/litre,

*13* 

CCC at  $0.2$  ml/litre and the highest number of flower/ spike  $(46)$  was on plant sprayed with  $GA_3$  at 100 mg/litre.

Mukhopadhyay and Banker (1983) sprayed the plants of cv. Single 40 days after planting and twice at fortnightly interval with  $GA_3$  at 25-100 ppm or Ethephon at *500* to 2000 ppm observed that increasing concentration reduced the plant height.  $GA_3$  increased the spike length and flower/spike. Duration of flowering in the field was improved with  $GA_3$  at 100 mg/litre.

Jana and Biswas (1982) reported that the shortest time of flower opening 97 days occured in plants treated with 10 ppm  $GA_3$  and the greatest of flower/spike 3.5-5 was on plants treated with 1000 ppm SADH.

Bose et al. (1980) conducted an experiment by soaking bulbs of *Hippeastrum hybridum(cyclamen)* in three concentrations of indolacetic acid, gibberellic acid, cycocel or ethrel and they showed various responses on growth and flowering as observed.  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  at 1000 ppm promoted the number of leaves. But other treatments did not exert any significant effect. Ethre) at 1000 ppm resulted in the maximum length of flower stalks, while higher concentrations of  $GA_3$  increased the stalk length.

According to Rama Swami *et at.* (1979) application of certain growth substance has been found to influence the growth and flowering of tuberose. Soaking of sprouting bulbs for 1 hour in solution of 100 ppm  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  or 400 ppm CCC advanced the flowering by 17 and 15 days respectively.

El-shafie (1978) reported that spraying of *GA3* on rose four (4) times at monthly intervals at 250 ppm on cv. Montezuma increased the number of flower and the length , thickness and FW of flower stems compared to other concentration ( 50,100,150 and 200 ppm).

Rees (1975) noted that growth and development behaviour of bulbous plant is also regulated either by a single or by a interaction of several endogenous growth hormones like Gibberelins, Auxin, Cytokinin, Ethylene and Abscisic acid. They play a major role in directing the movements of organic metabolites in establishing. It is revealed from the above review of literatures that both yield and quality of tuberose are influenced by the bulb size and growth regulations used.



#### CHAPTER III

### MATERIALS AND METhODS

This chapter deals with the materials and methods that were used in execution of the experiment.

#### 3.1 Experimental site

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from May 2007 to April 2008. The site is situated between  $23^{0}74'$  N latitude and  $90^035'E$  longitude with an elevation of 8.2 m from sea level.

### 3.2 Climate

The experimental area is situated in the subtropical zone, characterized by heavy rainfall during Kharif season (April to September), and scanty in Rabi season (October to March). Rabi season is characterized by plenty of sunshine. Information regarding average monthly maximum and minimum temperature, rainfall and relative humidity, soil temperature as recorded by the Dhaka meteorology centre, Agagoan, Dhaka, during the period of study have been presented in Appendix I.

### 33 Soil

The soil of the experimental area was nOn-calcarious dark grey and belongs to the Madhupur Tract (UNDP, 1988) under AEZ 28. The selected plot was medium high land and soil series was Tejgoan (FAO, 1988) with a pH of 5.6. The analytical data of the soil sample collected from the experimental area were analyzed in the SRDI, Soil Testing

16

Laboratory. Khamarbari, Dhaka and details of the soil characteristics are presented in Appendix II.

#### 3.4 Treatments of the experiment

The experiment was designed to study the effect of different sizes of bulbs and different levels of potassium on growth, flower and bulb yield of tuberose. The experiment consisted of two factors which are as follows:

Design of the Experiment:

Randomized Complete Block Design:

Replications: 3 (Three)

Factor(s): 2

Factor (A): Plant spacing (3 levels):

Factor (B):Plant growth regulator (4 levels)



 $G_0$ : 0 ppm  $G$ A3 G: 220 ppm *GA3*   $G_2$ : 240 ppm  $GA3$  $G_3$ : 260 ppm  $GA3$ 

There were altogether 12 treatment combinations such as:  $S_1G_0$ ,  $S_1G_1$ ,  $S_1G_2$ ,  $S_1G_3$ ,  $S_2G_0$ ,  $S_2G_1$ ,  $S_2G_2$ ,  $S_2G_3$ ,  $S_3G_0$ ,  $S_3G_1$ ,  $S_3G_2$  and  $S_3G_3$ .

#### *3.5* Experimental design and layout

The two-factor experiment was laid out following Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Total area (159.9 m<sup>2</sup>) of the experimental land was divided into three equal blocks. Each block was divided in to 12 plots where 12 treatments were allotted at random.

Thus, there were 36 (12 $\times$ 3) unit plots altogether in the experiment. The size of each plot was 3.0 m × 1.8 m.

The distance between blocks and between plots was kept respectively I and 0.5 m. The layout of the experiment shown in figure in 1.





18

#### 3.6 Land preparation

The land which was selected to conduct the experiment was opened on 15 April, 2007 with the help of a power tiller and then it was kept open to sun for 7 days prior to further ploughing. Afterwards it was prepared by ploughing and cross ploughing followed by laddering. Deep ploughing was done to have a good tilth, which was necessary for getting better yield of this crop. The weeds and stubbles were removed after each laddering. Simultaneously the clods were broken and the soil was made until good tilth.

#### 3.7 Application of manures and fertilizer



The following doses of manures and fertilizers were used for tuberose production.

The entire amount of cowdung, TSP and half of urea were applied during final land preparation. The applied manures were mixed properly with the soil in the plot using a spade. The rest urea and MP were used as equal four top dressing. First top dressing was done 30 days after emergence of bulbs. Second and third were done after 30 days of first and second top dressing respectively. The last top dressing was done during first blooming of the *25%* plants in each plot.

#### 3. 8 Collection and planting of bulbs

The bulbs of tuberose were used in the experiment. The bulbs were collected from Barisal Nursery, Saver,Dhaka.

The bulbs were planted in the field on *5* May 2007. The bulbs were planted in raised bed placing upright and hole was made for each bulb upto the neck of bulbs at a distance of 20 cm, along the row spaced at a distance of 25 cm. Only one bulb was placed in each hole and covered with loose soil.

#### 3.9 Intercultural operations

### 3.9.1 Weeding

The plots were kept from weeds by regular weeding. The weeds were eradicated very careflilly with roots were done as per necessity.

#### 3.9.2 Irrigation and drainage

Irrigation and drainage were done as necessity.

#### 3.9.3. Pest management

Mole cricket, field cricket and cutworm attacks were a problem during seedling stage for tuberose cultivation. As a preventive measure against the insect pest, Dursban 20 EC was applied  $(2)$  0.2% at 15 days interval for three times starting from 20 days after emergence of bulb.

#### 3.9.4 Diseases management

The crop was healthy and disease free and no fungicide were used.
# 3.10 Selection and tagging of plants and spikes

Ten plants from each plot were selected randomly for recording plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of side shoot per plant, number to days to first flowering, weight of bulb. Ten spikes from each plot were labeled with details of date of first flowering and after opening of basal floret to each spike. Spikes were labeled again with date for recording duration of flowering on plant. Ten spikes of each plot were selected randomly for three times for throughout the season for recording the length of spike, length of rachis, number of florets per spike and weight of spike.

# 3.10 Harvesting

The spikes were harvested when the basal floret opened and data were recorded for number spike per hectare and yield per hectare.

### 3.11 Data collection

Data on the following parameters were recorded from the sample plants during the course of experiment. Ten plants were sampled randomly from each unit plot for the collection of per plant data. The whole plot was harvested to record per plot data.

Data were collected on different growth and yield component and yield. The plants in the outer rows and at the extreme end of the middle rows were excluded from the random selection to avoid the border effect. The following observations were made regarding plant growth, yield and yield attributes as affected by different sizes bulbs and levels of potassium.

The following parameters were recorded.

### 3.11.1 Plant height

Plant height was measured in centimeter (cm) by a meter scale at 30, *55,*  80, 105 and 130 DAP from the point of attachment of the leaves to the 80, 105 and 130 DAP from the point of attachment of the leaves to the bulb (ground level) up to the tip of the longest leaf.

# 3.11.2 Number of leaves per plant (mother plant)

Number of leaves per plant of ten random selected plants were counted at *30,55,* 80, *105* and 130 DAP. All the leaves of each plant were counted separately. Only the smallest young leaves at the growing point of the plant were excluded from the counting and the average number was recorded.

## 3.11.3 Length of leaf (cm)

Leaves were made detached by a sharp knife of ten random selected plants were measured at *30,55,* 80. *105* and 130 DAP with a meter scale top to beneath of the leaf and average was taken in centimeter.

# 3.11.4 Number of side shoots per plant

Number of side shoot per plant was taken from ten random sample plants at *25, 50, 75,* 100 and 125 DAP and average was recorded. Side shoot refers to those plants, which developed from the mother bulb, all the green shoot above the soil surface and adjoined to the mother plant were counted as side shoot.

# 4.11.5 **Days to spike emergence**

Days to spike emergence was recorded from planting to spike emergence.

### 3.11.6 Length of spike (mother bulb) (cm)

The average length of spike was measured from ten random selected plants in centimeter with the help of a meter scale from the basal (cutting) end of the spike to the last point of the tippest floret of the spike in each treatment.

# 3.11.7 Length of spike (side shoot) (cm)

The average length of spike which produced from side shoot was measured from ten random selected plants in centimeter with the help of a meter scale from the basal (cutting) end of the spike to the last point of the tippest floret of the spike in each treatment.

# 3.11.8 Diameter of spike (cm)

Diameter of spike from ten selected plants were measured with the help of a slide calipers after harvest and expressed in centimeter. Mean diameter was taken from top, middle and bottom portion of the harvested spikes.

# 3.11.9 Length of rachis (mother bulb) (cm)

Immediately after harvest, the length of rachis which raised from mother bulbs was measured with the help of a meter scale from ten random selected plants and mean was expressed in centimeter. Length of rachis refers to the length from the basal floret to the tip of the last floret.

# 3.11.10 Length of rachis (side shoot) (cm)

After harvest, the length of rachis which produced from side shoots were measured with the help of a meter scale from ten random selected plants and mean was expressed in centimeter. Which raised from mother bulb

### **3.11.11 Number of florets per spike (mother bulb)**

At harvest, the number of florets per spike was counted and average was recorded which only produced from mother bulbs.

### **3.11.12 Number of spikes per hectare ('000)**

Total number of spikes was counted from ten selected plants at each unit  $(1.4m<sup>2</sup>)$  area of plot and was converted the total number of spikes per hectare

# 3.11.13 Weight of single spike (g)

After harvested spikes from ten selected plants were weighed and average was considered as weight of single spike which expressed in gram (g).

### 3.11.14 Weight of individual bulb

After colleted bulbs from ten selected plants were weighed and average was considered as weight of individual bulb which expressed in gram (g).

#### 3.11.I5 Yield of bulbs per hectare

The yield of bulbs per hectare was calculated in ton by converting the total yield of bulbs per plot.

### 3.1 1.16 Yield of flowers per hectare

The yield of flowers per hectare was calculated in ton by converting the total yield of flowers per plot.

# 3.12 Statistical analysis

The data collected from the experimental plots were statistically analyzed. The mean value for all the treatments was calculated and the analysis of variance for most of the characters was accomplished by F variance test. The significance of difference between pair of means was tested by the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) test at *5%* level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

 $\omega$  $\sim$ 



# CHAPTER IV

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of different plant spacing and different levels of growth regulator on the growth, flower and bulb production of tuberose. The analysis of variances for different characters have been presented in Appendices IV and V. Data on different parameters were analyzed statistically and the results have been presented in Tables I to 9, plates I to 6 and figures 1 to II. The results of the present study have been presented and discussed in this chapter under the following headings.

# 4.1 Effect of plant spacing and growth regulators on growth, flower and bulb production of tuberose.

### 4.1.1 Plant height

The plant height was recorded at different stages of growth i.e. 30, *55,* 80, 105 and 130 days after planting (DAP). The plant height varied significantly due to sowing of different size of bulbs (Fig.2). During the period of plant growth stage, the longest plant was observed in  $S_3$ (highest spacing; 20 x 25 cm). It was found that, the plant height gradually increased at all observations. However, at 130 DAP, the longest plant (67.77 cm) was obtained from S<sub>3</sub> and the shortest plant (58.15 cm) was obtained from the closest spacing at  $20 \times 15$  cm  $(S_1)$ . Balak *et* al.(1999) reported that, wider spacing gave the longest plant height. The present investigation their statement.

The plant height varied also significantly due to application of different levels of potassium. The plant height of tuberose increased linearly with the increasing level of  $GA_3$  (Fig 3). At 130 DAP, the longest plant (69.81) cm) was obtained from the highest level of  $GA_3$  ( $G_3$ ; 260 ppm of  $GA_3$ ) and the shortest plant *(56.33* cm) was obtained from the control level of  $GA_3$  ( $G_0$ ; 0 ppm of  $GA_3$ ). The findings of the study also supported the results of Mukhopadyay and Banker (1983); Gowda et al. (1985).

The plant height was significantly influenced by the combined effect of different levels of spacing and plant growth regulator. The tallest plant (68.79 cm) was obtained from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  (highest spacing with and 260 ppm GA3) while the shortest *(57.24* cm) was found from  $S_1G_0$  at 130 DAP (Table 1 and Appendix III ).









 $G_0 = 0$  ppm  $GA_3$  $G_1 = 220$  ppm  $GA_3$  $G_2 = 240$  ppm  $GA_3$  $G_3$  = 260 ppm  $GA_3$ 

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>30 DAP</b>	<b>55 DAP</b>	<b>80 DAP</b>	<b>105 DAP</b>	<b>130 DAP</b>
$S_1G_0$	17.35f	33.61f	44.13h	51.85d	57.24f
$S_1G_1$	18.39e	35.32e	45.73g	53.06cd	59.66e
$S_1G_2$	19.75de	36.64cde	46.97f	54.72bc	62.04d
$S_1G_3$	20.44cd	36.92cd	48.13d	56.55b	63.98d
$S_2G_0$	19.10d	35.27e	46.41e	53.43cd	59.61e
$S_2G_1$	20.03cd	36.99cd	48.01d	54.64bc	62.03d
$S_2G_3$	21.38bc	38.31bc	49.26c	56.30b	64.41c
$S_2G_4$	22.07b	39.59ab	50.44b	58.13ab	66.35b
$S_3G_0$	20.89c	36.44b	48.09d	55.45bc	62.05d
$S_3G_1$	21.91b	39.15ab	49.69c	56.66b	64.47c
$S_3G_2$	23.26a	44.47ab	50.94b	58.32ab	66.85b
$S_3G_3$	23.95a	41.75a	52.09a	60.15a	68.79a
CV(%)	7.27	8.13	4.24	3.39	6.67
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.03	2.10	0.53	2.13	2.01
Level of significance	**	**	**	*	**

**Table 1. Combined effect of plant spacing and CA**3 **on** plant height of tuberose at different **after planting** 

## **4.1.2 Number of leaves per plant (mother bulb)**

Planting of different plant spacing significantly influenced on number of leaves per plant at different days after planting except 30 DAP (Fig. 4). At 30 DAP, the maximum number of leaves (7.02) per plant was produced by  $S_3$  and the minimum number of leaves (4.93) was obtained from the small sized bulb. However, at *55* DAP, the highest number of leaves (11.41) per plant was found from highest plant spacing and the lowest number of leaves (6.91) per plant was obtained from the closest spacing of bulb plantation which was statistically similar to  $S_2$  (8.22). However, at 130 DAT, the maximum number of leaves (27.82) was produced by  $S_3$  and minimum number of leaves (23.33) per plant was recorded from S<sub>1</sub>.

Significant variation was found in case of number of leaves per plant due to application of different levels of  $GA_3$  at different days after planting except 30 DAP (Fig. *5).* The number of leaves increased with the advancement of time. The maximum number of leaves (10.40) per plant was recorded from G<sub>3</sub> and the minimum (6.05) was from control condition at 55 DAP. At 80 DAP,  $G_3$  the produced the maximum number of leaves (II .93) while the minimum number of leaves (7.94) per plant was counted from control treatment which were identical to  $G_2$  (10.17) and  $G_1$  (8.99). The maximum number of leaves (20.66) per plant was recorded from  $G_3$  and the minimum (9.99) was from control condition at 105 DAP. At 130 DAP,  $G_3$  (260ppm  $GA_3$ ) produced the maximum number of leaves (24.99) while the minimum number of leaves (13.07) per plant was counted from  $G_0$  (where the plots did not receive plant growth regulator). Such response may be accounted for the physiochemical and biological improvement occurred in the soil including favorable temperature and moisture regimes, nutrient availability. The higher number of leaves per plant achieved on account of higher level of plant growth regulator. The present findings also support the results of Wankhade *et aL* (2002).

The number of leaves per plant was significantly influenced by the combined effect of different levels of spacing and plant growth regulator only at 130 DAP. (Table 2 and Appendix IV). However, at 130 DAP, the highest number of leaves (26.40) per plant was recorded from the treatment combination  $S_3G_3$ . The lowest number of leaves (18.20) per plant was observed from  $S_1G_0$  whereas, closest spacing of bulb planting and lower level of GA3 were used.





<b>Treatments</b>	<b>30 DAP</b>	<b>55 DAP</b>	<b>80 DAP</b>	<b>105 DAP</b>	<b>130 DAP</b>
$S_1G_0$	4.80	6.48	9.98	15.05	18.20
$S_1G_1$	5.60	7.31	10.51	16.27	19.55
$S_1G_2$	6.03	8.04	11.10	18.03	21.60
$S_1G_3$	6.61	8.65	11.98	20.39	24.16
$S_2G_0$	5.33	7.13	11.34	16.11	19.32
$S_2G_1$	6.13	7.96	11.85	17.33	20.67
$S_2G_3$	6.56	8.70	12.44	19.04	22.72
$S_2G_4$	7.41	9.31	13.32	21.46	25.28
$S_3G_0$	5.84	8.73	13.09	17.37	20.44
$S_3G_1$	6.64	9.56	13.62	18.59	21.79
$S_3G_2$	7.08	10.29	14.21	20.35	23.85
$S_3G_3$	7.65	10.90	15.09	22.71	26.40
CV(%)	6.96	7.29	8.92	6.67	9.90
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	3.75	4.99	6.99	7.91	2.11
Level of significance	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	NS	串

Table 2. Combined effect of plant spacing and GA<sub>3</sub> on number of leaves of **tuberose at different after planting** 

# **4.1.3 Length of leaf**

A significant variation was found due to use of different size of bulbs at 30, *55,* 80, *105* and 130 days after planting (Fig 6). The longest leaf (21.12) was obtained  $S_3$  while the lowest (12.16 cm) was found from  $S_1$  at *25* DAP. At *55* DAP, the wider spacing (20 x25 cm) gave the longest  $(42.44 \text{ cm})$  length of leaf while the closest spacing  $(20 \text{ x}15 \text{ cm})$  produced the shortest (32.81) length of leaf. At 80 DAP, the longest leaf length (51.96 cm) was produced by  $S_3$  and the shortest (44.09 cm) was found from  $S_1$ . However, at 130 DAP, treatment  $S_3$  performed the longest leaf length (60.99) and the shortest (52.14) was noted from  $S_1$ .

Due to application of different levels of  $GA_3$  showed significant variation on length of leaf at 30, 55, 80, 105 and 130 DAP (Fig.7). However, at 130 **DAP, the higher level** of *GA3* (260 ppm) produced the longest leaf (62.92

cm) and the treatment Go gave the shortest leaf *(55.63* cm). Monisha *et* aL(2002) showed the maximum length of leaf in all concentration of  $GA_3$  compare to control treatment which agreed to the present study.

Due to the combined effect of different levels of plant spacing and plant growth regulator showed significant variation on length of leaf (Table 3 and Appendix V). At 130 DAP, the longest length of leaf (65.45 cm) was recorded from  $S_3G_3$  (wider spacing and 260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) whereas, the shortest (53.88 cm) was obtained from treatment combination of  $S_1 G_0$ .

### 4.1.4 Number side shoots per plant

The number of side shoot varied significantly due to use of different levels of spacing at different days after planting (Fig 8). At 30 DAP; the highest number of side shoot  $(7.63)$  was recorded from the  $S_3$  which was similar (5.88) to  $(S_2)$  while the lowest number of side shoots (3.78) per plant was found from  $S_1$ . Treatment  $S_3$  gave the maximum (7.96) number of side shoots per plant and the minimum (4.40) was noted from the closest spacing  $(S_1)$  at 55 DAP. At 80 DAP, the maximum number of side shoots (9.01) was performed by *53* whereas, the minimum (4.80) was found from  $S_1$ . The maximum number of side shoots per plant (12.01) was recorded from the widest plant spacing (20 *x25* cm) and the lowest number of side shoots (8.52) was obtained from the closest plant spacing  $(S_1)$ .

Application of different levels of GA<sub>3</sub> showed significant variation on number of side shoots per plant at days after planting (Fig 9). However, at 130 DAP, the higher level of  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  (260 ppm) produced the maximum number of shoots (11.82) and the lowest number of shoots (9.40) was

counted from control treatment where the plot did not receive GA3. Sanap (2000); Tiwari and Singh (2002) recorded, the number of side shoot varied due to the application of GA3. The present investigation as in support to their statement.

The number of side shoot per plant was also varied due to the combined effect of different levels of plant spacing and plant growth regulator (Table 4 and Appendix VI). The maximum number of side shoots (11.91) was recorded from  $S_3G_3$  whereas, the minimum (8.96) was obtained from treatment combination of  $S_1 G_0$  at 130 DAP.





<b>Treatments</b>	<b>30 DAP</b>	<b>55 DAP</b>	<b>80 DAP</b>	<b>105 DAP</b>	<b>130 DAP</b>
$S_1G_0$	13.14h	32.92g	43.71f	49.48	53.88e
$S_1G_1$	14.53g	34.48f	46.04e	52.00	56.55ef
$S_1G_2$	15.57fg	36.43e	48.05c	54.35	58.30c
$S_1G_3$	17.58de	38.98c	50.04cde	54.87	61.03bc
$S_2G_0$	15.95a	34.67f	45.28e	55.70	55.91d
$S_2G_1$	17.35e	36.22e	47.61e	53.22	58.47c
$S_2G_3$	18.39cd	38.18cd	49.62bc	55.57	60.54bcd
$S_2G_4$	20.40b	40.73b	51.61bcd	56.09	63.05abc
$S_3G_0$	17.62de	37.74d	47.65e	52.17	58.31c
$S_3G_1$	19.01c	39.29c	49.98bc	54.70	60.87bc
$S_3G_2$	20.05b	41.25ab	51.98ab	57.04	62.94a
$S_3G_3$	22.06a	43.80a	53.97a	57.56	65.45a
CV(%)	8.12	3.78	6.64	9.45	8.85
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.02	1.28	2.03	8.95	2.78
Level of significance	**	寒寒	**	NS	**

Table 3. Combined effect of plant spacing and GA<sub>3</sub> on length of leaf of **tuberose at different after planting** 





Treatments	30 DAP	<b>55 DAP</b>	80 DAP	105 DAP	130 DAP
$S_1G_0$	3.94i	4.43g	5.22i	8.51g	8.96h
$S_1G_1$	4.64h	5.07f	5.67i	9.01f	9.51 <sub>g</sub>
$S_1G_2$	$5.09$ gh	5.33ef	6.04h	9.29f	9.83fg
$S_1G_3$	5.42efg	5.54de	6.44g	9.61e	10.17ef
$S_2G_0$	4.99gh	5.19e	6.27gh	9.51ef	9.96f
$S_2G_1$	5.69de	5.81d	6.73f	10.02d	10.52e
$S_2G_3$	6.04cd	6.09cd	7.09e	10.29d	10.84cde
$S_2G_4$	6.07cd	6.30bc	7.49d	10.61c	11.15cd
$S_3G_0$	5.66c	5.71d	7.32de	10.25d	10.70e
$S_3G_1$	6.26bc	6.33bc	7.78c	10.75bc	11.26bc
$S_3G_2$	6.36ab	6.61ab	8.14b	11.03ab	11.58ab
$S_3G_3$	6.75a	6.82a	8.54a	11.35a	11.91a
CV(%)	9.65	7.76	6.39	4.56	5.87
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	0.46	0.41	0.26	0.31	0.37
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**

**Table 4. Combined effect of plant spacing and GA3 on number of side shoot per plant of tuberose at different after planting** 

### **4.1.5 Days to spike emergence**

Days to spike emergence showed significant differences due to different plant spacing (table *5* ).The longest days *(84.56* days) was required to spike emergence from  $S_3$  while the shortest days (77.77days) were required for  $S_1$ .

Different levels of  $GA_3$  also showed significant variation on days to spike emergence (Table 5). The highest period (85.05 days) was required for S<sub>1</sub> and the shortest period (75.01 days) was for S<sub>3</sub> Rama Swami (1997); Jana and Biswas (1992); Mohanthy et al. (1999) and Monisha et al. (2000) stated from their findings that, the plants required minimum days to spike emergence due to application of  $GA<sub>3</sub>$ . The present investigation supported their results.

The combined effect of plant spacing and  $GA_3$  did not show significant differences on days to spike emergence (Appendix WI). However, the maximum days (84.80 days) were counted for spike emergence from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$  and the minimum (76.39 days) from  $S_3G_3$ (Table 6)

## **4.1.6 Length of spike (mother bulb)**

The length of spike showed significant differences due to different plant spacing (table *5* and plate I). The longest length of spike (80.16 cm) was obtained from the widest spacing  $(S_3)$  while the shortest (70.72 cm) was recorded from closest spacing  $(20 \times 15 \text{ cm})$ .

Application of different levels of  $GA_3$  showed significant variation on length of spike (Table 5 and plate 2). However, the higher level of  $GA_3$ (260 ppm) produced the longest length of spike *(85.30* cm) and the shortest length of spike (71.81 cm) was recorded from control treatment. The results of Balak *ci* a! (1999); El-shafie (1978) and Bose ci *aI.(1980)*  also found similar trends of findings.

Due to the combined effect of spacing and  $GA_3$  did not show significant variation on length of spike of mother bulb (Appendix VII). However, the longest length of spike (82.73 cm) was recorded from  $S_3G_3$  (widest plant spacing and 260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) whereas, the treatment combination of  $S_1 G_0$ produced the minimum (71.26 cm) length of spike.



Plate 1. Effect of plant spacing on length of spike in tuberose, produced from mother bulb



Plate 2. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on length of spike in tuberose produced from mother bulb

### **4.1.7 Length of spike (side shoot)**

The length of spike of side shoot varied significantly due to planting of different size of bulbs at different days after sowing (Table *5* and plate 3). Treatment  $S_3$  produced he longest length of spike  $(65.39 \text{ cm})$  while  $S_1$ (closest spacing) showed the shortest *(57.04* cm) length of spike. Kumer et al. (2003) also found similar trends of result.

Application of different levels of  $GA_3$  showed significant variation on length of spike (Table 5 and plate 4). However, the higher level of GA<sub>3</sub> (260 ppm ) produced the longest length of spike *(70.65* cm) and the shortest length of spike (56.11 cm) was recorded from control treatment where the plot did not receive potassium fertilizer. The present investigation agreed to the findings of Misra et al. (2000); Mukhapadayay and Banker (1983).

There were no significant variations among different levels of plant spacing and  $GA_3$  on length of spike of side shoot due to combined effect (Appendix vii). However, the longest length of spike (68.02cm) was obtained from  $S_3G_3$  (20 x 25 cm spacing and 260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) while the treatment combination of  $S_1 G_0$  gave the shortest length of spike (56.57 cm) of side shoot (Table 6).



Plate 3. Effect of plant spacing on length of spike in tuberose, produced side shoot



Plate 4. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on length of spike in tuberose, produced from side shoot

### 4.1.8 Diameter of spike

٠

Diameter of spike did not show the significant differences due to use of different size of bulbs (Table *5).* The highest diameter spike (0.85 cm) was obtained from S3 while the lowest  $(0.74 \text{ cm})$  was recorded from  $S_1$ .

There was a significant variation on diameter of spike due to application of different levels of GA<sub>3</sub> (Table 5). However, the higher level of GA<sub>3</sub> (260 ppm) produced the highest diameter of spike (0.81 cm) and the shortest length of spike (0.71 cm) was recorded from control treatment. Tiwari and Singh (2002); Singh *et* al. (2003); Wankhade *et at* (2002) found similar trends of results.

Combined effect of different levels of plant spacing and plant growth regulator did not perform the significant variation on diameter of spike (Appendix vii). The maximum diameter of spike (0.83 cm) was recorded from  $S_3G_3$  (widest plant spacing and 260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) whereas, the minimum (0.72 cm) was recorded from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$  (Table 6).

# 4.1.9 Length of rachis (mother bulb)

A significant variation was found on length of rachis of mother bulb due to use of different size of bulbs (Table *5* and plate 5). The widest plant spacing  $(S_3; 20 \times 25 \text{ cm})$  produced the longest length of rachis  $(25.99 \text{ m})$ cm) from mother bulb and the shortest (20.84 cm) was recorded from the closest



Plate 5. Effect of plant spacing on length of rachis in tuberose produced from mother bulb



Plate 6. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on length of rachis in tuberose produced from mother bulb



spacing  $(S_1; 20 \times 15 \text{ cm})$ . The present study supported the findings of Singh and Sangama (2000)

Different levels of GA<sub>3</sub> showed significant variation on length of rachis (Table 5 and plate 6). The higher level of GA<sub>3</sub> (260 ppm) produced the highest length of rachis (36.93 cm) and the shortest length of rachis (22.28 cm) was recorded from control treatment.

There was no significant variation on length of rachis of mother bulb due to combined effect of different levels plant spacing and  $GA_3$  (Appendix vi). The longest length of rachis (31.46 cm) was recorded from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  whereas, the minimum (21.56 cm) was recorded from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$  (Table 6).

### 4.1.10 Length of rachis (side shoot)

Length of rachis showed significant differences due to use of different plant spacing in the present study (Table *5* ). The highest length of rachis (18.55 cm) was obtained from  $S_3$  while the shortest (13.35 cm) was recorded from S<sub>1</sub>.Kumer *et al.* (2003) noted that wider plant spacing produced the maximum length of rachis.

Application of different levels of  $GA_3$  showed significant variation on length of rachis (Table 5). However, the higher level  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) produced the longest rachis (28.03 cm) and the shortest length of spike (15.90 cm) was recorded from control treatment where the plots did not receive GA3.

Combined effect of plant spacing and plant growth regulator did not show the significant variation on length of rachis produced from side shoot

(Appendix v). The maximum length of rachis from side shoot (23.29 cm) was recorded from *S363* (widest spacing and 260 ppm *GA3 )* whereas, the minimum (14.82 cm) was recorded from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$  (Table 6).



# **Table S. Main effect of plant spacing and CA***3* **on yield contributing characters of tuberose**



# **Table 6. Combined effect of plant spacing and GA**3on yield contributing characters of tuberose

## 4.1.11 Number of florets per spike (mother bulb)

A significant variation was found on number of florets per spike (mother bulb) due to use of different plant spacing **(1'ab1e** 7). The widest spacing  $(S_3; 20 \times 25 \text{ cm})$  produced the highest number of florets per spike (30.99) and the shortest (23.03) was recorded from  $S_1$ .

A significant variation was found on number of florets per spike due to application of different levels of  $GA_3$  (Table 7). The higher level of  $GA_3$ (260 ppm GA3) produced the highest number of florets per spike (39.86) and the lowest number of florets per spike (24.62) was recorded from

control condition. Nagar *et al.*(2002) found the maximum number of florets per spike from higher level of GA<sub>3</sub> which supported to the present trial.

Significant variation was observed on number of florets per spike produced from mother bulb due to combined effect of different levels of plant spacing and plant growth regulator (Appendix VIII). The highest number of florets per spike *(35.42)* was counted from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  which was similar to  $(32.57)$   $S_3G_2$  while the minimum (23.82) was recorded from  $S_1G_0$ .

# 4.1.12 Number of spikes per hectare (000')

Number of number of spikes per hectare ('000') showed significant differences due to use of different plant spacing (Table 7).The highest number of spikes (237.10) per hectare in thousand was recorded from  $S_3$ while the lowest (233.33) was counted from the closest spacing. Balak *et*  al (1999) found the maximum number of spikes from the wider spacing. Application of different levels of  $GA_3$  showed significant variation on number of spikes per hectare (Table 7). However, the higher level of GA<sub>3</sub>  $(260$  ppm  $GA_3)$  produced the maximum number of spikes per hectare (248.20) and the minimum number of florets per spike (234.01) was counted from control treatment. Similar trends of result were obtained by Dhua *ci* aI.(1987).

Combined effect of different levels plant spacing and plant growth regulator showed significant variation on number of spikes per hectare in thousand (Appendix VIII). The maximum number of spikes (242.65) per hectare was recorded from  $S_3G_3(20 \times 25 \text{ cm}$  and 260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) whereas, the minimum (228.67) was counted from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$ .

# 4.1.13 Weight of single spike

A significant variation was found on weight of single spike due to use of different plant spacing (Table 7). The large sized bulb produced the highest weight of single spike *(48.05* g) and the lowest (33.33 g) was weighed from the closest plant spacing.

A significant variation was found on weight of single spike due to application of different levels of  $GA_3$  (Table 7). The higher level of  $GA_3$ (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) produced the highest weight of single spike (46.74 g) and the lowest weight of single spike *(35.55* g) was recorded from control condition. Singh et al.(2003); Deotale et al.(1995) stated that higher level of  $GA_3$  produced the highest weight of single spike. The present study agreed to their findings.

Significant variation was observed on weight of single spike due to combined effect of plant spacing and plant growth regulator (Appendix VIII). The highest weight of single spike (44.87 g) was noted from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  while the minimum (34.44 g) was recorded from  $S_1G_0$ .

### **4.1.14 Weight of individual bulb**

Weight of individual bulb showed significant differences due to the effect of different plant spacing (Table 7). The maximum weight of bulb (43.00 g) was obtained from  $S_3$  while the closest spacing  $(S_1)$  produced the minimum (23.00 g) individual weight of bulb.

Application of different levels of potassium showed significant variation on of weight of bulb (Table 7). However, the higher level of  $GA_3$  (260) ppm  $GA_3$ ) produced the maximum weight of bulb  $(43.25 \text{ g})$  and the minimum weight of bulb (37.32 g) was recorded from control treatment. Nagaraja *et al.* (1999); Leena *et al.* (1992); found similar trends of results from their study.

Combined effect of different plant spacing and plant growth regulator showed significant variation on weight of individual bulb (Appendix VIII). The maximum weight of bulbs  $(45.65 \text{ g})$  was recorded from  $S_3G_3$ which was similar to  $S_3G_2$  (45.02 g) whereas, the minimum (30.16 g) was recorded from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$ .

### **4.1.15 Yield of bulb per hectare**

A significant variation was found on yield of bulb per hectare due to the effect of different plant spacing (Fig. 10). The widest plant spacing  $(S_3;$  $20 \times 25$  cm) produced the highest yield of bulb (9.61 t/ha) and the lowest (7.66 t/ha) was recorded from the closest spacing  $(S_1; 20 \times 15 \text{ cm})$ . Sunil and

A significant variation was found on yield of bulb per plant due to application of different levels of  $GA_3$  (Fig. 12). The highest yield of bulb (9.81 t/ha) was obtained from the higher level of  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) and the control treatment performed the lowest (7.79 ton) yield of bulb per hectare.

*A* significant variation was observed on yield of bulb per hectare due to combined effect of different levels plant spacing and plant growth regulator (Table 8 and Appendix VIII). The highest yield (9.71 t/ha) was noted from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  (widest plant spacing and 260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) which was statistically similar to  $S_3G_2$  (9.50 *t*/ha) and  $S_2$  $G_3$  (9.42 t/ha). The minimum (7.72 t/ha) was recorded from  $S_1G_0$  which was similar to (8.32 t/ha)  $S_1G_1$  Singh (1998) recorded the highest yield of bulb from the treatment combination of  $30 \times 30$  cm spacing with  $2.6 - 3.0$ cm diameter in bulb size.

# 4.1.16 Yield of flower per hectare

Yield of flower per hectare showed significant differences due to plant spacing (Fig, 11). The highest yield of flower (10.19  $t/ha$ ) was obtained from the widest spacing  $(S_3)$  while the lowest (7.77 t/ha) was noted from the closest spacing  $(S_1)$ . This statement also support to the finding of Patil et aI.(l 987).

Due to application of different levels of  $GA_3$  showed significant differences on flower yield (Fig. 13). However, the highest yield (11.60 t/ha) was obtained from  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) whereas, the lowest (8.32 t/ha) was noted from the control treatment. Misra et al. (2000); Kumer et al. (2003); Yang (2002); reported similar trends of results which supported to the present investigation.

Due to combined effect of different levels of plant spacing and plant growth of regulator performed the significant variation on flower yield

(Appendix VIII). However, the highest yield Of flower (10.89 t/ha) was noted from  $S_3G_3$  and the lowest (8.04 t/ha) was from  $S_1G_0$ . Rees (1975) recorded the maximum the yield of flower of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa* L.) from the treatment combination of higher level of GA<sub>3</sub> with larger size of bulbs.

<b>Treatments</b>	Number of florets per spike (Mother bulb)	Number of spikes / ha (000)	Weight of single spike (Mother bulb) (g)	Weight of individual bulb (g)	
$S_1$	23.03c	233.33c	33.33c	23.00c	
$S_2$	26.22b	235.00b	40.83b	36.13b	
$S_3$	30.99a	237.10a	48.05a	43.00a	
CV(%)	8.82	4.49	7.33	8.76	
$LSD$ (0.05)	3.82	1.11	2.07	5.55	
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	
	Levels of GA <sub>3</sub>				
$G_{o}$	24.62d	234.01d	35.55d	36.32d	
$G_1$	29.92c	239.27c	40.00c	39.81c	
G <sub>2</sub>	34.16b	243.40b	41.30b	42.00b	
$G_3$	39.86a	248.20a	46.74a	43.25a	
CV(%)	8.82	4.49	7.33	8.76	
$LSD$ (0.05)	4.22	3.16	1.10	1.97	
Level of significance	**	**	$**$	**	

Table 7. Main effect of plant spacing and  $GA_3$  on flowering and bulb **yield of tuberose**


## Table 8. Combined effect of plant spacing and GA3 **on** flowering and bulb yield of tuberose









Due to combined effect of different levels of plant spacing and plant growth regulator perform the significant variation on flower yield (Appendix VIII). However, the yield of flower (10.89t/ha) was noted from  $S_3G_3$  and the lowest (8.04 t/ha) was from  $S_1G_0$ . Rees (1975) recorded the maximum yield of flower of tuberose from the treatment combination of higher level of GA with large *size* of bulb.



#### CHAPTER V

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka Bangladesh, to evaluate the effect of bulb size of tuberose and different levels of potassium on the growth, bulb and flower yield of tuberose during the period from May 2007 to April 2008. The experiment consisted of three plant spacing viz.,  $S_1$  (20 x 15 cm),  $S_2$ (20 x20 cm),  $S_3$  (20 x25 cm) and different levels of  $GA_3$  viz.,  $G_0$  (no GA<sub>3</sub>; control), G<sub>1</sub> (220 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>), G<sub>2</sub> (240 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>) and G<sub>3</sub> (260 ppm  $GA<sub>3</sub>$ ).

The two-factor experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. There were altogether 12 treatment combinations in this experiment. A unit plot size was  $3.0 \text{ m} \times 1.8 \text{ m}$ keeping 1.0 m and *0.5* m gap between the blocks and plots, respectively. The experimental plots were fertilized at the rate of 15 ton/ha, 260 kg/ha of *urea,* 200 kg/ha of TSP and 260 kg/ha of MP were used as per treatment. Entire cowdung, TSP and half of the urea were used as basal doses during the final land preparation. The rest of half urea and entire MY were applied as top dressing. The bulbs of tuberose were collected from Barishal nursery, Saver, Dhaka and were sown on 7 May, 2007. All the intercultural operations were done as and when needed. Data on growth and yield parameters were collected from 10 randomly selected plants of each plot and analyzed statistically. The mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

Plant spacing significantly influenced all the parameters except number of leaves per plant at 30 DAP. The widest spacing( $S_3$ ; 20 x 25 cm) gave the maximum plant height (66.77 cm), number of leaves (27.82/plant), length of leaf (60.99 cm) at 130 DAP. The maximum number of side shoot per plant (12.01), length of spike which produced from mother bulb (80.16 cm), number of spikes (237.10)per hectare ('000), weight of single spike (48.05 g), weight of individual *bulb* (43.00 g), yield of bulb (9.61 t/ha) and yield of flowers (10.19 t/ha) was recorded from the widest plant spacing  $(S_3; 20x25$  cm) treatment which was significantly superior to all other treatments and the minimum result was performed by the closest plant spacing in all above parameters but the maximum time was required for days to spike emergence *(84.56* days) due to effect of control treatment  $(G_0)$ .

Application of different levels of  $GA_3$  treatments showed significant influenced on all parameters except number of leaves at 30 DAP. The higher level of  $GA_3$  performed the highest results in all cases of observations but the minimum results were require to spike emergence.

The maximum vegetative growth was recorded at 130 DAP. The maximum plant height (69.81 cm), number of leaves (24.99/plant), length of leaf (69.92 cm) and the maximum number of side shoot per plant (11.82) at 130 DAP. Weight of single spike (46.74 g), number of spikes  $(248.20)$ per hectare ('000), weight of individual bulb  $(43.25 \text{ g})$ , yield of bulb (9.81 t/ha) and yield of flowers (11.60 t/ha) was recorded from 260 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> and the minimum result was performed by control treatment in

all above parameters. Incase of days to spike emergence, the highest level of  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) took the minimum days (75.01 days).

Combined effects different levels of plant spacing and plant growth regulator had significant influenced on plant growth, bulbing and flower yield contributing characters of tuberose. However, the widest spacing with higher level of  $GA_3$  (treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$ ) performed the longest plant (68.79 cm) while the lowest shortest plant *(57.24* cm) was observed from the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$  (closest spacing and no  $GA<sub>3</sub>$ ) at 130 DAP. At 130 DAP, the highest number of side shoot per plant (11.91) was recorded from the treatment combination of widest plant spacing with higher level of  $GA_3(S_3G_3)$  and the lowest (8.96) was from  $S_1G_0$ . Treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  took the minimum (76.39) days) days to spike emergence whereas, the maximum (84.80 days) was required for  $S_1 G_0$ . The longest length of spike which produced from mother bulb (82.73 cm) was recorded from  $S_3G_3$  and the shortest (71.26 cm) was found from  $S_1 G_0$  The longest length of rachis which produced from mother bulb (31.46 cm) was obtained from the treatment combination of widest plant spacing (20 x 25 cm) with higher level of  $GA_3$  (260 ppm  $GA_3$ ) while  $S_1G_0$  treatment gave the shortest (21.56 cm) The longest length of rachis which produced from side shoot (23.29 cm) was obtained from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  while the  $S_1G_0$ treatment gave the shortest (14.62 cm). The maximum number of spikes  $(242.45)$  per hectare ('000) was counted from  $S_3G_3$  while the treatment combination of  $S_1G_0$  gave the lowest number of spikes (228.67/ha) in thousand. The highest yield of bulb (9.71 t/ha) was obtained from the treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  and the lowest bulb yield (7.72t/ha) was found from  $S_1G_0$  Treatment combination of  $S_3G_3$  gave the highest yield of flowers (10.89t/ha) while  $S_1 G_0$  showed the lowest yield (8.04t/ha).

#### Conclusion and suggestions

It may be concluded that the results obtained from present investigation, the widest plant spacing;  $20 \times 25$  cm with 260 ppm  $GA_3$  is the suitable combination for maximum growth of plant, bulb and flower production in tuberose.

However, such type of study further may be conducted with different plant spacing and different levels of  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  in various soils and climatic situation for more confirmation of results before final recommendation to the tuberose growers.





#### **REFERENCES**

- Aditya, D. K. 1992. Floriculture in National Economy and Development. Proc. Sixth Nat. Con. Symp. *Bangladesh Soc. Hort. Sci.*, Bangladesh. 184p.
- Ahmed, F. 1985. Effect of Spacing ov Growth, bulb and flower production of Tuberose *(Polianthes tuberose* L.). M. Sc. (Ag) thesis, Dept. of Hort., BAU, Mymensingh. 3p.
- Balak, R., Katiar, R. S., Tewari, S. K. and Singh, C. P. (1999). Effect of plant spacingon the growth and flower yield of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single on sodie soils.
- Biswas, J.T.K. Bose and R.G. Maiti. 1983. Tuberose, T.K. Bose and L.P. Yadav. Commercial Flowers. Naya prokash. Calcutta. India. p.528.
- Bose, T.K. and L. P.Yadav. 1998. Commercial flowers. Naya Prakash, Calcutta, India. 528 p.
- Cirrito, M. and Zizzo, G. 1980. A comparison of three different planting densities and different soil systems increase the size of tuberose rhizomes. Analli deli. Institute. Sperimentale Per La Floriculture, 11(1), 149-168 (Cited from orn. liort. Abst,1982. (6): *75- 76:*  4099).
- Desai, B. L. 1957. Flowers that fill your garden with fragrance. Indian farming. 7: 8-11.
- Deotale, A.B., Belorkar, P.V., Dahale, M.H., S.R. Patil and V.N. Zade. 1995. Effect of date of planting and foliar application of  $GA_3$  on growth of Chrysanthemum. *J.Soils Crops., 5* (1): 83-86
- Dhua, R.S., Ghosh, S.K., Mitra, S.K., Yadav, L.P. and T.K. Bose. 1987. Effect of bulb size, temperature treatment of bulbs and chemicals on growth and flower production in tuberose ( *Polianthes tuberosa L.)Acta Hon.,* 205: 121-128.
- El-Shafie. 1978. Effect of spraying of  $GA_3$  on the flowering of different varieties of rose. Arch Gartenb., 26:287-96 (Cited from Commercial Flowers 86, 1985).
- FAO. 1988. Production Year Book. Food and Agricultural of theUnited Nations, Rome, Italy. 42: 190-193.
- Gomez K. A.and Gomez A.A. 1984. Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research (2nd edn.). Int. Rice Res. Ins., A Willy Int. Sci., Pub., pp. 28— 192.
- Kumar, R., Gobind,S.and Yadav, D. S. 2003. Growth, flowering and bulb production of tuberose as influenced by different bulb size, spacing and depth of planting. *Hariana J. Hort. Sci.*, **32** (1/2): 66- 99.
- Leena, R.,Rajeevan.P.K.,Valsalakumari,P.K. and L. Ravidas. 1992. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators on the growth, flowering and corn of yield of gladiolus cv. Friendship. *South Indian. Hon.,* 40 (6) : 335.
- Manisha, N. Syamal, M.M.,Narayan, M..Misra. R..L (ed.) and M. Sanyat. 2002. Effect of gibberellic acid on tuberose . Floriculture research trend in India, Proceedings of the national symposium on Indian floriculture in the new millennium. Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, *25-27*  February, 2002. 2002. *350.*
- Misra, H., Singh, A. K. and Singh, O. P. 2000. Effect of bulb size and spacing on growth and flowering behaviour of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberose* L.). *Advances in plant Sciences. 13* (2): *563* - 566.
- Mohanty, B. K., Sankar, C. R. and Dayananda, T. 1999. Effect of NKP and spacing on nutrient content of leaves and uptake in tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa* L.). *South Indiarn Hart.,* 47 (1/6):327- 330.

Mukhopadhyay, A. and G.J. Banker. 1983. *Scintia Hort.*, 19: 149-52.

*65* 

- Mukhopadhyay, A., Banker, G. J. and Shadu, M. K.!986. Influence of bulb size, spacing and depth of planting on growth, flowering and bulb production in tuberose.. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 15 (1/2): 18-24.
- Nagar, A.H. and. Sharaf,. A.L 2002. Growth analysis of tuberose plants as affected by gibberellic acid (GAB) treatments and nitrogen fertilization. *Alexandria J. Agril. Res.* 47 (3):93-107:33.
- Nagaraja, G.S.; J. V. N. Gowda and A.A. Farooqui. 1999. Effect of growt regulators on growth and flowering of tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) cv. Single . *Karnataka. J. Agril. Sci.*, 12 (1-2):323-238.
- Patel, B. M., Patel, B. N. and Patel, R. L. 1997. Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa*  L) cv. Double. *J.* of *Applied 11ort.3* (1/2): 98-104
- Patil, J. D., Patil, B. A., Chougule, B. B. and Bhat, N. R. 1987. Effect of bulb size and Spacing on stalk and flower yield in *tuberose(Polianthes tuherosa* L.) cv. Single. *Current Rex. Rep. Mahatma pizul Agril. Univ.,* 3 (2): 81 - 82.
- Rama Swamy, N. and P. Chockalingam. 1977. Progressive Hort., 8:39- 41.
- Rees, A. R. 1975. The growth of bulbs. Vol. 1., Avademic press, London and Newyork..
- Sanap, P. B., Patil, B.A. and Gondhali, B.V. 2000. Effect of growth regulators on quality and yield of flowers in tuberose *Polianthes tuherosa* L.) cv. Single. Orissal. *J. Hart,* 28(1): 68-72;6.
- Shadu, M. K. and Bose. T. K. 1973. Tubcrose for most artistic garland. *Indian Hon., 18:* 17-21.
- Singh, K. P. and Sangama .2000. Effect of fertilizer on growth and *flowering of tuberose* ( *Polianthes tuherosa)J.* of *Applied Hort..2000* ,2 (1): *54-55.*

Singh, P.V., Panwar, S. and Kumar, J. 2003. Response of tuberose to plant

growth regulators. *J. Ornamental Hort.* , 6 (1): 80-81;4.

- Sunil, K. and Singh, R. P. 1998. Effect of nitrogen, bulb size and yield of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberose* L.) cv. Single. *J. of Ornamental Hort., 1(1): 6- 10.*
- Tiwari, J. K. and Singh, R.P. 2002. Effect of preplanting  $GA_3$  treatment on tuberose. *3 Ornamental Hort., 5* (2): 44-45.
- LTNDP. 1988. Land Resources Appraisal of Bangladesh for Agricultural Development. Report 2: Agro-ecological Regions of Bangladesh, FAO, Rome, pp. 212, *577.*
- Vandor Valk,C. C., and Timmer, M. J. G.1974. Plant density in related to tulip bulb growth. Seientia Hort. 2 (1): 69-81.
- Wankhade S.G.; P.V.Belorkar; A.D. Mohariya; N.W. Aflurwar; P. E.Band; K. Rosh. 2002. Effect of bulb soaking and foliar spray of  $GA<sub>3</sub>$  on growth, flowering and yield of tuberose ( Polianthes tuberosa L.). *3. Soils and Crops., 12(1):* 105-107.
- Wankhede , S.G.; P.V. Belorkar, A.D.Mohariya; M.W. Alurwar; K.G. Rathod and P.P. Gawande.2002. Influence of bulb soaking and foliar spray of  $GA_3$  on flower quality and yield of tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa L.).J. Solis and Crops., 12 (2): 293-295.*
- Yang, J.H.;G.F. Zhao; J.K.Li;Y.Liu; J.H.Yang; G.F.Zhao; J.K.Li and Y.J. Liu. 2002. Regulation of flowering in tuberose *(Polianthes tuberosa L.)* by temperature and gibberellin. *J. Southest Agril. Univ. ,24* (4): 345.



### APPENDICES

## Appendix!. Soil analysis data of the experimental plot

## Mechanical analysis



# Chemical analysis



Source: SRDI. Khamarbari, Farmgate, Dhaka, Bangladesh

# Appendix 11. Monthly records of air temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and sunshine during the period from May 2007 to April 2008



# Appendix III. Analysis of variance of different characters of tuberose



\*\* = Significant at 1% level

= Significant at *5%* level

70

# Appendix IV. Analysis of variance of different characters of tuberose



\*\* = Significant at 1% level

\* = Significant at  $5%$  level

NS = Non-significant

# Appendix V. Analysis of variance of different characters of tuberose



\*\* = Significant at 1% level

# Appendix.V1. Analysis of variance of different characters of tuberose



\*\* = Significant at 1% level



# Appendix VU. Analysis of variance of different characters of tuberose

\*\* = Significant at 1% level



## **Appendix VIII. Analysis of variance of different characters of tuberose**

*\*\** = Significant at 1% level

75 .37276<br> *GFSLAXIE* 

Accession No. Ş Sher-e-Bandle Activitieral University **Vielding** ... Date <sub>14</sub>

198 (02) Hart.<br>Grozo 21 01/10