

**EFFECT OF SILICON ON GERMINATION, GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGY,
YIELD AND ANTIOXIDANT DEFENSE OF WHEAT UNDER SALINE
CONDITION**

MD. ABDUL MATIN



**DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY
SHER-E-BANGLA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
DHAKA-1207**

DECEMBER, 2015

**EFFECT OF SILICON ON GERMINATION, GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGY,
YIELD AND ANTIOXIDANT DEFENSE OF WHEAT UNDER SALINE
CONDITION**

BY

MD. ABDUL MATIN

Reg. No.: 08-02972

*A Thesis
Submitted to the Department of Agronomy,
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka
In partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree
of*

MASTER OF SCIENCE (MS)

IN

AGRONOMY

SEMESTER: JULY-DECEMBER, 2015

APPROVED BY:

(Dr. Mirza Hasanuzzaman)

Associate Professor
Supervisor

(Prof. Dr. Md. Fazlul Karim)

Co- Supervisor

(Prof. Dr. Md. Fazlul Karim)

Chairman
Examination Committee



Department of Agronomy
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
Sher-e-Bangla Nagar
Dhaka-1207

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that thesis entitled, "EFFECT OF SILICON ON GERMINATION, GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGY, YIELD AND ANTIOXIDANT DEFENSE OF WHEAT UNDER SALINE CONDITION" submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE (MS) IN AGRONOMY, embodies the result of a piece of bona-fide research work carried out by MD. ABDUL MATIN, Registration no. 08-02972 under my supervision and guidance. No part of the thesis has been submitted for any other degree or diploma.

I further certify that such help or source of information, as has been availed of during the course of this investigation has duly been acknowledged.

Date: December, 2015
Place: Dhaka, Bangladesh

.....
Dr. Mirza Hasanuzzaman
Associate Professor
Department of Agronomy
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University,
Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises to the Almighty and Kind full trust on to “Omnipotent Creator” for his never-ending blessing, It is a great pleasure to express profound thankfulness to the author’s respected parents, who entitled much hardship inspiring for prosecuting his studies, thereby receiving proper education.

The author wishes to express his earnest respect, sincere appreciation and enormous indebtedness to his reverend supervisor, Dr. Mirza Hasanuzzaman, Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, for his scholastic supervision, helpful commentary and unvarying inspiration throughout the research work and preparation of the thesis.

The author wishes to express his gratitude and best regards to his respected Co-Supervisor, Dr. Md. Fazlul Karim, Professor, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, for his continuous direction, constructive criticism, encouragement and valuable suggestions in carrying out the research work and preparation of this thesis.

The author avails this opportunity to express his sincere thanks and gratitude to the Higher Education Quality Enhancement Project (HEQEP) of University Grant Commission (UGC) and Ministry of Science and Technology (NST), Government of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh for providing financial support and to conduct the research.

The author is grateful to Dr. Md. Motiar Rohman, Senior Scientific Officer, Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) for providing laboratory facilities to conduct the study and also for his constructive instructions.

The author feels to express his heartfelt thanks to the departmental Chairman Prof. Dr. Md. Fazlul Karim along with all other teachers and staff members of the Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, for their co-operation during the period of the study.

The author wishes to extend his special thanks to his lab mates Md. Mahabub Alam, Sheymol Chandra Dev Nath, Jannatul Fardous, Mohammad Abu Naim, Md. Habibur Rahman and Md. Shahadat Hossain for their keen help as well as heartiest co-operation and encouragement.

The author expresses his heartfelt thanks to his beloved parents, younger sister, friends, younger brother and all other family members for their prayers, encouragement, constant inspiration and moral support for his higher study.

The Author

EFFECT OF SILICON ON GERMINATION, GROWTH, PHYSIOLOGY, YIELD AND ANTIOXIDANT DEFENSE OF WHEAT UNDER SALINE CONDITION

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted at the experimental shed of the Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh during winter season (2013-2014) with a view to find out the regulatory roles of exogenous silicon (Si) in growth, physiology, yield and antioxidant defense systems of wheat under different salt stress condition. The experiment was carried out with two varieties i.e. BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 and 10 other treatments viz. control (without salt), Si (1 mM Na-silicate), S50 (50 mM NaCl), S50+Si (50 mM NaCl with 1 mM Si), S100 (100 mM NaCl), S100+Si (100 mM NaCl with 1 mM Si), S150 (150 mM NaCl), S150+Si (150 mM NaCl with 1 mM Si), S200 (200 mM NaCl) and S200+Si (200 mM NaCl with 1 mM Si). Seed germination percentage, number of normal seedling, length of shoot and root, fresh weight of shoot and root and dry weight were decreased under the stress condition but the number of abnormal seedling increased. Salt stresses significantly reduced the plant height, tiller hill⁻¹, fresh weight and dry weight of both varieties at all growth duration. Leaf relative water content (RWC) and chlorophyll (chl) content also reduced due to salt stress. The malondialdehyde (MDA) and H₂O₂ were increased under the stress condition. The ascorbate (AsA) content, reduced glutathione (GSH) and GSH/GSSG ratio were reduced by salt stresses (50, 100, 150 and 200 mM NaCl respectively). But the glutathione disulfide (GSSG) amount increased with an increase in the all level of salinity. The ascorbate peroxidase (APX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) and catalase (CAT) activities showed a significant reduction in response to salt stress but CAT increased only at 100 mM NaCl. The glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) and glutathione reductase (GR) activity increased significantly with severe salt stress (S200). But the activity of peroxidase (POD) was decreased with increasing salinity level. At harvest, salt stresses reduced the effective tiller hill⁻¹, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index for both of varieties. However, number of non-effective tillers hill⁻¹ significantly increased in response of salt stress. Exogenous Si application with salt stress improved germination, crop growth parameters, physiological parameters, reduced oxidative damage and yield in both cultivars where BARI Gom 25 showed better tolerance. But, Si application could not improve germination, crop growth parameters, physiological parameters and yield at extreme level of salt stress (S200).

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
	ABSTRACT	iii
	LIST OF CONTENTS	iv
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
2.1	Wheat	5
2.2	Abiotic stress	6
2.3	Salt stress	8
2.4	Abiotic stress induced oxidative stress	13
2.5	Antioxidant defense system	15
2.6	Effect of salinity on wheat	19
2.7	Silicon and crop productivity	32
2.8	Effects of Silicon on wheat under salt stressed condition	34
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	46
3.1	Location	47
3.2	Soil	47
3.3	Climate	47
3.4	Materials	48
3.4.1	Plant materials	48
3.4.2	Earthen pot	48
3.5	Salinity treatments	48

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
3.6	Protectant treatments	49
3.7	Treatments	49
3.8	Design and layout	49
3.9	Seed collection	50
3.10	Pot preparation	50
3.11	Fertilizer application	50
3.12	Seed sowing technique	51
3.13	Intercultural operations	51
3.13.1	Gap filling and thinning	51
3.13.2	Weeding and irrigation	51
3.13.3	Plant protection measures	52
3.14	General observation of the experimental pots	52
3.15	Germination test	52
3.16	Collection of data	52
3.17	Procedure of sampling germination parameter	54
3.17.1	Germination (%)	54
3.17.2	Normal and abnormal seedling	54
3.17.3	Shoot and root length	54
3.17.4	Fresh weight of shoot and root seedling ⁻¹	54
3.17.5	Dry weight seedling ⁻¹	54
3.18	Procedure of sampling for growth study during the crop growth period	55
3.18.1	Plant height	55
3.18.2	Tillers hill ⁻¹	55
3.18.3	Fresh weight plant ⁻¹	55
3.18.4	Dry weight plant ⁻¹	55
3.19	Procedure of sampling physiological parameter	55
3.19.1	Chlorophyll content	55
3.19.2	Relative water content	56

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
3.20	Procedure of sampling oxidative stress marker	56
3.20.1	MDA content	56
3.20.2	H ₂ O ₂ content	56
3.21	Extraction and Measurement of Ascorbate and Glutathione	57
3.22	Determination of protein	57
3.23	Enzyme extraction and assays	58
3.24	Procedure of sampling yield and yield contributing parameter	60
3.24.1	Plant height	60
3.24.2	Total number of tillers hill ⁻¹	60
3.24.3	Spike length	60
3.24.4	Spikelet spike ⁻¹	60
3.24.5	1000-grain weight	60
3.24.6	Grain yield plant ⁻¹	60
3.24.7	Straw yield plant ⁻¹	60
3.24.8	Harvest index	61
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	62
4.1	Germination parameters	62
4.1.1	Germination (%)	62
4.1.1.1	Effect of variety	63
4.1.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	63
4.1.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	63
4.1.2	Normal seedling	63
4.1.2.1	Effect of variety	63
4.1.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	63
4.1.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	64
4.1.3	Abnormal seedling	65
4.1.3.1	Effect of variety	65
4.1.3.2	Effect of salinity treatments	66
4.1.3.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	66

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
4.1.4	Length of shoot	66
4.1.4.1	Effect of variety	66
4.1.4.2	Effect of salinity treatments	66
4.1.4.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	67
4.1.5	Length of root	68
4.1.5.1	Effect of variety	68
4.1.5.2	Effect of salinity treatments	69
4.1.5.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	69
4.1.6	Fresh weight of shoot	70
4.1.6.1	Effect of variety	70
4.1.6.2	Effect of salinity treatments	71
4.1.6.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	71
4.1.7	Fresh weight of root	72
4.1.7.1	Effect of variety	72
4.1.7.2	Effect of salinity treatments	72
4.1.7.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	73
4.1.8	Dry weight seedling⁻¹	74
4.1.8.1	Effect of variety	74
4.1.8.2	Effect of salinity treatments	74
4.1.8.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	74
4.2	Crop growth parameters	77
4.2.1	Plant height	77
4.2.1.1	Effect of variety	77
4.2.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	77
4.2.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	78
4.2.2	Tillers hill⁻¹	80
4.2.2.1	Effect of variety	80
4.2.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	80
4.2.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	81

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
4.2.3	Fresh weight plant¹	83
4.2.3.1	Effect of variety	83
4.2.3.2	Effect of salinity treatments	83
4.2.3.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	84
4.2.4	Dry weight plant¹	86
4.2.4.1	Effect of variety	86
4.2.4.2	Effect of salinity treatments	86
4.2.4.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	87
4.3	Physiological parameters	90
4.3.1	Relative water content	90
4.3.1.1	Effect of variety	90
4.3.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	91
4.3.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	91
4.3.2	Chlorophyll content	91
4.3.2.1	Effect of variety	91
4.3.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	91
4.3.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	92
4.4	Oxidative stress markers	94
4.4.1	MDA content	94
4.4.1.1	Effect of variety	94
4.4.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	95
4.4.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	95
4.4.2	H₂O₂ content	96
4.4.2.1	Effect of variety	96
4.4.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	96
4.4.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	97
4.5	Antioxidant defense system	98
4.5.1	AsA content	98
4.5.1.1	Effect of variety	98

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
4.5.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	99
4.5.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	99
4.5.2	GSH content	99
4.5.2.1	Effect of variety	99
4.5.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	99
4.5.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	100
4.5.3	GSSG content	101
4.5.3.1	Effect of variety	101
4.5.3.2	Effect of salinity treatments	101
4.5.3.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	102
4.5.4	GSH/GSSG ratio	102
4.5.4.1	Effect of variety	102
4.5.4.2	Effect of salinity treatments	102
4.5.4.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	103
4.5.5	CAT activity	104
4.5.5.1	Effect of variety	104
4.5.5.2	Effect of salinity treatments	104
4.5.5.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	105
4.5.6	APX activity	105
4.5.6.1	Effect of variety	105
4.5.6.2	Effect of salinity treatments	105
4.5.6.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	106
4.5.7	MDHAR activity	107
4.5.7.1	Effect of variety	107
4.5.7.2	Effect of salinity treatments	107
4.5.7.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	108
4.5.8	DHAR activity	108
4.5.8.1	Effect of variety	108
4.5.8.2	Effect of salinity treatments	108

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
4.5.8.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	108
4.5.9	GR activity	109
4.5.9.1	Effect of variety	109
4.5.9.2	Effect of salinity treatments	110
4.5.9.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	111
4.5.10	POD activity	111
4.5.10.1	Effect of variety	111
4.5.10.2	Effect of salinity treatments	111
4.5.10.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	112
4.5.11	GST activity	113
4.5.11.1	Effect of variety	113
4.5.11.2	Effect of salinity treatments	113
4.5.11.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	114
4.6	Yield contributing characters	118
4.6.1	Effective tiller hill⁻¹	118
4.6.1.1	Effect of variety	118
4.6.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	118
4.6.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	119
4.6.2	Non-effective tiller hill⁻¹	119
4.6.2.1	Effect of variety	119
4.6.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	119
4.6.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	120
4.6.3	Length of spike	121
4.6.3.1	Effect of variety	121
4.6.3.2	Effect of salinity treatments	121
4.6.3.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	122
4.6.4	Spikelet spike⁻¹	123
4.6.4.1	Effect of variety	123
4.6.4.2	Effect of salinity treatments	123

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
4.6.4.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	123
4.6.5	1000-grain weight	124
4.6.5.1	Effect of variety	124
4.6.5.2	Effect of salinity treatments	124
4.6.5.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	125
4.7	Yields	126
4.7.1	Grain yield	126
4.7.1.1	Effect of variety	126
4.7.1.2	Effect of salinity treatments	127
4.7.1.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	127
4.7.2	Straw yield	127
4.7.2.1	Effect of variety	127
4.7.2.2	Effect of salinity treatments	127
4.7.2.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	128
4.7.3	Harvest index	129
4.7.3.1	Effect of variety	129
4.7.3.2	Effect of salinity treatments	129
4.7.3.3	Interaction effect of variety and treatments	130
5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	132
	REFERENCES	135
	APPENDICES	161

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	Effect of variety and salinity treatments on length of shoot and root (cm) of wheat seedling	67
2	Effect of variety and salinity treatments on fresh weight of shoot and root and dry weight (g seedling ⁻¹) of wheat seedling	70
3	Effect of variety and salinity treatments on plant height (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing	78
4	Plant height of two wheat varieties at different growth duration induced by saline, Si and their combination	79
5	Effect of variety and salinity treatments on total tiller hill ⁻¹ (no.) of wheat at different days after sowing	81
6	Effect of Si on tillers hill ⁻¹ of wheat cultivars under saline and nonsaline conditions at different age	82
7	Effect of variety and treatment on fresh weight (g plant ⁻¹) of wheat at different days after sowing	83
8	Fresh weight of two wheat varieties grown on normal and saline condition at different growth durations as affected by Si application	85
9	Effect of variety and salinity treatments on dry weight (g plant ⁻¹) of wheat at different days after sowing	87
10	Effect of Si application on dry weight of two wheat varieties under normal and salt affected condition	88

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on germination (%) of wheat	62
2	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on normal seedling (%) of wheat	64
3	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on abnormal seedling (%) of wheat	65
4	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on length of shoot (cm) of wheat	68
5	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on length of root (cm) of wheat	69
6	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on fresh weight of shoot (g) seedling ⁻¹ of wheat	72
7	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on fresh weight of root (g) seedling ⁻¹ of wheat	73
8	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on dry weight (g) seedling ⁻¹ of wheat	75
9	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on relative water content (%) of wheat	90

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
10	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on chlorophyll content (mg cm^{-2}) of wheat	92
11	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on MDA content (nmol g^{-1} FW) of wheat	94
12	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on H_2O_2 content (nmol g^{-1} FW) of wheat	96
13	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on AsA content (nmol g^{-1} FW) of wheat	98
14	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GSH content (nmol g^{-1} FW) of wheat	100
15	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GSSG content (nmol g^{-1} FW) of wheat	101
16	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GSH/GSSG ratio of wheat	103

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
17	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatment, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatment on CAT activity ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of wheat	104
18	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on APX activity ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of wheat	106
19	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on MDHAR activity ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of wheat	107
20	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on DHAR activity ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of wheat	109
21	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GR activity ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of wheat	110
22	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on POD activity (Unit mg^{-1} protein) of wheat	112
23	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GST activity ($\text{nmol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of wheat	113

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
24	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on effective tillers hill ¹ (no.) of wheat	118
25	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments non-effective tillers hill ⁻¹ (no.) of wheat	120
26	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on length of spike (cm) of wheat	122
27	Interaction effect of variety and treatments on spikelet spike ⁻¹ of wheat	124
28	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on 1000 grain weight of wheat	125
29	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on grain yield plant ⁻¹ of wheat	126
30	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on straw yield plant ⁻¹ of wheat	128
31	(A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on harvest index (%) of wheat	129

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE
I	Map showing the experimental sites under study	157
II	Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil analyzed at Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI), Farmgate, Dhaka	158
III	Weather data, 2013, Dhaka	158

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AO	Ascorbate oxidase
APX	Ascorbate peroxidase
AsA	Ascorbic acid (ascorbate)
BARI	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute
CAT	Catalase
Chl	Chlorophyll
DHA	Dehydroascorbate
DHAR	Dehydroascorbate reductase
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FAOSTAT	Food and Agriculture Organization Statistics
GR	Glutathione reductase
GSH	Reduced glutathione
GSSG	Oxidized glutathione
GST	Glutathione <i>S</i> -transferase
MDA	Malondialdehyde
MDHA	Monodehydroascorbate
MDHAR	Monodehydroascorbate reductase
POD	Peroxidase
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
SRDI	Soil Resource Development Institute
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) belongs to the family Poaceae is the second largest cereal crop next to rice in Bangladesh. During the year 2014-2015, 1.3 million metric tons of wheat was produced from 0.42 million hectares of land with an average yield of 3.1325 t ha⁻¹ in the country (USDA, 2014). It has more salt tolerance ability than rice.

Salt stress is a major environmental threat to agriculture, and its adverse impacts are getting more serious problems in regions where saline water is used for irrigation (Türkan and Demiral, 2009). Therefore, efforts to increase the salt tolerance of crop plants are very important to ensure global food security, as well as for water and land conservation. A high salt concentration in the soil or in irrigation water can have a devastating effect on plant metabolism; that is, it can result in the disruption of cellular homeostasis and uncoupling of major physiological and biochemical processes. Plants can respond and adapt to salt stress by altering their cellular metabolism and invoking various defense mechanisms (Ghosh *et al.*, 2011). The survival of plants under this stressful condition depends on their abilities to perceive the stimulus, generate and transmit a signal, and initiate various physiological and biochemical changes (Tanou *et al.*, 2009; El-Shabrawi *et al.*, 2010). Molecular and biochemical studies of the salt stress responses of plants have demonstrated significant increases in reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as singlet oxygen (¹O₂), superoxide (O₂⁻), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and hydroxyl radical (OH⁻) (Mittler 2002; Tanou *et al.*, 2009a; Pérez-López *et al.*, 2010).

Presence of excess soluble salt in soil is one of the major factors that reduces the growth and development of cultivated crop plant in coastal areas of Bangladesh. Salts primarily have two types of effects on the growing plants, specific effect due to rising of osmotic pressure of the soil solution in and around the root regime of the crop. In the long run prolonged transpiration brings old leaves that cause its senescence. This process eventually limits the supply of assimilates to growing parts and limits yields of the crop. It

has been reported that the rearsome plant that have their capability of developing adaptive mechanism to salinity (Flower *et al.*, 1977; Greenway and Munns, 1980) which in turn induces the plant to have better growth and yield under saline conditions.

The present population of Bangladesh is around 160 million. Bangladesh will have to grow food for an estimated 201 million people by 2050 (FAO, 2007). Owing to population pressure, the cultivable area is decreasing day by day and this problem will gradually but soon be acute. Food shortage and land scarcity are driving. Asian Countries need to make an attempt to grow food crops on land that has been unutilized because of soil problems.

One of the most common soil problems is the salinity. Worldwide, around 17% of the cultivated land is under irrigation and irrigated agriculture contributes more than 30% of the total agricultural production. It is estimated that at least 20% of total irrigated lands in the world is salt-affected (Pitman and Läuchli, 2002). In Bangladesh, more than 30% of the cultivable land is in the coastal area. Out of 2.86 million hectares (ha) of coastal and off-shore lands about 1.056 million ha of arable lands are affected by varying degrees of salinity (SRDI, 2010). The reasons for salinity in Bangladesh are: a) intrusion of sea water due to river drying in the winter, b) cyclone in the coastal area and c) influx of salts from the around to the surface through capillary movement during the dry season. The problem of salinity is severe in the winter though during summer the salt concentration decreases dramatically due to monsoon rains. Cropping intensity in saline area of Bangladesh is relatively low; mostly 170% (FAO, 2007). To feed the millions of people of Bangladesh food production must be increased in these areas.

Rice is the main crop in the saline area of Bangladesh. Some other crops like wheat, maize, mustard, barley, cotton, onion, beans are also being grown in the saline soil. The acreage and production of wheat in saline area is low. Wheat, ranking the second major cereal crop in Bangladesh, still is a minor crop in saline prone area. In order to increase cropping intensity of saline area and to increase food grain production in country, wheat could be fitted in the cropping pattern.

Now is the right time to be strategic: first by understanding the reasons – fundamental to complex – for yield reductions so that precise research planning can be brought about to cope with increasing salinity problems. With that view, plant scientists are now sacrificing their time searching for ways to make the plants adaptive under saline conditions. Researchers are trying to understand the effects of salt stress on plants so that they can modify the plant's external growing condition as well as change the plant from within by applying different exogenous protectants including trace elements and phytohormones by molecular mechanisms.

Some beneficial mineral nutrients have been studied that can counteract the adverse effects of salt stress such as silicon (Si), which provides significant benefits to plants at various growth stages and is beneficial for the growth of many plants under various abiotic (e.g., salt, drought, and metal toxicity) and biotic (plant diseases and pests) stresses (Liang *et al.*, 1996; Ma, 2001). The beneficial effects of Si on growth have been reported in many crop plants in addition to rice, such as wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). However, plant species differ greatly in their ability to accumulate Si (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a). Numerous research reports have provided evidence for the notion that Si may also play a vital role in conferring plants with tolerance to adverse environmental factors. Hence, Si is considered as a beneficial element for plants growing under stressful conditions including salinity (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a).

Silicon is designated as an accumulator so that adding Ca-silicate to salt-stressed plants can reduce their salinity stress, and it plays a multiple role in the existence of plants and crop performance. The responsible mechanism involved in salt tolerance is still not clear; however, it has been reported that Si reduces Na⁺ uptake by forming a complex with Na⁺ in the soil (Ahmad *et al.*, 1992). Silicon is deposited in the leaves, which leads to decreased transpiration and diluted salts accumulated in the saline environment (Matoh *et al.*, 1986).

However, the response of plants to salt stress varies among the crop varieties and the dose and duration of stress. Moreover, the role of exogenous protectants is also variable in

such conditions. Although there are several studies on the effect of salt stress on wheat but there are few studies considering the role of exogenous protectants in coordinated regulation of growth, metabolism, physiology and yield of wheat under. This study was designed to understand the physiological mechanisms of salt stress tolerance mediated by exogenous Si on two high yielding wheat varieties such as BARI Gom 21 and a tolerant variety BARI Gom 25 which were grown in saline condition. Therefore, the present study was undertaken keeping in mind the following objectives:

- i. To investigate the effect of salinity on the growth, physiology and yield of wheat.
- ii. To understand the role of exogenous silicon in mitigating salt stress
- iii. To understand the biochemical mechanism of salt stress tolerance in wheat.

Chapter 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Wheat

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was a key factor enabling the emergence of city-based societies at the start of civilization because it was one of the first crops that could be easily cultivated on a large scale, and had the additional advantage of yielding a harvest that provides long-term storage of food. Wheat contributes to the emergence of city-states in the Fertile Crescent, including Babylonian and Assyrian empires. Wheat grain is a staple food used to make flour for leavened, flat and steamed breads, biscuits, cookies, cakes, breakfast cereal, pasta, noodles, couscous and for fermentation to make beer, other alcoholic beverages, or biofuel (Davies and Evans, 2009). Wheat is the most important cereal crop for the majority of world's populations. It is the most important staple food of about two billion people (35% of the world population). Worldwide, wheat provides nearly 55% of the carbohydrates and 20% of the food calories consumed globally (Breiman and Graur, 1995). Wheat is a staple food in many parts of the world. Wheat is an annual grass of Poaceae family that can be grown in areas at sea level to altitudes over 3000m. It prefers a habitat with well-drained, clay-loam soils and with a temperate, arid or semi-arid environment (Wiese, 1977). Most plants grow up to about 1 meter in length and have more than two-thirds of their fibrous roots within 20 cm of the soil surface. However, certain species may reach up to two meters in length (Wiese, 1977). Wheat ranks third in the world's grain production (FAOStat, 2007) and accounts for more than 20% of the food calories consumed by man (USDA, 2014). This crop can be grown throughout temperate, Mediterranean and sub-tropical regions of the world. Wheat is the staple food of traditional farming communities throughout the Atlantic coast of Europe to the Northern parts of the Indian subcontinent and from Scandinavia and Russia to Egypt (Perrino *et al.*, 1995). Wheat is the primary and the cheapest source of protein and calories for the population (Anjum and Walker, 1991). Its portentous portion named as gluten, which assists to convert it into a variety of popular baked products.

2.2 Abiotic stress

World agriculture is facing a lot of challenges like producing 70% more food for an additional 201 million people by 2050 while at the same time fighting with poverty and hunger, consuming scarce natural resources more efficiently and adapting to climate change (FAO, 2014). However, the productivity of crops is not increasing in parallel with the food demand. The lower productivity in most of the cases is attributed to various abiotic stresses. Curtailing crop losses due to various environmental stressors is a major area of concern to cope with the increasing food requirements (Shanker and Venkateswarlu, 2011). The complex nature of the environment, along with its unpredictable conditions and global climate change, are increasing gradually, which is creating a more adverse situation (Mittler and Blumwald, 2010). Plants can experience abiotic stress resulting from the high concentrations of toxic or antagonistic substance. In some cases, such as the supply of water, too little (drought) or too much flooding can both impose stress on plants. Abiotic stresses modify plant metabolism leading to harmful effects on growth, development and productivity. If the stress becomes very high and/or continues for an extended period it may lead to an intolerable metabolic load on cells, reducing growth, and in severe cases, result in plant death (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a, b).

Plant stress may vary depending on the types of stressor and on the prevailing period. In nature, plants may not be completely free from abiotic stresses. They are expected to experience some degree of stress by any factor(s). Some environmental factors, such as air temperature, can become stressful in just a few minutes; others, such as soil water content, may take days to weeks, and factors such as mineral deficiencies can take months to become stressful (Taiz and Zeiger, 2006).

According to Araus *et al.* (2002) abiotic stresses not only limits crop productivity, but also influence the distribution of plant species in different types of environment. Wang *et al.* (2003) quoted that temperatures could rise by another 3-9⁰C by the end of the century with far-reaching effects. Increased drought and salinization of arable land are expected to have devastating global effects. There is also growing evidence that all of these stresses are inter connected, for instance during drought stress, plant also suffers nutrient

deficiency as most of the nutrients in the soil are available to plant when dissolved in water. In case of heat stress drought stress occurred simultaneously. Ahmad and Prasad (2012a) reported that abiotic stress cause changes in soil-plant-atmosphere continuum which is responsible for reduced yield in several of the major crops in different parts of the world. Abiotic stresses like heavy metals, drought, salt, low temperature, etc. are the major factors that limit crop productivity and yield. These stresses are associated with production of certain deleterious chemical entities called reactive oxygen species (ROS), which include hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), superoxide radical (O^{2-}), hydroxyl radical (OH^\cdot), etc. (Choudhury *et al.*, 2013). In their review, Macedo (2012) concluded that plant abiotic stress has been a matter of concern for the maintenance of human life on earth and especially for the world economy. In their review, Keunen *et al.* (2013) concluded that plants suffering from abiotic stress are commonly facing an enhanced accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) with damaging as well as signaling effects at organellar and cellular levels. The outcome of an environmental challenge highly depends on the delicate balance between ROS production and scavenging by both metabolic and enzymatic antioxidants. To meet these challenges, genes, transcripts, proteins, and metabolites that control the architecture and/or stress resistance of crop plants in a wide range of environments will need to be identified, in order to facilitate the biotechnological improvement of crop productivity.

The crop losses due to abiotic stress are estimated by many researchers. As per the report of Bray *et al.* (2000), abiotic stress is already the primary reason of crop loss worldwide, reducing average yields for most major crop plants by more than 50%. Some recent reports showed that the major abiotic stresses negatively influence the survival, biomass production and yields of staple food crops up to 70% (Thakur *et al.*, 2010). However the loss due to abiotic stresses has been predicted to become even more severe as desertification will further increase and the current amount of annual loss of arable area may double by the end of the century because of global warming (Evans, 2005; Vinocur and Altman, 2005). Although all of the abiotic stresses which are devastating for crop production, dehydration stress imparted by drought, salinity and temperature severity has been reported as the most prevalent abiotic stress that limits plant growth and productivity (Jaleel *et al.*, 2009; Thakur *et al.*, 2010). Collins *et al.* (2008) reported that

the tolerance to abiotic stress is multigenic and quantitative in nature and thus a massive challenge exists to understand the key molecular mechanisms for advanced selective breeding purposes. Similarly, Patakas (2012) reported that the understanding abiotic stress responses in plants is difficult due to the complexity, interrelationship, and variability of mechanisms and molecules involved a fact that consist their evaluation an important and challenging topic in plant research. Mantri *et al.* (2012) also reported that the yield of food crops worldwide become reduced severely because of drought, cold, high-salinity and heat which are major abiotic stresses. Traditional plant breeding approaches to improve abiotic stress tolerance of crops had limited success due to multigenic nature of stress tolerance.

2.3 Salt stress

Salinity is one of the most brutal environmental factors limiting the productivity of crop plants because most of the crop plants are sensitive to salinity caused by high concentrations of salts in the soil. A considerable amount of land in the world is affected by salinity which is increasing day by day. More than 45 million hectares (M ha) of irrigated land which account to 20% of total land have been damaged by salt worldwide and 1.5 M ha are taken out of production each year due to high salinity levels in the soil (Pitman and Läuchli, 2002; Munns and Tester, 2008). On the other hand, increased salinity of agricultural land is expected to have destructive global effects, resulting in up to 50% loss of cultivable lands by the middle of the twenty- first century (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005).

Most of Bangladesh's coastal region lies on the southwest coastal region of the country. Approximately 30% of the crops land of Bangladesh is located in this region (Mondal *et al.*, 2001) and continuous to support crops productivity and GDP growth. But in the recent past, the contribution of crops to GDP has decreased because of salinity. In total, 52.8% of the cultivable land in the coastal region of Bangladesh was affected by salinity in 1990 (Karim *et al.*, 1990) and the salt affected area has increased by 14600 ha per year (SRDI, 2001). SRDI had made a comparative study of the salt affected area between 1973 to 2009 and showed that about 0.223 M ha (26.7%) of new land has been affected by varying degrees of salinity during the last four decades and that has badly hampered

the agro-biodiversity (SRDI, 2010). Farmers mostly cultivate low yielding, traditional rice varieties. Most of the land kept fallow in the summer or pre-monsoon hot season (March-early June) and autumn or post-monsoon season (October- February) because of soil salinity, lack of good quality irrigation water and late draining condition. In the recent past, with the changing degree of salinity of southwest coastal region of Bangladesh, crop production becomes very risky and crop yields, cropping intensity, production levels of crop and people's quality of livelihood are much lower than that in the other parts of the country. Cropping intensity in saline area of Bangladesh is relatively low, mostly 170% (FAO, 2007).

In most of the cases, the negative effects of salinity have been attributed to increase in Na^+ and Cl^- ions in different plants hence these ions produce the critical conditions for plant survival by intercepting different plant mechanisms. Although both Na^+ and Cl^- are the major ions produce many physiological disorders in plant, Cl^- is the most dangerous (Tavakkoli *et al.*, 2010). Salinity at higher levels causes both hyperionic and hyperosmotic stress and can lead to plant demise. The outcome of these effects may cause membrane damage, nutrient imbalance, altered levels of growth regulators, enzymatic inhibition and metabolic dysfunction, including photosynthesis which ultimately leading to plant death (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a).

The available literature revealed the effects of salinity on the seed germination of various crops like *Oryza sativa* (Xu *et al.*, 2011), *Triticum aestivum* (Akbarimoghaddam *et al.*, 2011), *Zea mays* (Carpici *et al.*, 2009; Khodarahampour *et al.*, 2012), *Brassica spp.* (Ibrar *et al.*, 2003; Ulfat *et al.*, 2007), *Glycine max* (Essa, 2002), *Vigna spp.* (Jabeen *et al.*, 2003) and *Helianthus annuus* (Mutlu and Bozcuk, 2007). It is well established that salt stress has negative correlation with seed germination and vigor (Rehman *et al.*, 2000). Higher level of salt stress inhibits the germination of seeds while lower level of salinity induces a state of dormancy (Khan and Weber, 2008).

Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2009) observed a significant reduction in germination rate of 4 rice cultivars when exposed to various concentration of salt (30-150 mM). However, the sensitive cultivars were more prone to germination reduction under salt stress. In *Vigna*

radiata, germination percentage decreased up to 55% when irrigated with 250 mM NaCl (Nahar and Hasanuzzaman, 2009). In a recent study, Khodarahmpour *et al.* (2012) observed drastic reduction in germination rate (32%), length of radicle (80%) and plumule (78%), seedling length (78%) and seed vigour (95%) when *Zea mays* seeds were exposed to 240 mM NaCl.

One of the most initial effects of salt stress on plant is the reduction of growth rate. Salinity can affect growth of plant in various ways. First, the presence of salt in the soil reduces the water uptaking capacity of the plant, and this quickly causes reduction in the growth rate. This first phase of the growth response is due to the osmotic effect of the soil solution containing salt, and produces a package of effects similar to water stress (Munns, 2002a, b).

Some crops are most sensitive under saline condition during vegetative and early reproductive stages, less sensitive during flowering and least sensitive during the seed filling stage. Seed weight is the yield component in all these studies, but similar conclusions regarding growth stage sensitivity were obtained with both determinate crops (the grain crops) and indeterminate (cowpea) crops (Läuchii and Grattan, 2007). Dolatabadian *et al.* (2011) observed that salinity stress significantly decreased shoot and root weight, total biomass, plant height and leaf number but not affected leaf area while studying with *Glycine max*.

A high concentration of Na^+ and/or Cl^- accumulation in chloroplasts is also inhibited photosynthesis. As photosynthetic electron transport is relatively insensitive to salts, either carbon metabolism or photophosphorylation may be affected due to salt stress (Sudhir and Murthy, 2004). In fact, the effect of salinity on photosynthetic rate depends on salt concentration as well as plant species or genotypes.

Fisarakis *et al.* (2001) reported a positive growth inhibition caused by salinity associated with a marked inhibition of photosynthesis. There is evidence that at low salt concentration salinity sometimes stimulate photosynthesis. For instance, in *B. parviflora*, Parida *et al.* (2004) observed that rate of photosynthesis increased at low salinity while

decreased at high salinity, whereas stomatal conductance remained unchanged at low salinity and decreased at high salinity.

The alteration of photosynthetic pigment biosynthesis is one of the most notable effects of salt stress (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012b). The decrease in chlorophyll (chl) content under salt stress is a commonly reported phenomenon and in various studies and the chl concentration were used as a sensitive indicator of the cellular metabolic state (Chutipaijit *et al.*, 2011).

Saha *et al.* (2010) observed a linear decrease in the levels of total Chl, Chl a, Chl b Car and xanthophylls as well as the intensity of Chl fluorescence in *Vigna radiata* under increasing concentrations of NaCl treatments. Compared to control, the pigment contents decreased on an average, by 31% for total Chl, 22% for Chl a, 45% for Chl b, 14% for carotene and 19% for xanthophylls (Saha *et al.*, 2010). Associated with the decline in pigment levels, there was an average 16% loss of the intensity of Chl fluorescence as well. In the study of Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2011) observed that a higher chlorosis in wheat and rapeseed leaves when subjected to salt stress.

In *O. sativa* leaves, the reduction of Chl a and b contents of leaves was observed after NaCl treatment (200 mM NaCl, 14 d) where reduction of the Chl b content of leaves (41%) was affected more than the Chl a content (33%) (Amirjani, 2011). In another study, *O. sativa* exposed to 100 mM NaCl showed 30, 45 and 36% reduction in Chl a, Chl b and carotenoids (Car) contents compared to control (Chutipaijit *et al.*, 2011) which retarded the growth efficiency.

According to Romero-Aranda *et al.* (2006) increase of salt in the root medium can lead to a decrease in leaf water potential and, hence, may affect many plant processes. Osmotic effects of salt on plants are the result of lowering of the soil water potential due to increase in solute concentration in the root zone. At very low soil water potentials, this condition interferes with plants' ability to extract water from the soil and maintain turgor. However, at low or moderate salt concentration (higher soil water potential), plants adjust osmotically (accumulate solutes) and maintain a potential gradient for the influx of water.

Salt treatment caused a significant decrease in relative water content (RWC) in sugar beet varieties (Ghoulam *et al.*, 2002).

A decrease in RWC indicates a loss of turgor that results in limited water availability for cell extension processes (Katerji *et al.*, 1997). Steudle (2000) reported that in transpiring plants, water is thought to come from the soil to the root xylem through apoplastic pathway due to the hydrostatic pressure gradient. However, under salt stressed condition, this situation changes because of the restricted transpiration. Under these situations, more of the water follows the cell-to-cell path, flowing across membranes of living cells (Vysotskaya *et al.*, 2010).

Salt stress significantly reduced the yield of crops as indicated by many researchers. As reported by Greenway and Munns (1980), after some time in 200 mM NaCl, a salt-tolerant species such as sugar beet might have a reduction of only 20% in dry weight, a moderately tolerant species such as cotton might have a 60% reduction, and a sensitive species such as soybean might be dead. On the other hand, a halophyte such as *Suaeda maritime* might be growing at its optimum rate (Flowers *et al.*, 1986).

Murty and Murty (1982) reported that the severe inhibitory effects of salts on fertility may be due to the differential competition in carbohydrate supply between vegetative growth and constrained supply of these to the developing panicles. Grain yield reduction of rice varieties due to salt stress is also reported earlier by Linghe and Shannon (2000) and Gain *et al.*, (2004). In *O. sativa* varieties, grain yield, which is the ultimate product of yield components greatly influenced by salinity levels. The loss of grain yield due to 150 mM salinity are 50%, 38%, 44% and 36% over control for the cultivars BR11, BRR1 dhan41, BRR1 dhan44 and BRR1 dhan46, respectively (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2009).

Nahar and Hasanuzzaman (2009) also reported that different yield components of *V. radiata* were significantly affected by salinity stress. Numbers of pods per plant, seeds per pod and seed weight were negatively correlated with salinity levels. The reproductive growth of *V. radiata* was also affected by salinity as the number of pods per plant substantially decreased with increasing salinity levels. An application of 250 mM NaCl

reduced 77%, 73% and 66% yield in *V. radiata* cv. BARI mung-2, BARI mung-5 and BARI mung-6, respectively over control (Nahar and Hasanuzzaman, 2009).

2.4 Abiotic stress induced oxidative stress

The chloroplast is the main source of ROS in plants. Insufficient energy dissipation during photosynthesis can lead to the formation of a Chl triplet state that can transfer its excitation energy onto O_2 to make 1O_2 (Logan, 2005). $O_2^{\cdot-}$ is produced by the photosynthetic electron transport chain (ETC) via the reduction of O_2 (Apel and Hirt, 2004), which is subsequently converted to H_2O_2 by SOD (Foyer and Noctor, 2000). Under stress conditions CO_2 fixation impaired in the chloroplast, the oxygenase activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) increases and glycolate that is produced moves from chloroplasts to peroxisomes (Takahashi and Murata, 2008). In peroxisomes, the generation of H_2O_2 involves glycolate oxidation catalyzed by glycolate oxidase (GO), the β -oxidation of fatty acids and catabolism of lipids (Halliwell, 2006). On the other hand, the generation of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ involves both the reaction of xanthine oxidase (XO) in the organelle matrix and a small electron transport chain is also an important source of ROS production in plant cells and consists of several dehydrogenase complexes that reduce a common pool of ubiquinone (Q). ROS production is likely to occur mainly in complex I (NADH dehydrogenase) and the Q zone (Møller, 2001; Blokhina *et al.*, 2003). Although mitochondrial ROS production is much lower compared to chloroplasts, mitochondrial ROS are important regulators of a number of cellular processes, including stress adaptation and PCD (Robson and Vanlerberghe, 2002). In glyoxysomes, acyl-CoA oxidase is the primary enzyme responsible for the generation of H_2O_2 . Plasmamembrane-bound NADPH oxidases (NADPHox) as well as cell-wall associated peroxidases (POX) are the main sources of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ and H_2O_2 producing apoplastic enzymes activated by various forms of stress (Mittler, 2002; Mhamdi *et al.*, 2010). Additional sources of ROS in plant cells include the detoxifying reactions catalyzed by cytochromes in both cytoplasm and the endoplasmic reticulum (Urban *et al.*, 1989).

At the metabolic level abiotic stress induced signal transduction triggers the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as singlet oxygen (1O_2), superoxide radicle ($O_2^{\cdot-}$), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and hydroxyl radicle (OH^{\cdot}), which consequently indirectly

promotes oxidative stress by diminished antioxidant cell capacity, leading to oxidative damage, which could be at least partially responsible for stress induced damages (Yadav, 2010; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a). Certain environmental stresses or genetic defects cause the production of ROS to exceed the Environmental stresses such as salinity, drought, extreme temperatures, metal toxicity lead to enhanced generation of ROS in plants due to disruption of cellular homeostasis and are extremely harmful to organisms at high concentrations (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a, b; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2012a). When the level of ROS exceeds the defense mechanisms, a cell is said to be in a state of “oxidative stress”. The enhanced production of ROS during environmental stresses can pose a threat to cells by causing peroxidation of lipids, oxidation of proteins, damage to nucleic acids, enzyme inhibition, activation of programmed cell death (PCD) pathway and ultimately leading to death of the cells (Mishra *et al.*, 2011).

According to Asada and Takahashi (1987), ROS are a group of free radicles, reactive molecules, and ions that are derived from O₂. It has been estimated that about 1% of O₂ consumed by plants is diverted to produce ROS in various subcellular loci such as chloroplasts, mitochondria, depending on their concentration in plants.

Shalata and Tal (1998) reported that an unfortunate consequence of salinity stress in plants is the excessive generation of ROS. The excess production of ROS under salinity stress resulted from impaired electron transport processes in chloroplast and mitochondria as well as from pathways such photorespiration causing membrane damage and chlorophyll degradation and responsible for the development of leaf chlorosis and necrosis (Choi *et al.*, 2002).

As per the report of Tanou *et al.* (2009a), it is not possible to determine the concentration of all sources to the generation of ROS under salt stress. Enhanced ROS production under salt stress induces phytotoxic reactions such as lipid peroxidation, protein degradation, and DNA mutations. Several reports showed the overproduction of ROS in plants under saline conditions and ROS-induced membrane damage is a major cause of cellular toxicity by salinity (Mittova *et al.* Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b; Hossain *et al.*, 2011).

According to Vinocur and Altman (2005), Reactive oxygen species produced in response to oxidative stress can cause permanent damage to the cellular apparatus. Reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) typically result from the excitation of O₂ to form singlet oxygen (¹O₂) or the transfer of one, two, or three electrons to O₂ to form superoxide radical (O₂^{•-}), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), or a hydroxyl radical (OH[•]), respectively. The enhanced production of ROIs during stresses can pose a threat to plants because they are unable to detoxify effectively by the ROI scavenging machinery. The unquenched ROIs react spontaneously with organic molecules and cause membrane lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation, enzyme inhibition, and DNA and RNA damage.

2.5 Antioxidant defense system

In general, plant cells are adequately equipped to keep ROS within the limits that are generated as a consequence of normal cellular metabolic activities. Under different stress conditions, however, ROS generation often exceeds the overall cellular antioxidative potential leading to stress-induced adverse effects on plant growth and physiology. A steady state balanced is required to protect plant cells from oxidative damage (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a). Plants possess an efficient non-enzymatic (AsA, GSH, α -tocopherol, phenolic compounds, alkaloids and non-protein amino acids) and enzymatic (SOD, CAT, APX, MDHAR, DHAR, GR, GPX, GST and POD) antioxidant defense systems which work in concert to control the cascades of uncontrolled oxidation and protect plant cells from oxidative damage by scavenging ROS (Gill and Tuteja, 2010a). These antioxidant defense systems are found in almost all cellular compartments, demonstrating the importance of ROS detoxification for cellular survival (Gill and Tuteja, 2010b).

Ascorbate is an important antioxidant in plant tissues which is synthesized in the cytosol of higher plants primarily from the conversion of D-glucose to AsA. It reacts with a range of ROS such as H₂O₂, O₂^{•-}, ¹O₂ and OH[•] at diffusion-controlled rates (Smirnoff, 2005). AsA is also responsible for keeping prosthetic metal ions in a reduced form, thereby maintaining the activity of various antioxidant enzymes (De Tullio, 2004). AsA plays an important role in plant stress tolerance (Hossain *et al.*, 2010, 2011; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a). Exogenous application of AsA influences the activity of many enzymes and

minimizes the damage caused by oxidative processes through synergic function with other antioxidants (Shalata and Neumann, 2001).

Glutathione acts as an antioxidant and is involved directly in the reduction of most ROS (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). Additionally, GSH plays a key role in the antioxidative defense system by regenerating other potential water-soluble antioxidants like AsA via the AsA-GSH cycle (Foyer and Halliwell, 1976). GSH is a substrate for GPX and GST, which are also involved in the removal of ROS (Noctor *et al.*, 2002a). Other functions for GSH include the formation of phytochelatin (PCs), which have an affinity to HM and are transported as complexes into the vacuole, thus allowing plants to have some level of resistance to HM (Sharma and Dietz, 2006). GSH also takes part in the detoxification of xenobiotics and acts as a storage and transport form of reduced sulfur (Srivalli and Khanna-Chopra, 2008). The role of GSH in the antioxidant defense system provides a strong basis for its use as a stress marker. The change in the ratio of its reduced (GSH) to oxidized (GSSG) form during the degradation of H₂O₂ is important in certain redox signaling pathways (Li and Jin, 2007). GSH acts as a redox sensor of environmental cues, and an increase in GSH provides resistance to plants against oxidative stress. Recent reports suggest that an increase in GSH content enhances protection to various abiotic stresses (Hossain *et al.*, 2010, 2011; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b).

Tocopherols is very abundant in the thylakoid membranes, which contain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and are in close proximity to ROS produced during photosynthesis (Fryer, 1992) and circumstantial and correlated evidence strongly suggest an antioxidant role for tocopherol (Munne-Bosch and Alegre, 2003). Tocopherols can physically quench and therefore deactivate ¹O₂ in chloroplasts. Before being degraded, one molecule of α -tocopherol can deactivate up to 120 ¹O₂ molecules by resonance energy transfer. Furthermore, tocopherols are part of an intricate signaling network controlled by ROS, antioxidants, and phytohormones, and are therefore good candidates to influence cellular signaling in plants (Munné-Bosch, 2007).

Antioxidant enzymes are located in different sites of plant cells and work together to detoxify ROS. The major antioxidant enzymes are SOD, CAT, GPX, GST and AsA-GSH cycle enzymes. The AsA-GSH cycle involves 4 enzymes (APX, MDHAR, DHAR and

GR) as well as AsA, GSH and NADPH which work together to detoxify H₂O₂ in a series of cyclic reactions and further regenerate AsA and GSH (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a).

In plant cells, SODs constitute the frontline of defense against ROS. It removes O₂^{•-} by catalyzing its dismutation, one O₂^{•-} being reduced to H₂O₂ and another oxidized to O₂. It was observed that enhanced activity of SODs minimizes abiotic oxidative stress and has a significant role in the adaptation of a plant to stressed environments (Mobin and Khan, 2007; Singh *et al.*, 2008).

Catalases (CATs) are tetrameric heme-containing enzymes that use H₂O₂ as a substrate and convert it to H₂O and O₂, thus preventing cells from oxidative damage (Sanchez-Casas and Klesseg, 1994). CATs are present in peroxisomes, glyoxysomes, and related organelles where H₂O₂-generating enzymes are located (Agarwal *et al.*, 2009). CAT has one of the highest turnover rates of all enzymes: one molecule of CAT can convert around six million molecules of H₂O₂ to H₂O and O₂ per minute. Thus, CAT is important in removing H₂O₂, which is generated in peroxisomes by oxidases involved in β -oxidation of fatty acids, photorespiration, and purine catabolism (Gill and Tuteja, 2010a). It has also been reported that apart from its reaction with H₂O₂, CAT also reacts with some hydroperoxides (Ali and Alqurainy, 2006). CAT activity shows variable trends under different abiotic stresses (Singh *et al.*, 2008; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2011a).

APX are heme-containing enzymes involved in scavenging H₂O₂ in water-water and AsA-GSH cycles using AsA as the substrate, catalyzing the transfer of electrons from AsA to H₂O₂, producing DHA and water (Pang and Wang, 2010). The APX family consists of at least five different isoforms including mitochondrial (mAPX), thylakoid (tAPX) and glyoxisome membrane forms (gmAPX), as well as chloroplast stromal soluble form (sAPX), cytosolic form (cAPX) (Noctor and Foyer 1998). APX activity is enhanced in plants in response to during different abiotic stress conditions (Singh *et al.*, 2008; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2011a, b).

The univalent oxidation of AsA leads to the formation of MDHA. If MDHA is not reduced again to AsA by MDHAR, it will spontaneously disproportionate into AsA and

DHA. DHA is then reduced to AsA by DHAR in a reaction requiring GSH (Chen et al. 2003). Rapid regeneration is necessary in order to maintain the antioxidative capacity of AsA. The regeneration of AsA could be regulated in this cycle mainly by NADPH-dependent MDHAR activity (Mittova *et al.*, 2000) and thus it is crucial for AsA regeneration and essential for maintaining a reduced pool of AsA (Martínez and Araya, 2010). Although there are also a few reports about MDHAR activity in other physiological processes those are related to oxidative stress, research on different crops under environmental stresses revealed the regulatory role of MDHAR during oxidative stress tolerance and acclimation (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b). MDHAR and DHAR are equally important in regulating the level of AsA and its redox state under oxidative stress (Eltayeb *et al.*, 2006, 2007). DHAR is also a key component of the AsA recycling system (Martínez and Araya, 2010) which regenerates AsA from the oxidized state (DHA) and regulates the cellular AsA redox state. It is thus crucial for tolerance to various abiotic stresses leading to the production of ROS. Increased DHAR activity was reported in response to various ROS-inducing stresses (Lee *et al.*, 2007; Hossain *et al.*, 2010; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a).

Glutathione reductase (GR) is a potential enzyme of the AsA-GSH cycle which catalyzes the reduction of GSH, involved in many metabolic regulatory and antioxidative processes in plants where GR catalyses the NADPH-dependent reduction of disulphide bond of GSSG and is thus important for maintaining the GSH pool (Chalapathi Rao and Reddy 2008). Pang and Wang (2010) reported that GR also maintains a high ratio of GSH/GSSG in plant cells, also necessary for accelerating the H₂O₂ scavenging pathway, particularly under stress conditions. GR plays a crucial role in determining the tolerance of a plant under various stresses by maintaining the antioxidant machinery of the cell, conferring stress tolerance (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b).

Glutathione peroxidases (GPXs) are a large family of diverse isozymes that use GSH to reduce H₂O₂ and organic and lipid hydroperoxides (LOOHs), and therefore protect plant cells from oxidative stress (Noctor *et al.*, 2002). GPX is also a principal cellular enzyme capable of repairing membrane lipid peroxidation and is an important protectant against

oxidative membrane damage (Kühn and Borchert, 2002). In recent years, a number of GPXs genes have been identified from plant species (Kumar *et al.*, 2010).

Plant GSTs are a superfamily of multifunctional enzymes which catalyse the conjugation of electrophilic xenobiotic substrates with GSH (Dixon *et al.*, 2010). Among the enzymes related to GSH metabolism, GST isoenzymes account for approximately 1% of a plants total soluble protein (Marrs, 1996). GSTs catalyse the binding of various xenobiotics (including numerous pesticides) and their electrophilic metabolites with GSH to produce less toxic and more water-soluble conjugates (Edwards *et al.*, 2000). Besides catalyzing the conjugation of electrophilic compounds to GSH, GST isoenzymes also exhibit POX activity (Gullner and Kömives, 2001). Various abiotic stresses are powerful inducers of GST activity in plants (Dixon *et al.*, 2010). Plant GSTs are also associated with responses to various forms of abiotic stress (Hossain *et al.*, 2006a; Dixon *et al.*, 2010; Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b) and confer stress tolerance in plants.

The activity of ROS-scavenging enzymes is highly correlated with antioxidant stress defense and abiotic stress tolerance. However, the activities vary with plant cultivar, stress duration and dose.

The generation of ROS and increased activity of many antioxidant enzymes during abiotic stress have been reported in different plant studies with several reports indicating that the activity of antioxidant enzymes of tolerant genotypes increased in response to abiotic stress whereas the sensitive species failed to do so (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012a).

El-Bassiuny *et al.* (2005) concluded that salt tolerance was related to the endogenous levels of the enzymatic and the non-enzymatic antioxidants in wheat seedlings. Among the three wheat cultivars (H 168, Gimmeza 7 and Beni swif 1) under observation, the activities of SOD, CAT, APX and GR as well as the non-enzymatic antioxidants (AsA and GSH) increased mostly in H 168, but declined in Gimmeza 7 and particularly in Beni swif 1. H 168 had a superior antioxidant defense system and was more tolerant to NaCl than the other two cultivars due to the higher enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants.

2.6 Effect of salinity on wheat

Turki *et al.* (2014) conducted an experiment with thirty-six highly tolerant and 16 highly susceptible wheat varieties which were evaluated in the saline area in the field. The results showed that tolerant varieties could grow and develop biomass under saline conditions. In contrast, susceptible varieties could not even emerge in the stressed condition. They also showed that at seedling stage 100 mM NaCl decreased chlorophyll content, leaf length, number of tillers per plant, number of leaves per plant, shoot length and shoot fresh and dry weights, while at maturity stage plant height, the number of fertile spikes per plant and the number of seeds per spike were affected by at seedling stage 100 mM NaCl. The shoot fresh and dry weights were the most affected traits at seedling stage; however the number of fertile spikes and the number of seeds per spike were the most affected traits at maturity stage.

A field experiment was conducted by Jiang *et al.* (2013) to study the effects of deficit irrigation with saline water on spring wheat growth and yield in an arid region of Northwest China. They applied nine treatments included three salinity levels s_1 , s_2 and s_3 (0.65, 3.2, and 6.1 dS m⁻¹) in combination with three water levels w_1 , w_2 and w_3 (375, 300, and 225 mm). For most treatments, deficit irrigation showed adverse effects on wheat growth; meanwhile, the effect of saline irrigation was not apparent. At 3.2 and 6.1 dS m⁻¹, the highest yield was obtained by w_1 treatments, however, the weight of 1,000 grains and wheat yield both followed the order $w_2 > w_1 > w_3$. They showed that, spring wheat was sensitive to water deficit, especially at the booting to grain-filling stages, but was not significantly affected by saline irrigation and the combination of the two factors. The results demonstrated that 300-mm irrigation water with a salinity of less than 3.2 dS/m is suitable for wheat fields in the study area.

A pot experiment was conducted to study the effect of different salinity levels, i.e. EC= 3 dS m⁻¹ (control), 8, 12 and 16 dS m⁻¹ on four wheat grain yield, yield components and leaf ion uptake. Result revealed that higher grain yield production, higher leaf K⁺ concentration, K⁺:Na⁺ ratio and lower leaf Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentration were observed in Kouhdasht, followed by Attrak, Rasoul and Tajan, respectively (Asgaria *et al.*, 2012).

Kumar *et al.* (2012) was conducted an experiment on eight genotypes of wheat with varying in their salt tolerance level, to evaluate effect of salinity on germination, growth, and yield related parameters. Lower salinity (3 ds m^{-1}) did not affect the germination, growth and yield attributing parameters. Higher salinity levels reduced germination, growth and yield attributing parameters. Genotypes K9644 and K9465 showed maximum reduction in all these regards. Genotypes K9006, K8434, KRL1-4, K88 and HD2733 showed hardness against higher levels of salinity.

An experiment has been carried out by Akbari ghogdi *et al.* (2012) on four cultivars of wheat (Neishabor and Sistani as salt tolerant and Bahar and Tajan as salt sensitive) were exposed to four salinity levels (1.3 dS m^{-1} as control, 5, 10, 15 dS m^{-1}) via calcium chloride and sodium chloride with 1:10 ($\text{Ca}^{2+}:\text{Na}^{+}$ ratio). Chlorophyll content (CHL), Leaf relative water content (RWC), sodium and potassium contents, and also $\text{K}^{+}/\text{Na}^{+}$ ratio were measured at tillering and flowering stages, Total grain yield and yield components were determined. Salinity stress decreased relative water content (RWC), K^{+} content, $\text{K}^{+}/\text{Na}^{+}$ ratio and grain yield; however Na^{+} content in all the genotypes and in both stages were increased. CHL content increased at tillering stage while it is decreased at flowering stage. Sistani and Neishabour cultivars had more amounts of K^{+} content, $\text{K}^{+}/\text{Na}^{+}$ ratio and RWC under salt conditions, at tillering stage Bahar and Tajan cultivars recorded higher CHL and sodium content at both stages. Results showed that the salinity tolerance in tolerant cultivars as manifested by lower decrease in grain yield is associated with the lower sodium accumulation and higher $\text{K}^{+}/\text{Na}^{+}$ compared to the sensitive cultivars.

A pot experiment was carried out by Al-Musa *et al.* (2012) to study the performance of some BARI wheat varieties under the coastal area of Patuakhali. Four wheat varieties viz. BARI ghom-23, BARI ghom-24, BARI ghom-25 and BARI ghom-26 were planted in the field to evaluate their comparative performance in respect of germination percentage, growth, yield and yield attributing characters. Among the four varieties, BARI ghom-26 showed superior performance irrespective of all parameters studied except total dry matter content (TDM) and yield reduction percentage. Among the BARI varieties, BARI ghom-26 produced greater germination (61.00%) at 13 days judge against to other

varieties. The taller plant (47.91 cm), higher LAI (1.84), maximum TDM (17.37 g plant⁻¹) and effective tillers hill⁻¹ (18.08) were also obtained with the similar variety. BARI ghom-26 was also most effective to produce the maximum grains spike⁻¹ (38.52), higher weight of 1000-grains (49.38 g), higher grain (3.35 t ha⁻¹) and straw (8.50 g plant⁻¹) yield and greater HI (4.03%).

Sadat Noori *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment to examine the morpho-physiological effects of eight wheat genotype (Cajema × Sette Cerros, Cajema × HO₂ and Cajema × Lermaroja as hybrid; Sette Cerros, HO₂, Lermaroja, Cajema as parent and Axona as a control) with the application of four saline solutions (0, 150, 200 and 250 mM NaCl) As salinity levels increased, yield and 1000 grain weight and K⁺ concentration declined. Based on Na/K ratio, the best physiological characteristic for recognizing sensitive and tolerant genotypes, Cajema was the most tolerant genotype. Hybrids produced in this study weren't good for salinity condition and the hybrids didn't show more feature than their parents.

Cornelia *et al.* (2013) conducted a pot experiment to examine the influence of the exogenous applied Si solution on some physiological and biochemical parameters of plants, like plant height, leaf area, photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, antioxidative enzymes activity, assimilatory pigment contents and proline content in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Crisana) plantlets under salt stress, in pot experience in milk stage, in comparison with the same parameters of the control lots which were treated with water. They showed that exogenous Si solution, administrated to the wheat seedlings ameliorated the negative effect of salt stress. Positive effects were more pronounced in the case of 0.1 mM Si solution.

Goudarzi and Pakniyat (2010) also conducted an experiment with Fifteen Iranian wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.) were compared for salt tolerance using three treatments: 1.26 (control), 6.8 and 13.8 dS m⁻¹ in a greenhouse. During vegetative growth, shoot Na⁺, K⁺, K⁺:Na⁺ ratio and agronomic traits were measured. In general, tolerant cultivars (Kavir, Niknejad, Chamran and Falat) with better agronomic performance, contained low

Na^+ and higher K^+ and $\text{K}^+:\text{Na}^+$ ratio compared to non-tolerant ones (Ghods, Bayat, Cross Adl and Zarin). Shoot Na^+ content was negatively correlated with grain yield.

Khajanchi *et al.* (2010) conducted a hydroponic experiment, effects of 0, 40, 80 and 160 mM NaCl applied for 4 and 7 days were studied on root morphology of 19 days old wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). The 80 mM NaCl treatment significantly reduced the fresh yield, relative plant growth rate, root length and root surface area of wheat by 42, 62, 45 and 51%, respectively measured 4 days after salt application. The deleterious effects of salinity on wheat were recorded even at of 40 mM NaCl concentration when applied for longer duration of 7 days. In general barley could tolerate 80 mM of NaCl without any adverse effect on the parameters studied except the plant biomass obtained 7 days after salt application. The adverse effects were prominent at 160 mM NaCl both in wheat and barley and more so when applied for longer duration. Under similar levels, NaCl stress was found to be more harmful to wheat than barley. A negative plant growth rate was recorded in wheat 7 days after application of 160 mM NaCl. Majority of the roots of wheat and barley were found in the 0.0 to 0.5 mm diameter category.

Iqbal (2010) conducted a pot experiment on the leaf extension growth of wheat cv. wembley having salinity levels NaCl (at 0, 50, 100 and 200 mM) and Na_2SO_4 (at 0, 50 and 100 mM). The extension growth of leaf 4 to leaf 9, and the flag leaf decreased with increasing Na^+ concentration. NaCl inhibited the growth of leaf and shorter. On the other hand Na_2SO_4 increased leaf growth of leaf 9 and growth continued up to 43 days after transplanting.

Bagci *et al.* (2010) The effect of increasing application of NaCl on root and shoot dry weight at early growth stage, and concentrations of K and Na was studied in 16 bread wheat genotypes grown in nutrient solution. NaCl was applied at 2, 55, 117, 194, and 287 mM. The genotypes showed a wide range of variation for the traits measured under the NaCl treatments. The salt tolerance index (STI) of the genotypes, expressed as the ratio of dry matter yield produced under the NaCl treatments compared to the control treatment, was a reliable criterion for ranking genotypes for their tolerance to NaCl. The very poor correlation between the shoot Na concentration and the STI values indicates

that the root uptake capacity for K and the tissue tolerance (e.g. Na compartmentation) appear to be important physiological factors contributing to differential salt tolerance among the 16 bread wheat genotypes. This study also identified highly sensitive and tolerant genotypes to excess NaCl treatments (up to 287 mM) and these genotypes could be used in breeding programs and molecular physiological studies for development of high-yielding salt-tolerant bread wheat genotypes.

An experiment has been carried out by Hassan (2010) on Egyptian cultivar of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Giza 63) were exposed to salinity levels (0 and 50 mM NaCl) are found that significantly decreased stomatal conductance, net photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll content by 20, 25, and 21 % respectively. This reduction resulted in a change in assimilate allocation in favour of shoot growth, leading to a decrease in root to shoot ratio and eventually to a decrease in relative growth rate of both root and shoot. As a result there was a large reduction in yield parameters, especially in the number of ears per plant and 1000 grain mass.

Noaman (2010) conducted a pot experiment with four durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*, *Triticum durum*) lines (133,146,56 and 83) transferred from *Triticum aestivum* cv. Sakha-8 (control), *Hordeum vulgare* cv. Giza (control), *Triticum turgidum* cv. Langdon (LDN) and recombinant DS4D (LDN4B) where grown at 3 levels of salinity (2, 4 and 8 g L⁻¹). They reported that increasing salinity affected plant height most in line 56 (24.5% reduction). Increasing salinity levels had no significant effect on the number of days from planting to booting, heading or flowering, even though differences among genotypes were significant. Under saline condition, the line DS4D (LDN 4B) had the highest biological yield and grain yield followed by the lines 13, 146 and 83. *Triticum turgidum* cv. Langdon (LDN) showed the greatest sensitivity to salinity.

Abdel-Ghani (2009) was carried out an experiment to determine the effects of salinity levels (control, 6, 12 and 18 dS m⁻¹) on germination, seedling growth, some agronomic traits and proline accumulation in leaves of nine wheat varieties adapted to semi-arid areas of Jordan. Final germination percentage, shoot and seminal root length, and all growth and yield parameters were significantly ($P < 0.05$) decreased by increasing

salinity level. Proline content was significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased by increasing salinity.

Moud and Maghsoudi (2008) conducted an experiment of thirty wheat cultivars under salt stressed condition which were examined at germination and seedling growth stages. Seeds were germinated and grown in long dark cups using distilled water as control and two levels of salt stress imposed by 9 and 15 ds m^{-1} NaCl solution for 48 hours. Coleoptile and root growth was measured as the response of cultivars to salinity. Seedling respiration was expressed as the difference between initial seed weight and seedling dry weight after 48 hours. Significant differences were found among cultivars in terms of coleoptile and root growth under salt stress condition. They were also found that seedling respiration was decreased as salinity level was increased. Salt stress inhibited coleoptile growth more than root growth.

Gawish *et al.* (2008) studied the responses of status and translocation of Na, Cl, N and production for both shoots and roots of two wheat varieties differing in salt tolerance, Giza-164 a relatively salt tolerant and Sakha-69 a relatively salt sensitive variety. The plants were treated with NaCl, CaCl_2 or their mixture at a level of 50, 750, 1500 or 3000 ppm, after the first leaf had emerged. The status of Na and Cl positively responded in shoots. The rate of translocation for the different ions was higher under salinity conditions, particularly in relatively salt tolerant plants presumably due to osmotic adjustment and to reduce the adverse effect on root growth.

Tammam *et al.* (2008) conducted an experiment of one wheat cultivars (Banysoif 1) under salinity condition. Wheat cv. Banysoif 1 was grown in clay soil for 7 days in different pots. Then seedlings were irrigated by different saline waters (0, 60, 120, 180, 240 and 320 mM NaCl) near the field capacity. Plants were kept in the natural condition under these saline levels for 155 days. Fresh and dry weight of roots were measured unchanged up to the level of 120 mM NaCl then a significant reduction obtained at 240 and 320 mM NaCl. In shoots and spikes, dry matters were either unchanged or even stimulated to increase toward 180 mM NaCl then a quick reduction was observed. They also showed that, in shoots, the production of carbohydrates remained mostly unaffected even at the highest salinity level. In spikes, the soluble fractions were increased

significantly by salt stress while the insoluble slightly reduced. Protein content reduced at high levels of salinity in roots while has been increased significantly in shoots and spikes. Amino acid content increased significantly towards 120 mM and 180 mM NaCl then a quick reduction about 55% and 45% recorded in roots and shoots respectively. In spike, there was a significant reduction in amino acids by increasing salt stress. In roots, there was a large accumulation of proline even at the lowest salinity level.

As per the experiment of Hossain *et al.* (2006a), two wheat varieties (Aghrani and Kanchan) were grown in pots and subjected to 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl till their maturity. Water relations, chlorophyll content and mineral ions accumulation in wheat plants were analyzed. Water retention capacity and relative water content were decreased while water uptake capacity and water saturation deficit were increased with the increasing levels of salinity. Salinity increased diffusive resistance but decreased transpiration rate. Chlorophyll content was decreased due to salinity in both Aghrani and Kanchan. Accumulation of Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and Na^{+} increased while that of K^{+} decreased in the salt treated plants. In general, Aghrani accumulated greater amount of Mg, Ca and Na ions than that of Kanchan. It is appeared that Aghrani possesses a better mechanism of salt tolerance than that of Kanchan.

Mandhania *et al.* (2006) studied the effect of salt stress on cell membrane damage, ion content and antioxidant enzymes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) seedlings of two cultivars salt-tolerant KRL-19 and salt-sensitive WH-542. Seedlings (4-d-old) were irrigated with 0, 50 and 100 mM NaCl. Observations were recorded on the 3rd and 6th day after salt treatment and 2nd day after salt removal. The relative water content declined with induction of saltstress, more in WH-542 than in cv. KRL-19. K^{+}/Na^{+} ratio in KRL-19 was higher than in WH-542. WH-542 suffered greater damage to cellular membranes due to lipid peroxidation as indicated by higher accumulation of H_2O_2 , MDA and greater leakage of electrolytes than KRL-19. The activities of catalase, peroxidase and ascorbate peroxidase and glutathione reductase increased with increase in salt stress in both the cultivars, however, superoxide dismutase activity declined. Upon desalinization, partial recovery in the activities of these enzymes was observed in KRL-19 and very slow recovery in WH-542.

In the experiment of El-Bassiouny and Bekheta (2005), two wheat cultivars (Giza 168 and Gimeza 9) were investigated with 0-14 dS m⁻¹ NaCl stress. Changes in relative water content (RWC), polyamines (putrescine, PUT; Spermidine, Spd; Spermine, Spm), amino acids, ethylene and lipid peroxidation were determined in both cultivars in absence and presence of NaCl. NaCl stress reduced the RWC in both cultivars, the reduction was more pronounced in Giza 168. Lipid peroxidation was increased with salinity in both cultivars, more so in Giza 168. Salt stress increased Spd and Spm level in Gimeza 9 while the level of both polyamines was decreased in Giza 168. PUT was increased only by 2.1 dS m⁻¹ NaCl in Giza 168 whereas its level was decreased by all NaCl treatments in Gimeza 9. Amino acid content was increased in Gimeza 9, while the content was decreased in Giza 168 in all NaCl treatments. The predominant amino acids in both cultivars were glutamic acid and proline. Salt stress increased proline level in both cultivars; greater increase was obtained in Gimeza 9. Ethylene level was increased in Gimeza 9, while it was decreased in Giza 168 with increasing salt level.

Bhatti *et al.* (2004) conducted an experiment with 50 salt tolerant wheat lines using tissue culture technique in a greenhouse having salinity levels of EC 1.5 (control) 15 and 30 dS m⁻¹. They observed that increasing salinity levels drastically affected the seedling growth.

Keles and Oncel (2004) conducted an experiment on the soluble metabolites in several cultivars of *Triticum aestivum* and *T. durum* with exposed to water logging, drought and salinity (0.7% NaCl, w/w) stresses for six days. They found that root and shoot fresh weights, significantly decreased under water logging, drought and salt stress and proline content significantly increased in case of salt stress.

An experiment was conducted by Ismail (2003) to study the effect of different concentration of salinity (NaCl up to 250 mM) on the germination, dry matter suction and same relevant metabolic parameters of two lines (Sukha 69 and Sakha164, and one cultivar (Stork) of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). He observed that during germination and seedling stages, the lines could be tolerated in lower and moderate doses of salinity, while the growth was significantly retarded at the lower and moderate levels and completely inhibited at higher levels of salinity.

An experiment was conducted by Iqbal (2003) to evaluate the effects of constant and variable salinity on spring wheat cv. Wembley. He found that salinity significantly decreased the number of tillers, leaf area, shoot and root dry weight per plant. These parameters were always higher at variable than that in constant salinity.

Husain *et al.* (2003) conducted an experiment with six durum wheat genotypes at salinity levels having 1, 75 and 150 mM NaCl, with supplemental Ca^{2+} and measured leaf chlorophyll content, ion concentration, plant height and dry biomass. They observed that the low Na^+ genotypes showed much longer chlorophyll retention than the high Na^+ genotypes, the start of leaf senescence being prolonged by week or more in the low Na^+ genotypes. The difference was greatest at 75 mM NaCl.

An experiment was conducted by Sangwan *et al.* (2003) with the effect of salinity (control, 1.2 dS m^{-1} , 4 dS m^{-1} , 8 dS m^{-1} , and 12 dS m^{-1}) on the performance of wheat cv. WH-291. They observed that increase in salinity levels decreased wheat dry matter production.

Zein *et al.* (2003) conducted two pot experiments under the wire proof greenhouse conditions with irrigation water salinity on yield and yield components of two Egyptian i.e. Sakha 8 and Sakha 92, and six Syrian wheat cultivars, i.e. Bohos 4, Bohos 5, Bohos 6, sham1, sham 4 and sham 6 were irrigated with saline water. Hoagland solution in five water salinity levels 0.4 (control treatment), 4.8, 12 and 16 dS m^{-1} were used in the first season. Based on the results of the first season, the more tolerant wheat cultivars (Sakha 8, Sakha 69, Bohos 5, Bohos 6 and sham) were chosen for the second season study, which were irrigated with Hoagland solution in five water salinity levels, 0.4 (control treatment.), 6, 8, 10 and 12 dS m^{-1} . Here, they observed that the wheat grain and straw yields as well as plant height, spike length and 1000 grain weight were significantly affected by increasing salinity and the Egyptian cultivars could tolerate up to 12 dS m^{-1} salinity while the salt tolerant Syrian cultivars Bohos 6 could tolerate up to 8 dS m^{-1} irrigation water salinity.

Hamdy *et al.* (2003) carried out in a green house on the application of supplemental irrigation to wheat and barley using brackish water with salinity (EC 3 to 9 ds m^{-1}). They

observed that possibility of securing high yields with reductions of only 21 to 25% compared to the fully, fresh-water irrigated control through the application of limited amounts of brackish water.

Sairam and Srivastava (2002) conducted an experiment with the application of long term, medium level of NaCl salinity in two wheat genotype one is tolerant Kharchia 65 and another one is susceptible HD 2687. NaCl salinity caused decrease in relative water content (RWC), chlorophyll (CHL), membrane stability index (MSI) and ascorbic acid (AA) content, and increased the contents of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) (measure of lipid peroxidation) and activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), its various isozymes, ascorbate peroxidase (APOX) and glutathione reductase (GR) in wheat genotypes Kharchia 65 (tolerant) and HD 2687 (susceptible). Salinity tolerant wheat cv. Kharchia 65 showed fewer declines in RWC, CHL, and MSI estimated in whole tissue than salt sensitive HD2687. Kharchia 65 also exhibited less decrease in AA content, less increase in H₂O₂, TBARS contents and higher increase in SOD and its isozymes, APOX and GR in all sub cellular fractions than salt sensitive HD 2687.

Rajpar and Sial (2002) conducted a pot experiment with eight varieties of wheat such Kharchia-65, Anmol, NIAB-20 PAI-81, TW-161, Bakhtwar, KTDH-19 and SARC-1, They observed that plant height, shoot dry weight and root length were decreased salinity up to EC 19 ds m⁻¹.

An experiment was conducted by Ashraf and Parveen (2002) with two wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars, salt tolerant SARC- I and salt sensitive Potohar. Eighteen-day-old plants of both the lines were grown in sand culture and irrigated with 0 (control) 80, 160 or 240 mM NaCl in full strength Hoagland's nutrient solution. Shoot fresh and dry masses, and leaf area per plant at the vegetative stage of SARC-1 were significantly greater than those of cv. Potohar at higher salt concentrations. However, relative growth rate (CGR) of cv. Potohar was significantly higher than that of SARC-1. At the grain development stage, SARC-1 had significantly higher net photosynthetic rate (Pn) and stomatal conductance (gs) in the leaf than cv. Potohar under salinity. SARC-1 was

superior to cv. Potohar with respect to number of grains per spike, number of grains per spikelet; mean grain mass and main yield per plant at all NaCl concentrations.

Akram *et al.* (2002) studied in a pot experiment the effect of salinity (10, 15, 20 dS m⁻¹) on the yield and yield components of salt-tolerant (234/2), medium-responsive (243/1), and susceptible (Fsd 83) wheat varieties. They reported that salinity reduced the spike length, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spikelet, 1000-grains weight, and yield per plant of all the varieties but the susceptible variety was affected the most adversely.

Shazia *et al.* (2001) examined the effect of foliar application of indole Acetic Acid on growth and yield of two lines of spring wheat, Kohistan-97, and Parwaz-94 under different levels (8.12 and 16 dS m⁻¹) of NaCl salinity. The results revealed that all the growth and yield parameters such as plant height, root length, number of leaves per number of fertile tillers, spike length, number of spikelets/spike, number grain spike⁻¹, 1000 grain weight and grain yield plant⁻¹ were decreased progressively with increasing salinity.

Mutawa and Katony (2001) conducted experiment with two wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Giza 157 and cv. Sakha 8). Plants were subjected to different levels of salinity viz. 0, 75 and 150 mM NaCl in nutrient solutions containing 12 mM N either from NH₄ or NO₃ as the sole nitrogen source. Growth of the two cultivars particularly Sakha 8 was better under nitrate than under ammonium nutrition. Ammonium fed plants was poorly developed with a distinctly lower root: shoot ratio and thick, short and highly branched roots compared with nitrate fed plants. The two cultivars exhibited greater salt (NaCl) tolerance under nitrate than under ammonium-N nutrition.

Singh *et al.* (2000) reported that 20 wheat varieties were subjected to salinity stress during seedling growth along with the control. The salinity levels used were 0.0% (control) and 0.5% with corresponding EC values of 2.8 and 20.8 dS m⁻¹, respectively. Seedling growth declined under salinity stress. The genotype Raj-3077 and Kharchina-5 were tolerant to salinity with respect to seedling vigour while Raj-4530 and Raj-3934 were most susceptible genotypes under salinity.

Flagella *et al.* (2000) evaluated the effect of salinity on grain yield and yield components of durum wheat cv. Duilio subjected to the salinity levels of 0.5, 6, 12, 18 and 24 dS m⁻¹ in a growth chamber. The changes in photosynthetic activity were not related to changes in leaf turgor. With regard to photosynthesis and grain yield, durum wheat was moderately resistant to salinity showing significant damages only when irrigation water with EC of 12 dS m⁻¹ or higher was used.

A study was carried out by Bouaouina *et al.* (2000) with the salt tolerance durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*). They observed decreased growth of whole plants, delayed emergence of new leaves and limited K⁺ and Ca⁺⁺ accumulation in these organs under NaCl treated soil salinity. Moreover, Na⁺ accumulation decreased from older to younger leaves. Cellular dry matter production was not much affected in spite of a drop in cellular water content. Depressive effects of K⁺ and Ca⁺⁺ accumulation were evident while Na⁺ cellular accumulation increased with NaCl concentration. These results suggest that wheat has mechanisms to restrict Na⁺ transport and accumulation in younger leaves.

Chopra *et al.* (1997) conducted a field experiment with 6 wheat cultivars which were irrigated with water having salinity levels of 4.0 (control), 6.0, 7.0 and 12.0 dS m⁻¹. Grain yield decreased with increasing salinity level. The cv. Kharachia-65 and I I D-2189 were found the most salt tolerant.

A pot experiment was conducted by Maliwal (1997) to study on a medium black calcareous clay soil, 5 wheat cultivars were exposed to salinities of 0.78, 15.4 dS m⁻¹ with chloride or sulphate salts. Plant growth and yield decreased with increasing salinity. The reduction in yield was the lowest in cv. Kharachia-65 and the highest of that was in cv. J-405. Yield was lower with chloride salt than that with sulphate salt.

2.7 Silicon and crop productivity

Silicon (Si): An introduction (properties, occurrence, discovery and nomenclature)

Si exists in two allotropic forms a) shiny, grayish black needle-like or crystal plates b) amorphous brown powder (Datnoff *et al.*, 2001). The melting point of the crystalline allotrope is 1.41 °C, its boiling point is 2.35 °C, and its density is 2.3 g cm⁻³. Si is the most plentiful element in the earth's crust, ranking second only to oxygen. According to an estimate, Si dioxide (SiO₂) comprises 50-70% of the soil mass and has also been detected in the sun and stars etc. Si never occurs free in nature, but usually exists as a compound with oxygen, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, and other elements. Those compounds that contain Si and oxygen with one or more other elements are known as silicates. The first successful effort in the search for Si was done by the Swedish chemist Jons Jakob Berzelius. The new element was named by the Scottish chemist Thomas Thomson (1773-1852) due to the presence of element in the mineral flint (silex or silicis in Latin). He added the ending -on because of the element's similarity to carbon.

Si is categorized as a beneficial element in plant biology. It is unquestionably an important requirement for the normal growth of many plants and must be called as "Quasiessential" (Epstein, 1999a). A reasonable amount of literature supports that Si is beneficial element and its application may reduce the impact of salt stress in plants. Published data on the role of Si against biotic and abiotic stresses, especially salinity in various plant species have been reviewed in following paragraphs:

Si in plant biology

Si is found in plant tissues growing in soil media. The element, Si shows an anomaly in plant physiology. In the soil solution, Si mainly present in the form of silicic acid (0.1-0.6 mM) and plants absorb most of Si in monosilicic acid form from the growing medium through transpiration stream. When silicic acid is accumulated over a critical level of (~ 100 ppm at biological pH), it is polymerized as phytoliths (SiO₂.nH₂O) bodies that comprise the bulk of a plant's Si content (Exley, 1998). As a result, all plants rooting in soil medium contain significant Si content in their tissues (Ma *et al.*, 2006). Si is deposited within cell wall forming silica-cuticle double layers and silica-cellulose double

layer on the surfaces of leaves and stem (Raven, 2003). The leaf edges and awns of plants are smooth growing in Si-deprived medium, on the other hand, these were found quite rough in Si-repleted plants (Daren *et al.*, 1994).

Despite, the fact, that Si exists as ubiquitous and prominent constituent of plants; it is usually not recognized as an essential element for plant growth. Its role in plant biology is still poorly explored and lacks a direct evidence either it is a part of plant constituents or enzymes. The most of terrestrial plants complete their vegetative and reproductive growth in Si deprived growth medium (Epstein, 2001). The situation was made worse by the continuous reliance on an imperfect old definition of essentiality because of which Si does not meet the criteria of an essential element. Later on, the definition of essentiality was criticized and a new one was devised by Epstein and Bloom (2005) as: An element would be essential if it qualifies either one or both of two criteria, (I) the element is part of a molecule which is an intrinsic component of the structure or metabolism of the plant and (II) the plants with severe deficiency in the element exhibit more abnormalities in growth, development, or reproduction, as compared to plants with a lower deficiency. Following this definition, Si is essential for higher plants because Si deficiency causes various abnormalities in the plant. Si-deficient plants are much more different from Si-enriched plants in structure, chemical composition, mechanical strength, yield and yield contributing factors, enzymatic activities, disease and pest resistance, metal toxicity, salt and drought tolerance etc. (Epstein, 2001).

The role of Si in plant growth and development remained overlooked until the beginning of the 20th century. The most plant physiologists ignored the positive effects of Si on plant body due to (I) Si is biologically un reactive element in soil plant system and (II) quantitative abundance of the element in nature and major inorganic constituent of plants, therefore, visible symptoms of either Si deficiency or toxicity were not apparent (Richmond and Sussman, 2003). With the passage of time, repeated cropping and the constant application of chemical fertilizers have depleted the Si that was available to plants as a nutrient. This Si deficiency in soils is now recognized as a limiting factor for crop production, particularly in highly weathered soils (Datnoff, 2004).

However, awareness is scarce about the role of Si on the growth of plants grown on young *Aridisols* soil order that is relatively less Si-depleted. But ample information is present about the deficiency of Si in the *Aridisol* soil order in Bangladesh. Present research is one of attempts to see the beneficial role of Si in wheat under salt stress in *Aridisols*.

Certain crops especially, from Poaceae and Cyperaceae families build up a large amount of Si (Mitani and Ma, 2005) and its application to these crops ensures better growth. Usually graminous plants accumulate and deposit more Si in their tissues than other species (Matichenkov and Kosobrukhov, 2004). Being the member of Poaceae family, wheat is also designated as Si accumulator. Wheat is the major staple food of South-Asia (Richmond & Sussman, 2003) and is categorized as salt sensitive glycophyte as salt stress suppresses the vegetative and reproductive growth of wheat (Zhu *et al.*, 2004). Si is the only element that does not damage plants when accumulated in excess due to its properties of un-dissociation pH and polymerization (Ma *et al.*, 2001). When plants are exposed to various abiotic and biotic stresses the effects of Si against them are generally expressed more evidently (Takahashi *et al.*, 1990; Epstein, 1999b). Growth enhancement in higher plants Si application is more prominent under biotic and abiotic stresses (Liang *et al.*, 1999). The use of Si in agriculture is cost effective and environmental friendly tool against salt stress. Si is well-known to enhance growth of plants subjected to saline stress, hence is advantageous to ameliorate the salinity stress (Liang *et al.*, 1996).

Different mechanisms by which Si mediates salinity tolerance in plants are described below:

Si maintains the plant water Status under saline conditions

Plants have to face water deficit under salt saline conditions imposed by the low external water potential due to high concentration of salts (Na^+ and Cl^-) in soil solution and their accumulation in extracellular region inside the plant body (Romero-Aranda *et al.*, 2001). These ions are continuously transported to the aerial parts of plants through transpiration stream, and when the saline ions content reach a toxic threshold, cause severe damages to plant tissues. Any factor that enables plants to retard the toxic level of ions is crucial for

their salinity tolerance. The plants can survive with situation if they have ability to retain water in saline medium and in this way they can improve tissue tolerance by mitigating an excessive ion concentration by a dilution effect (Cuartero *et al.*, 1992). Si, due to its hydrophilic nature, helps plants to tolerate salt toxicity by improving the water economy of the plant. Si amendment can improve plant water status by reducing the osmotic effect of salinity on plant water uptake and plant water storage.

The increased leaf area due to Si treatment recorded in salinized plants proposed that in addition to benefits of Si to keep/store water and to increase cell turgor, Si could be involved in the expansion of cell wall and consequently enhances cell enlargement. Bradbury and Ahmed (1990) studied in *Prosopis Juliflorathat* plants growing in saline soil (260 mM) in the presences of Si had significantly greater leaf weight ratio (LWR) and lesser values of specific leaf area (SLA) compared to salinized plants where Si was not applied. It was suggested that plants treated with Si had lower fraction of dry weight than leaves because the leaves were small and thick as compared to plants grown in the absence of Si. The decreased surface area helped these plants to tolerate salinity by reducing the transpirational loss of water.

Romero *et al.* (2006) noted that the increase in the volume of symplastic water within seedlings of tomato grown under salt stress with Si application in the growth medium and simultaneous promotion in biomass production was a function of increased water influx. Leaf turgor potential and net photosynthesis rates were found 42 and 20% higher respectively in salt-stressed plants treated with Si in comparison to plants grown in Si free solution.

Romero *et al.* (2006) pointed that the plant water content was also decreased by 54% in tomato plants due to salt stress. Supply of Si under non-saline conditions did not significantly change the plant water content. However, when salinized plants were supplied with Si, their water content increased upto 40%. Plants treated with NaCl in the presence of Si showed values of turgor potential 42% higher than those plants treated only with NaCl.

Water use efficiency (WUE) estimated as the ratio between net CO₂ assimilation and transpiration rates was decreased under saline conditions in rice plants. However, salt-stressed plants supplied with Si showed values of WUE 17% greater than those of salinized plants which were not supplied with Si by reducing the transpiration (Agurie *et al.*, 1992).

It can be concluded that Si increases the water storage within plant body, which contributes to salt dilution and allows a higher growth rate by alleviating deleterious effect of salt toxicity.

Morphological and physiological enhancements in plants due to Si deposition within plant body under salt stress conditions

In addition to the maintenance of water status, improvement in K: Na and alleviation of oxidative stress, Si amendment also plays a pivotal role to enhance chlorophyll content, stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and rigidity of plants under stressful conditions. Vorm (1980) observed a gradual shift from metabolic absorption to metabolic exclusion, depending on the Si concentration in the solution culture. Absorption of Si increased in the order of soybean < sunflower and wheat < sugarcane and rice.

Chlorophyll fractions and their ratios indicate the efficiency of photosystem, which in turn enables the plants to produce dry matter via continual supply of photosynthates. Any treatment that can increase or maintain chlorophyll contents is important for better crop growth. It is reported that Si can also enhance the photochemical efficiency of plant even under different stresses including Si.

Al-aghaby *et al.* (2004) reported that salinity stress significantly decreased both *Chl a* and *Chl b* contents in tomato plants, however, Si supplementation increased both *Chl a* and *Chl b* under salt stress after 10 days of application and enhanced the photochemical efficiency of PSII. Salt stress also significantly decreased both *Chl a* and *Chl b* in maize plants, however, added Si increased them under salt stress after two months of treatments. This increase in the photosynthetic efficiency was 22.2% greater than the control plants (Moussa, 2006). Foliar spray of potassium silicate in straw berry not only increased chlorophyll content in leaves, but also caused metabolic changes such as increase in citric

acid and malic acid levels; and reduction in fructose, glucose, sucrose and myo-inositol contents. The Si treated tissues also had higher proportions of fatty acid unsaturation in glycolipids and phospholipids; and prominent amounts of membrane lipids (Wang and Galletta, 1998). The degree of unsaturation of lipids and their contents are a sign of salinity tolerance adopted by straw berry that helped to keep intact the leaf chloroplast and protected from salinity damage. Si application reduces the transpiration rate to restrict the Na uptake as a result CO₂ intake is enhanced showing higher stomatal conductance.

Yeo et al. (1999) reported that Si amendment enhanced the stomatal conductance of rice plants subjected to salt stress showing that silicate can reduce Na uptake via decline in the transpiration rate, which ultimately results into the reduction in growth and net photosynthesis induced by salinity stress.

Increased water content in plants favors higher stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. Si treated sorghum plants can take out larger amount of water from drier soil under water deficit conditions and maintain an elevated stomatal conductance and photosynthesis which in turn increases the relative growth rate (dry matter production per unit dry weight), which occurs due to higher net assimilation rate (NAR) or photosynthetic rate and increases water use efficiency (WUE) (Hattori *et al.*, 2005). Si pretreatment in rice plants subjected to salinity stress in a greenhouse study enhanced the stomatal conductance from 138 mmol m⁻²s⁻¹ to 50 mmol m⁻²s⁻¹. This increase was 20% more as compared with control. It resulted in an increase in assimilation rate (NAR) (Gong *et al.*, 2006).

Takahashi (1995) noted that Si application also gives mechanical strength to plants and facilitates them to resist lodging. It occurred due to thickening of cell walls of sclerenchyma tissue in the culm and led to the shortening and thickening of internodes.

Linjuan *et al.* (1999) investigated the effects of Si on the seedling growth of creeping bent grass and zoysia grass grown in nutrient solution in a green house chamber. Si significantly enhanced the grass quality including rigidity, elasticity and traffic resistance. It also enabled the creeping bentgrass to tolerate heat stress beyond 45°C during the day time and 35°C at night.

Stimulation of antioxidants and detoxification of ROS by Si application under salt stress

Any stressful environment enhances the accumulation of ROS, such as superoxide radicals (O_2^-), hydroxyl radicals (OH^-) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). These strong oxidizing species cause oxidative damage to biomolecules such as proteins, lipids and eventually lead to cell death (Mittler, 2002). It is well known that lipid peroxidation of membranes lipids induced by free radicals is an indicator of stress-induced damage at the cellular level (Jiang *et al.*, 2013). Literature shows that plasmamembrane injury induced by salt stress is associated with increased production of highly toxic free radicals.

When stress appears plant defensive power becomes weak due to reduced activity of antioxidants. Similarly under salt stress, activities of both superoxide dismutase and catalase are declined in plants (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999) whereas the malondialdehyde (MDA) content (the product of peroxidation of membrane lipids) accumulated rapidly resulting in an increased permeability of plasma membranes. It is therefore used as an indicator of oxidative damage.

Defensive system of plants enables them to ameliorate salt stress via production of enzymatic antioxidants such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and second non-enzymatic antioxidants such as ascorbate, glutathione and α -tocopherol. Different scientists studied in different plant species that the activity of defense system affected by salinity stress may be enhanced by Si application. Al-aghabary *et al.* (2004) reported that Si moderately offsets the negative effects of NaCl stress by increasing tolerance of tomato plants through enhancement in activities of SOD and CAT and soluble proteins contents in leaves. In contrast, salt stress slightly promoted APX activity. Si addition slightly decreased APX activity but, significantly decreased H_2O_2 and MDA concentrations in the leaves of plants when compared with plants treated with salt alone.

Liang *et al.* (1996) conducted his experiments on two contrasting barley cultivars: Kepin No.7 (salt sensitive), and Jian 4 (salt tolerant) and reported that exogenous application of Si significantly stimulated the activities of antioxidant enzymes, CAT (catalase), SOD

(superoxide dismutase) and POD (peroxidase) in roots of barley plants subjected to salinity stress in comparison to Si-depleted salt treatment. Si effect was time dependent and became more prominent as the experiments continued. Plant roots were harvested 2, 4 and 6 days after treatment applied. The activities of CAT (catalase), SOD (superoxide dismutase) and POD (peroxidase) in roots of salt-stressed plants were significantly depressed from day four onward. Again (in 1999) he examined the defense system of barley plants induced by Si under saline conditions. Barley plants were grown in hydroponics containing 120 mol m^{-3} NaCl only and 120 mol m^{-3} NaCl with 1.0 mol m^{-3} Si (as potassium silicate). They further, reported that the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and H^+ ATPase in leaves and roots were significantly increased, whereas the concentration of malondialdehyde (MDA) in plant leaves was found to be significantly decreased for both varieties Kepin No.7 (salt sensitive), and Jian 4 (salt tolerant) when treated with salt and Si as compared with the plants treated with salt alone, It can be concluded that higher activities of SOD, CAT, POD, H^+ ATPase and lower concentration of MDA in salt-stressed plants induced by Si addition may protect plant tissues from membrane oxidative damage under salt stress, thus mitigating salt toxicity and improving the growth of barley plants.

Zhu *et al.* (2004) in cucumber plants reported that application of one mM Si under 50 mM NaCl toxicity significantly decreased ELP (electrolytic leakage percentage) due to limited production of LPO (lipid peroxidation), H_2O_2 (hydrogen peroxide) and TBARS (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances) content. On the other hand, it enhanced the activities of SOD (superoxide dismutase), GPX (guaiacol peroxidase), APX (ascorbate peroxides), DHAR (dehydroascorbate reductase) and GR (glutathione reductase) in salt stressed leaves. It was concluded that increased activities of SOD, GPX, APX, DHAR and GR in salt stressed leaves induced by Si addition helped plants to withstand oxidative damage under salt stress, thus alleviated salt toxicity and improved the growth of cucumber plants.

Gunes *et al.* (2007) studied in spinach and tomato plants grown on sodic-B toxic soil that Si addition into the growth medium suppressed the salt-induced production of H_2O_2 and increased the chlorophyll content, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase activity and

photosynthetic activity of leaf cell organelles under salt stress. Si-applied improved the stability of lipids in cell membranes and prevented the structural and functional weakening of cell membranes under salt stress. Thus it was concluded that Si is involved in the metabolic or physiological changes occurring in plants under environmental stress.

Moussa (2006) conducted his experiments on maize plants and reported that the concentration of MDA and H₂O₂ in the leaves and free proline content were decreased in salt-stressed maize plants.

Gong *et al.* (2005) studied the influence of Si for the improvement of plant defense affected by water stress. He found that Si supplementation in wheat under water stress conditions enhanced the activities of some antioxidant enzymes: SOD, CAT and glutathione reductase (GR), the unsaturation of fatty acids whereas that of H₂O₂, acid phospholipase were reduced and the oxidative damage was ameliorated.

Silicon improves growth and dry matter production under salt stress conditions.

It is evident from the above discussed literature that Si promotes the morphological, physiological and metabolic performance of plants both under saline and non-saline conditions. This improved performance of plants due to Si amendment ensures their better growth resulting in an increased yield and yield contributing factors in many plant species growing in lab, greenhouse and field conditions. It is mostly reported that as Si content within plant body increases, yield increases as described by different plant scientists in the following.

Linjuan *et al.* (1999) observed that the Si-amended plants produced more fresh matter over the Si deprived plant. Addition of Si into the culture solution @ 5.0 mM increased the root length, root fresh weight and leaf fresh weight of creeping bent grass and zoysiagrass. Because, the Si-treated seedlings showed the higher uptake of P and Si deposition in shoots as compared to the non-treated seedlings. It might be due to the positive effect of Si nutrition on nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) assimilation as suggested by Watanabe *et al.* (2001) in rice plants who examined the effect of Si-addition on metabolism and translocation of nutrients in rice plants.

Matichenkov and Calvert (2002) conducted a number of field and greenhouse experiments on sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) crop. They found that Si concentration in cultivated plants ranged from 0.3 to 8.4%. A range of 210-224 million tons of Si or 70-8000 kg ha⁻¹ of plant available Si is harvested with the sugarcane crop every year. Removal of Si by sugarcane crop exceeds those of the macronutrients N, P and K and usually the Si content in sugarcane leaves ranged from 0.1 to 3.2%. They concluded that sugarcane yield is associated with Si concentration in the leaves. They also stated that added Si had a positive effect on the disease, pest and frost resistance of sugarcane. The sugarcane productivity ranged from 17 to 30% whereas production of sugar increased from 23 to 58% with increasing levels of fertilizer.

Jiang *et al.* (2013) selected 274 standard barley cultivars from the barley germplasm center of the research institute for Bioresources located in Okayama University and 135 varieties were taken from the barley core collection of Americans. They were sown in the same field and then plants were for Si content. The Si concentration of barley grain indicated more variation from 0 to 3600 mg kg⁻¹ in standard varieties and from 0 to 3800 mg kg⁻¹ in barley core as compared to hulled barley. The Si content was observed lower in hull-less barley in comparison to the hulled barley. The Si content of two-row barley was found equivalent to that of six-row barley. Greater than 80% of the Si was deposited in the hull. The Si concentration of the hull ranged between 15343 and 27089 mg kg⁻¹. They concluded that the change in Si concentration of barley grain is controlled genetically and it provides fundamental basis for breeding Si-rich barley cultivars.

Necrotic spots in rice leaves were noticed in Si deficient plants, which might be called as deficiency symptoms. Si lacking rice plants showed retarded growth and the proportion of sterility increased in them significantly. Application of Si greatly increased yield (Mitsui and Taktoh, 1963).

Si fertilization benefits rice plants in the field after transplanting in field experiments. Various rates of Ca-silicate slag supplied to nursery plants increased the number of leaves and dry matter production per plant (Lee *et al.*, 1985).

Bradbury and Ahmad (1990) conducted their research work on *Prosopis julifloraby* growing in the saline soil and treated with saline irrigation (260 mM) water for 24 days. Si (SiO_2 @ 0.46 mM) application increased the dry weight (34%) and leaf area of plants as compared to control plants.

Marshner *et al.* (1990) concluded that the exclusion of Si from growth medium causes Si deficiency. Subsequently the positive effect of added Si on the growth of rice plants occurred due to its rectifying effects on an imbalance between Zn and P supply.

Agurie *et al.* (1992) investigated that the Si fertilization maintains the photosynthetic activity. It could be one of the reasons for increased dry matter production in rice.

Increasing concentration of NaCl reduced the germination percentage and growth of wheat in Si free medium. Increase in dry weight of the shoot was significant in wheat after Si addition at 0.6% salinity, whereas dry weight of the root remained unaffected. Concentration of Si in roots increased with increasing Si levels under salt stress. A gradual reduction in tiller initiation and dry matter production of shoot was observed due to increase in salinity. It was noticed that the inclusion of 20 mg L^{-1} Si increased the number of tillers both under saline and control conditions (Ahmad *et al.*, 1992).

Friesen *et al.* (1994) carried out field trial in Colombia to study the effect of Si deficiency on upland rice. They concluded that si deficiency proved to be a major soil nutrient constraint, restraining yield of upland rice up to 40% ($600\text{-}900 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$). When Si was supplemented, It increased the rice yield up to a significant extent.

Daren *et al.* (1994) studied the changeability and relation of si concentration depositing in rice plant tissue with yield components of ten rice varieties grown at two different sites in Florida on si-deficient organic histosol. The plots were replicated five times with two treatments i.e.si-amended soil and non-amended (control). Plots were sampled for determination of Si concentration in plant tissue. Si application increased yield as a result of increase in number of grains per panicle, whereas weight per 100 seeds and panicles per square meter exhibited less change. Liang *et al.* (1999) studied the effect of Si on rice crop in calcareous soils and reported significant increase in its yield due to Si application.

Shengyi *et al.* (1998) studied the effect of Si fertilization on cotton growth in a field trial in China. They experienced improved early growth of cotton due to Si application. Si significantly increased total number of sympodia plant⁻¹, number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll size and lint percentage. Lint yield was enhanced by an average of 11.7% due to Si addition.

Alvarez and Dantoff (1999) carried out field experiments on rice-sugarcane rotation in Florida and worked out an economic analysis of rice and sugarcane upon Si application. The exercise of Si containing fertilizer application significantly increased the yield of both the crops.

The increasing rate of Si nutrition in the field promoted the dry matter yield, amount of N/leaf area and chlorophyll of rice plants. Moisture content of leaf blade/light transmission was higher in Si-treated plots as compared to control. Si supplementation also increased number of grains per unit area, % age of mature grains and ultimately the yield of rice (Ando *et al.*, 1999).

Muir *et al.* (1999) carried out experiments by growing cucumber and paper daisies in an organic and sand based control mix (CON), + Si mix (SIM=CON +Si) and rice hull ash mix (RAM)". Molybdate reactive Si ranged between 2.25 to 2.55 mg L⁻¹ for CON, 14.1 to 15.0 mg L⁻¹ for SAM and 11.4 to 13.6 mg L⁻¹ for RAM. Growth of cucumber and paper daisies in RAM and SIM was observed significantly higher than those sown in CON. Plants sown in RAM accumulated more Si than from CON. The effect of Si on various plants growing in yellow River alluvial plains of China was studied. The results showed that Si fertilizer application enhanced the grain production by 10-26% for peanut (Cai, 1999).

Korndorfer *et al.* (1999) observed the influence of Si containing fertilizer on grain discoloration and growth of rice crop at four different Savanna soils in Brazil. Five Si levels were applied to each soil. Si supplementation increased total grain weight and significantly reduced grain discoloration independent of soil type. Increasing amount of Si fertilizer increased Si concentration in the leaves.

The influence of Si, Zn and Mn was studied on high yielding maize plants grown under field conditions. The increase in average length of cob by 0.3, 0.8 and 0.5 cm and the

reduction in the length of sterile ear tip by 0, 0.3 and 0.2 cm due to Si, Zn and Mn treatments, respectively. The number of seeds per ear and 1000 grain weight was increased by treatments with Si, Zn and Mn and ultimately increased the maize yield. The optimized dose sodium silicate applied was of 90 kg ha⁻¹ (Hua *et al.*, 1999).

Wang-Chuan Bing *et al.* (1999) studied the influence of Si fertilizer on rice grown in fields. Si fertilization into the field increased Si and Phosphorus uptake, number of productive panicles and grain per panicle. They concluded that yield increased upto 5% with silicate application.

The influence of different doses of SiO₂ on three rice cultivars (Carajas, Caiapo and Confianca) under green house condition was studied in Brazil. The linear and significant relationship between SiO₂ and grain yield was observed. The maximum grain yield increase of 23% was seen as compared to control by using 3 g pot⁻¹ of SiO₂ which corresponds to one t ha⁻¹. The cultivar Confianca showed the highest tissue concentration of Si followed by Carajas and caiapo. The application of SiO₂ increased the pH and soluble Si in soil solution (Filho *et al.*, 1999).

Fujii *et al.* (1999) studied the effect of Si on rooting ability and early growth of rice plants by using silica gel. The results demonstrated that seedlings treated with Silica gel had a higher dry weight, higher dry weight to plant height ratio. Supplementation of silica gel also increased Si deposition, photosynthetic rate, number of roots and root dry weight. Field experiments using treatments comprising sugar mill ash alone and a sugar mill filter mold/ash mixture with supply of nutrients were conducted. Data were obtained from first and second ratoon crops for 2 years. A good yield response to both sugar mill wastes in first and second ratoon crops was observed. Yield benefits were attributed to better N and Si nutrition and decreased bulk density. Sugar mill wastes resulted in higher concentration Si in soil and leaf than other treatment. It appears as if Si in ash has improved N use efficiency.

Talashilkar *et al.* (1999) conducted two field experiments on a Vertisol and on an Inceptisol to study the influence of calcium silicate slag (CSS) on plant growth, nutrient uptake, yield and juice quality of two sugarcane varieties (Co-86032 and Co-92013). The

CSS (45% SiO₂) was applied as a basal dose at six rates (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 t ha⁻¹) and compared with recommended levels of farmyard manure (FYM) and NPK fertilizers were used. In both the experiments, the application of different levels of CSS resulted in significant increase nutrient uptake, plant growth and cane yield.

The influence of calcium silicate slag (CSS) on nutrient uptake, plant growth and yield of irrigated transplanted rice (Cv. RTN-24) was observed on an Inceptisol in India. The CSS used enhanced the, nutrient (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Si) uptake, growth and yield in comparison to the split application of prilled urea and basal SSP (Talashilkar and Savant, 1999).

Correa-Victoria *et al.* (1999) carried out field trials in Colombia collected the data over two years. They determined the level of Si at which deficiency symptoms appear and the rice yield is restricted. Si application increased rice yield approximately upto 40%. A residual effect was also observed on the yield. Kumbhar and Savant (1999) studied the effect of rice hull ash (RHA) (as a source of Si) in combination with rice straw (RS) (as a source of K and Si) on, plant growth, yield and incidence of blast disease in transplanted rice field in India. The combined use of ash and straw @ 2.0 kg m⁻² and 2 t ha⁻¹ respectively increased grain yield @ 405 Quintal ha⁻¹ in comparison to the control (Non-treated).

Liang *et al.* (1999) conducted study to investigate the impact of Si on plant growth and its role in nutrient uptake in barley plants grown hydroponically under toxic levels of aluminum (Al). Plants exhibited more dry weight, root length and shoot length with Si at 50 µmol L⁻¹ in comparison with 75 µmol L⁻¹ and beyond. Gong *et al.* (2005) studied the effect of Si on the growth of wheat under drought. They reported an increase in wheat production in arid or semi-arid areas due to application of silicate fertilizer. Inclusion of Si in nutrient solution alleviated the negative impact on growth induced by Na⁺ and augmented dry matter accumulation in all parts of tomato leaf, stem and root (Al-ghabary *et al.*, 2004).

The influence of Si application on plant size of sorghum became clear as the plant continued to grow. Added Si increased the Si concentration in the shoot and led to higher dry matter production under water stress conditions (Hattori *et al.*, 2005).

Supplemental application of Si increased dry matter accumulation in all parts of maize under saline conditions and the raise in leaf and total plant was significant. Added Si had no effect on dry matter accumulation under no salt stress, signifying that added Si in nutrient solution alleviated the growth inhibition induced by added NaCl (Moussa, 2006).

Gong *et al.* (2006) determined that salinity (50 mM NaCl) reduced the growth of shoots and roots in rice. Exogenously applied Ca-silicate (3mM) to the saline culture solution increased the growth of shoots but not roots. Supplemental application of Si significantly enhanced shoot dry weight, fresh weight and height of plants growing in solution culture alone by an average of 26% and spectacularly reduced the negative impact of salinity to an average of 8%. The increase in shoot growth due to silicate was correlated with reduced sodium concentrations in shoots.

Chapter 3

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This chapter presents a brief description about experimental period, site description, climatic condition, crop or planting materials, treatments, experimental design and layout, crop growing procedure, fertilizer application, uprooting of seedlings, intercultural operations, data collection and statistical analysis.

3.1 Location

The experiment was conducted at the Experimental shed of the Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November to March, 2013. The location of the experimental site has been shown in Appendix I.

3.2 Soil

The soil of the experimental area belonged to the Modhupur tract (AEZ No. 28). It was a medium high land with non-calcarious dark grey soil. The pH value of the soil was 5.6. The physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil have been shown in Appendix II.

3.3 Climate

The experimental area was under the subtropical climate and was characterized by high temperature, high humidity and heavy precipitation with occasional gusty winds during the period from April to September, but scanty rainfall associated with moderately low temperature prevailed during the period from October to March. The detailed meteorological data in respect of air temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and sunshine hour recorded by the meteorology center, Dhaka for the period of experimentation have been presented in Appendix III.

3.4 Materials

3.4.1 Plant materials

Two wheat genotypes BARI Gom21 (Satabdi) and BARI Gom25 were used in the experiment. The features of two varieties are presented below:

BARI Gom21: BARI Gom21 variety is grown in rabi season. It is a line crossed variety of wheat released by BARI in 2000. Grain colour its white and large in size. The cultivar matures at 105- 112 days of planting. It attains a plant height 90-100 cm. The cultivar gives an average yield of 3.60-5.0 t ha⁻¹

BARI Gom25: The grains are of large in size and white colour. The cultivar matures at 102-110 days after sowing. It attains a plant height 95-100 cm. The cultivar is moderately saline tolerant (6-8 mmohs cm⁻¹). The cultivar gives an average yield of 3.6-4.6 t ha⁻¹.

3.4.2 Earthen pot

Empty earthen pots with 18 inch depth were used for the experiment. Twelve kilogram sun-dried soils were put in each pot. After that, pots were prepared for seed sowing.

3.5 Salinity treatments

The salinity treatments were applied on 35 DAS, 42 DAS, 49 DAS, 56 DAS and 63 DAS. There were five salinity levels including control where developed by adding respected amount commercial NaCl (Wako, Japan) salt to the soil pot⁻¹ as water dissolved solution. The salinity levels were C (control), S50 (50 mM NaCl), S100 (100 mM NaCl), S150 (150 mM NaCl) and S200 (200 mM NaCl).

3.6 Protectant treatments

Silicon (Si) was used as a protectants. The concentration of sodium silicate (Na_2SiO_3 , Wako, Japan) was 1mM and applied as spray solution.

3.7 Treatments

The experiment consisted of two factors as mentioned below:

a) Factor A: varieties

- i. BARI Gom 21
- ii. BARI Gom 25

b) Factor B: Treatments

- i. Control (C)
- ii. 1 mM Si (C+Si)
- iii. 50 mM NaCl (S50)
- iv. 50 mM NaCl+1 mM Si (S50+Si)
- v. 100 mM NaCl (S100)
- vi. 100 mM NaCl+1 mM Si (S100+Si)
- vii. 150 mM NaCl (S150)
- viii. 150 mM NaCl+1 mM Si (S150+Si)
- ix. 200 mM NaCl (S200)
- x. 200 mM NaCl+1 mM Si (S200+Si)

3.8 Design and layout of the experiment

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. There were 60 pots all together replication with the given factors.

R₁		R₂		R₃	
BARI Gom 21	BARI Gom 25	BARI Gom 21	BARI Gom 25	BARI Gom 21	BARI Gom 25
C	C + Si	S50	S50+ Si	S100	S100 + Si
C +Si	S50	S50+ Si	S100	S100 + Si	S150
S50	S50+ Si	S100	S100 + Si	S150	S150+ Si
S50+ Si	S100	S100 + Si	S150	S150+ Si	S200
S100	S100 + Si	S150	S150+ Si	S200	S200+ Si
S100 + Si	S150	S150+ Si	S200	S200+ Si	C
S150	S150+ Si	S200	S200+ Si	C	C + Si
S150+ Si	S200	S200+ Si	C	C + Si	S50
S200	S200+ Si	C	C + Si	S50	S50+ Si
S200+ Si ₁	C	C + Si	S50	S50+ Si	S100

3.9 Seed collection

Seeds of BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 were collected from Bangladesh Agriculture Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur.

3.10 Pot preparation

The collected soil was sun dried, crushed and sieved. The soil and fertilizers were mixed well before placing the soils in the pots. Soils of the pots were poured in polythene bag. Each pot was filled up with 12 kg soil. Pots were placed at the net house of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University. The pots were pre-labeled for each variety and treatment. Finally, water was added to bring soil water level to field capacity.

3.11 Fertilizer Application

The nitrogenous, phosphatic, potassic and sulphur fertilizers were applied in the experimental pots @ 220 kg ha⁻¹, 180 kg ha⁻¹, 50 kg ha⁻¹ and 120 kg ha⁻¹ in the form of urea, triple super phosphate, muriate of potash and gypsum, respectively. One-third of urea and the whole amount of other fertilizers were incorporated with soil at final pot preparation before sowing. Rest of the nitrogen were applied in two equal splits one at

crown root initiation stage and the rest at panicle initiation stage when panicle primordial was about 1-2 mm.

3.12 Seed sowing technique

Fifteen healthy seeds of each variety were sown in each pot. After germination 9-10 plants were allowed to grow in each pot.

3.13 Intercultural operations

3.13.1 Gap filling and thinning

After sowing seeds continuous observation was kept. It was observed that no single seed failed to germinate. So, there was need of gap filling. Keen observation was made for thinning to maintain 9-10 seedlings. Thinning was done to maintain spacing of the plants.

3.13.2 Weeding and irrigation

Sometimes there were some weeds observed in pots which were uprooted manually. Irrigation was given after salt treatment at 35 DAS to maintain field capacity moisture level.

3.13.3 Plant protection measure

There was no insect pests appeared. Moreover, the pots were protected by netting to prevent birds.

3.14 General observation of the experimental pots

Observations were made regularly and the plants looked normal green. No lodging was observed at any stage. The maximum tillering, panicle initiation, and flowering stages were not uniform.

3.15 Germination test

Germination test was performed before sowing the seeds in the pot. For laboratory test, petridishes were used. Filter paper was placed on petridishes. Firstly seeds were soaked in 10ml of 70% alcohol for 10 minutes. Then half amounts of seeds were soaked in Si solution for 1 hr. The filter paper soaked with 10 ml water for Control and 10 ml of 50 mM, 100 mM, 150 mM and 200 mM NaCl solution. Seeds were placed in petridishes randomly. Data on emergence were collected on percentage basis by using the following formula:

3.16 Collection of data

Data were recorded on the following parameters:

1. Phenological parameters

- Days to flowering
- Days to grain formation
- Days to maturity

2. Crop growth parameters:

- Plant height (cm) at 15 days interval up to harvest
- Tiller no. plant⁻¹ at 15 days interval up to harvest
- Above ground fresh weight plant⁻¹-(g) at 15 days interval up to harvest
- Above ground dry matter weight plant⁻¹-(g) at 15 days interval up to harvest
- Crop growth rate (CGR) at 15 days interval up to harvest
- Relative growth rate (RGR) at 15 days interval up to harvest

3. Physiological parameters:

- Chlorophyll (SPAD) value of leaf
- Relative water content (RWC)

4. Biochemical parameters

- Lipid peroxidation
- Reactive oxygen species generation
- H₂O₂ content
- Ascorbic acid content
- Glutathione content
- Activities of antioxidant enzymes (APX, MDHAR, DHAR, GR, GST, POD and CAT)

5. Yield contributing parameter:

- Spikes plant⁻¹(no.)
- Spikelets Spike⁻¹(no.)
- Spike length (cm)
- 1000-seeds weight (g)
- Effective tiller (no.)
- Ineffective tiller (no.)
- Filled grain (no.)
- Unfilled grain (no.)

4. Yields:

- Grain yield plant⁻¹
- Straw yield plant⁻¹
- Harvest index (%)

3.17 Procedure of sampling germination parameter

3.17.1 Germination (%)

Germination (%) was measured by the following formula-

$$\text{Germination (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of germinated seed}}{\text{Number of seed placed}} \times 100$$

3.17.2 Normal and abnormal seedlings (%)

The normal seedlings and abnormal seedlings were classified according to the prescribed rules given by ISTA (1999).

3.17.3 Shoot and root length (cm)

Shoot and root length was measured from five seedlings randomly.

3.17.4 Fresh weight of shoot and root (g) seedling⁻¹

Five sample seedlings were given for taking fresh weight. Then seedlings shoot and root were weighed in balance and averaged them to take fresh weight seedling⁻¹

3.17.5 Dry weight (g) seedling⁻¹

After weighing the fresh weight, seedlings were then in an electric oven maintaining 60°C for 24 hours. Then it was weighed in balance to take dry weight and then averaged them.

3.18 Procedure of sampling for growth study during the crop growth period

3.18.1 Plant height (cm)

The height of the wheat plants was recorded from 30 days after sowing (DAS) at 15 days interval up to 60 DAS, beginning from the ground level up to tip of the leaf was counted as height of the plant. The average height of five plants was considered as the height of the plant for each pot.

3.18.2 Tiller no. plant⁻¹

Total tiller number was taken from 30 DAS at 15 days interval up to 60 DAS. The average number of tillers of five plants was considered as the total tiller no plant⁻¹.

3.18.3 Fresh weight plant⁻¹ (g)

Three sample plants uprooted from each pot unbiasedly and wash them in water. Then the plants were weighed in a balance and averaged them to have fresh weight plant⁻¹.

3.18.4 Dry weight plant⁻¹ (g)

Three sample plants after weighing for fresh weight was dried them in an electric oven maintaining 60°C for 48 hours. Then the plants were weighed in an electric balance and averaged them to have dry weight plant⁻¹.

3.19 Procedure of sampling physiological parameters

3.19.1 Chlorophyll content (mg cm⁻²)

Three leaflets were randomly selected from each pot. The top and bottom of each leaflet were measured with atLEAF as atLEAF value. Then it was averaged and total chlorophyll content was measured by the conversion of atLEAF value into SPAD units and then totalchl content.

3.19.2 Relative water content (RWC) %

Three leaflets were randomly selected from each pot and cut with scissors. Relative water content (RWC) was measured according to Barrs and Weatherley (1962). Leaf laminae were weighed (fresh wt., FW) and then immediately floated on distilled water in a petridish for 4 h in the dark. Turgid weights (TW) were obtained after drying excess surface water with paper towels. Dry weights (DW) were measured after drying at 80°C for 48 h. Then calculation was done using the following formula:

$$\text{RWC (\%)} = \frac{FW - DW}{TW - DW} \times 100.$$

3.20 Procedure of sampling oxidative stress markers

3.20.1 Lipid peroxidation

The level of lipid peroxidation was measured by estimating MDA, a decomposition product of the peroxidized polyunsaturated fatty acid component of the membrane lipid, using thiobarbituric acid (TBA) as the reactive material following the method of Heath and Packer (1968) with slight modifications. The leaf samples (0.5 g) were homogenized in 3 ml 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and the homogenate was centrifuged at 11,500 g for 10 min. One ml supernatant was mixed with 4 ml of TBA reagent (0.5% of TBA in 20% TCA). The reaction mixture was heated at 95°C for 30 min in a water bath and then quickly cooled in an ice bath and centrifuged at 11,500 g for 15 min. The absorbance of the colored supernatant was measured at 532 nm and was corrected for non-specific absorbance at 600 nm. The concentration of MDA was calculated by using the extinction coefficient of 155 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹ and expressed as nmol of MDA g⁻¹ fresh weight.

3.20.2 Measurement of H₂O₂

H₂O₂ was assayed according to the method described by Yu *et al.* (2003). H₂O₂ was extracted by homogenizing 0.5 g of leaf samples with 3 ml of 50 mM K-phosphate buffer pH (6.5) at 4°C. The homogenate was centrifuged at 11,500 g for 15 min. Three ml of supernatant was mixed with 1 ml of 0.1% TiCl₄ in 20% H₂SO₄ (v/v), and the mixture was then centrifuged at 11,500 g for 12 min at room temperature. The optical absorption of the supernatant was measured spectrophotometrically at 410 nm to determine the H₂O₂ content ($\epsilon = 0.281 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and expressed as $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ fresh weight.

3.21 Extraction and Measurement of Ascorbate and Glutathione.

Wheat leaves (0.5 g fresh weight) were homogenized in 3 mL ice-cold acidic extraction buffer (5% metaphosphoric acid containing 1 mM EDTA) using a mortar and pestle. Homogenates were centrifuged at 11,500 \times g for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant was collected for analysis of ascorbate and glutathione.

Ascorbate content was determined following the method of Huang *et al.* (2005) with some modifications. The supernatant was neutralized with 0.5 M K-P buffer (pH 7.0). The AsA was assayed spectrophotometrically at 265 nm in 100 mM KP buffer (pH 7.0) with 0.5 unit of ascorbate oxidase (AO). A specific standard curve with AsA was used for quantification.

The glutathione pool was assayed according to previously described methods Murphy *et al.* (2003), Paradiso *et al.* (2008) with modification utilizing 200 μL aliquots of supernatant neutralized with 300 μL of 0.5 M K-P buffer (pH 7.0). Based on enzymatic recycling, GSH is oxidized by 5, 5'- dithio- bis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) and reduced by NADPH in the presence of GR, and glutathione content is evaluated by the rate of absorption changes at 412 nm of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid (NTB) generated from the reduction of DTNB. GSSG was determined after removal of GSH by 2-vinylpyridine derivatization. Standard curves with known concentrations of GSH and GSSG were used. The content of GSH was calculated by subtracting GSSG from total GSH.

3.22 Determination of protein

The protein concentration of each sample was determined following the method of Bradford (1976) using BSA as a protein standard.

3.23 Enzyme extraction and assays

Using a pre-cooled mortar and pestle, 0.5 g of leaf tissue was homogenized in 1 ml of 50 mM ice-cold K-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 100 mM KCl, 1 mM ascorbate, 5 mM b-mercaptoethanol and 10% (w/v) glycerol. The homogenates were centrifuged at $11,500\times g$ for 15 min and the supernatants were used for determination of enzyme activity. All procedures were performed at a temperature $0-4^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Ascorbate peroxidase (EC: 1.11.1.11) activity was assayed following the method of Nakano and Asada (1981). The reaction buffer solution contained 50 mM K-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM AsA, 0.1 mM H_2O_2 , 0.1 mM EDTA, and enzyme extract in a final volume of 700 μl . The reaction was started by the addition of H_2O_2 and the activity was measured by observing the decrease in absorbance at 290 nm for 1 min using an extinction coefficient of $2.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Monodehydroascorbate reductase (EC: 1.6.5.4) activity was determined by the method of Hossain *et al.* (1984). The reaction mixture contained 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM NADPH, 2.5 mM AsA, 0.5 unit of AO and enzyme solution in a final volume of 700 μl . The reaction was started by the addition of AO. The activity was calculated from the change in ascorbate at 340 nm for 1 min using an extinction coefficient of $6.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Dehydroascorbate reductase (EC: 1.8.5.1) activity was determined by the procedure of Nakano and Asada (1981). The reaction buffer contained 50 mM K-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 2.5 mM GSH, and 0.1 mM DHA. The reaction was started by adding the sample solution to the reaction buffer solution. The activity was calculated from the change in absorbance at 265 nm for 1 min using an extinction coefficient of $14 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Glutathione reductase (EC: 1.6.4.2) activity was measured by the method of Hossain *et al.* (2010). The reaction mixture contained 0.1 M K-phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM GSSG, 0.2 mM NADPH, and enzyme solution in a final volume of 1 ml. The reaction was initiated with GSSG and the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm due to NADPH oxidation was recorded for 1 min. The activity was calculated using an extinction coefficient of $6.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Glutathione S-transferase (EC: 2.5.1.18) activity was determined spectrophotometrically by the method of Hossain *et al.* (2006b) with some modifications. The reaction mixture contained 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 6.5), 1.5 mM GSH, 1mM 1-chloro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) and enzyme solution in a final volume of 700 μl . The enzyme reaction was initiated by the addition of CDNB and the increase in absorbance was measured at 340 nm for 1 min. The activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient of $9.6 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Glutathione peroxidase (EC: 1.11.1.9) activity was measured as described by Elia *et al.* (2003) using H_2O_2 as a substrate. The reaction mixture consisted of 100 mM Na-phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaN_3 , 0.12 mM NADPH, 2 mM GSH, 1 unit GR, 0.6 mM H_2O_2 and 20 μl of sample solution. The reaction was started by the addition of H_2O_2 . The oxidation of NADPH was recorded at 340 nm for 1 min and the activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient of $6.62 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

POD activity was determined by the method of Shannon *et al.* (1966). The reaction mixture contained 2.9 cm^3 of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.04 cm^3 of 0.1 M H_2O_2 , 0.04 cm^3 of 0.2 % O-dianisidine and 0.02 cm^3 of enzyme extract. The change in absorbance was read at 470 nm for 4 min. One enzyme unit is defined as change in 1 unit of absorbance min^{-1} .

Catalase (EC: 1.11.1.6) activity was measured according to the method of Hossain *et al.* (2010) by monitoring the decrease of absorbance at 240 nm for 1 min caused by the decomposition of H_2O_2 . The reaction mixture contained 50 mM K-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 15 mM H_2O_2 and enzyme solution in a final volume of 700 μl . The reaction was

initiated with enzyme extract and the activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient of $39.4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

3.24 Procedure of sampling yield and yield contributing parameter

3.24.1 Plant height (cm)

Plant height was measured from the soil level to the apex of the leaf or spike in randomly 5 plants of each pot.

3.24.2 Total number of tillers hill⁻¹

The total number of tillers hill⁻¹ was counted from selected samples and were grouped in effective and non-effective tillers plant⁻¹.

3.24.3 Spike length (cm)

Spike length was recorded from the basal nodes of the rachis to apex of each spike.

3.24.4 Spikelet spike⁻¹

Grains of 5 randomly selected spike of each replication were counted and then the average number of grains for each spike was determined.

3.24.5 1000-grain weight (g)

One hundred clean sun dried grains were counted from the seed stock obtained from the sample plants and weighed by using an electronic balance. Then it was converted into thousand grain weight.

3.24.6 Grain yield (g) plant⁻¹

The grains were separated by threshing per plant and then sun dried and weighed.

3.24.7 Straw yield (g) plant⁻¹

The straw were separated by threshing per plant and weighed.

3.24.8 Harvest index (%)

It denotes the ratio of economic yield to biological yield and was calculated following the formula of Gardner *et al.* (1985). It was calculated by using the following formula:

$$\text{Harvest index (HI)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

3.25 Statistical analysis

The data obtained for different parameters were statistically analyzed following computer based software XLSTAT 2014 (AddinSoft, 2014) and mean separation was done by LSD at 5% level of significance.

Chapter 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Germination parameters

4.1.1 Germination percentage

4.1.1.1 Effect of variety

Percentage of germination showed significant variation among the different varieties (Fig. 1A). BARI Gom 25 (90.96%) had higher germination percentage, where BARI Gom 21 (84.48%) had lower germination percentage.




Fig. 1 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on germination percentage of wheat. Mean(\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

The data (Fig. 1B) showed that salinity also reduced the percentage of germination. On the other hand, the magnitude of decrease was less in Si treated salt stressed condition as compared to without treated salt stressed condition. However, germination percentage was higher in control and only Si treated plant (98 and 99%, respectively).

4.1.1.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Germination percentage decreased with the increase in salinity level. Germination percentage fell to 81 and 89% from 98 and 99% when exposed to 100 mM salinity; Si treatment increased the germination percentage up to 91 and 94% under 100 mM salinity stress for BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25, respectively (Fig. 1C). Under 200 mM salinity stress in case both of both varieties, Germination percentage significantly dropped at 66 and 78% and germination percentage could not be increased significantly even though treated with Si in BARI Gom 25 but in BARI Gom 21 it was sharply increased. In any case, germination percentage was always higher in BARI Gom 25 than BARI Gom 21.

4.1.2 Normal seedling

4.1.2.1 Effect of variety

The number of normal seedling varied significantly due to variety shown in Fig. 2A. It was observed that BARI Gom 25 produced significantly higher number of normal seedling (57.5%), where BARI Gom 21 produced lower number of normal seedling (48.91%).

4.1.2.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity caused a significant reduction of normal seedling compared to control (Fig. 2B). The highest normal seedling was found in only Si and Si treated 50 mM stressed plant. On the contrary, Si increased normal seedling number compared to its respective control but not similar with only Si and Si treated 50 mM stressed plant (75, 42, 11 and 6% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stressed condition, respectively).




Fig. 2 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on normal seedling of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Like germination percentage number of normal seedling depends on the condition where it is grown. Seedling growth hampered under salt stress and no. of normal seedling decreased with the increase in salinity level. In case of BARI Gom 21, at 100 and 150 mM salinity stress the number of normal seedling were 33.33 and 14.67% respectively whereas BARI Gom 21 seedling treated with Si under same level of stresses the number of seedling were 58.33 and 31.67% (Fig. 2C). However, In case of BARI Gom 25, at 100 and 150 mM salinity stress the number of normal seedling were 52.33 and 14.4% respectively whereas BARI Gom 25 seedling treated with Si under same level of stresses the number of seedling were 70.83 and 45.83%. The highest number of Normal seedling (92.5% in case of BARI Gom 21 and 95 and 94.17% in case of BARI Gom 25,

respectively) was observed under normal condition and Si treated 50 mM stressed condition (Fig. 2C).

4.1.3 Abnormal seedling

4.1.3.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed in number of abnormal seedling due to the effect of variety shown in Fig. 3A. BARI Gom 21 produced higher abnormal seedling (39.14%) compared to BARI Gom 25.




Fig. 3 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on abnormal seedling of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.3.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Upon exposure to salt stress, abnormal seedling increased significantly compared to control (Fig. 3B). The highest abnormal seedling was found at 150 mM salt stressed plant (54.32%). Moreover, the lowest abnormal seedling was found in only Si treated plant (4.61%).

4.1.3.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Si treatment reduced the number of abnormal seedling under salt stress condition. In case of BARI Gom 21 the no. of abnormal seedling was significantly higher than that of BARI Gom 25. At 150 mM salinity level caused the highest no. of abnormal seedling (78.97%) in case of BARI Gom 21 whereas the lowest no. of abnormal seedling (3.35%) found when seedling treated only with Si in case of BARI Gom 25 (Fig. 3C). At any treatment the no. of abnormal seedling was higher in BARI Gom 21 than that of BARI Gom 25.

4.1.4 Length of shoot (cm)

4.1.4.1 Effect of variety

Varietal variation had significant effect on length of shoot over time (Table 1). Higher length of shoot was found in BARI Gom 25 (5.09 cm) compared to BARI Gom 21 (4.52 cm).

4.1.4.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Different salinity treatments affected shoot length significantly. Salinity treatment reduced length of shoot compared to control (Table 1). On the contrary, Si with saline treatments increased shoot length (11, 49 and 69% at 50, 100 and 150 mM, respectively) where higher level of salinity treatment did not affect by Si spraying (200 Mm salinity stress).

Table 1. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on length of shoot and root of wheat seedling

Variety	Length of shoot (cm)	Length of root (cm)
BARI Gom 21	4.52b	4.38b
BARI Gom 25	5.09a	5.20a
LSD (0.05)	0.10	0.16
CV (%)	4.03	6.53
Treatment		
C	7.93b	8.09a
C+Si	8.16a	8.12a
S50	7.10c	6.53b
S50+Si	7.02c	6.59b
S100	4.10e	4.45d
S100+Si	5.35d	5.33c
S150	2.49g	2.53f
S150+Si	3.31f	3.38e
S200	1.35h	1.47g
S200+S	1.28h	1.40g
LSD (0.05)	0.32	0.51
CV (%)	4.03	6.53

4.1.4.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The data (Fig. 4) showed that salt stress significantly reduced the shoot length as compared to control conditions in BARI Gom 21 (salt sensitive) and BARI Gom 25 (salt tolerant) wheat cultivars. Extent of reduction was higher in BARI Gom 21 than BARI Gom 25. On the contrary, exogenous application of Si increased the shoot length in both the cultivars under saline and non-saline conditions. Higher (8.02 and 8.17 cm) shoot length was found in control and only Si treated plant of BARI Gom 25 and (8.15 g) only Si treated seedlings of BARI Gom 21. On the other hand, control (7.83 g) of BARI Gom 21 gave statistically similar result like control and only Si treated seedlings of both varieties (Fig. 4)




Fig. 4. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on length of shoot of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.5 Length of root

4.1.5.1 Effect of variety

The length of root varied significantly due to variety shown in Table 1. It was observed that BARI Gom 25 produced significantly higher root length (5.20 cm), where BARI Gom 21 produced lower root length (4.38 cm).

4.1.5.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity caused a significant reduction of root length compared to control (Table 1). The highest root length was found in control and only Si treated plant. On the contrary, Si increased root length compared to its respective control but not similar with control and

only Si treated plant (20, 35 and 60% at 50, 100 and 150 mM stressed condition, respectively). 200 mM salt stressed condition was also not affected by Si treatment (Table 1).

4.1.5.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Salinity caused (Fig. 5) a significant reduction ($p \leq 0.05$) in the root length of wheat plants of both cultivars compared to those in non-saline solution and magnitude of decrease was less in BARI Gom 25 as compared to BARI Gom 21. Sharp increases in root length were observed in the seedlings which were treated with Si under salt stressed condition (27, 45 and 63% for BARI Gom 21 and 8, 7 and 4% for BARI Gom 25 at Si treated 50, 100 and 150 mM, respectively) than the respective controls (Fig. 5). Moreover, both of variety did not get significant result at 200 mM and Si treated 200 mM salt stressed condition.




Fig. 5. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on length of root of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.6 Fresh weight of shoot seedling⁻¹

4.1.6.1 Effect of variety

The fresh weight of shoot varied significantly due to variety shown in Table 2. It was observed that BARI Gom 25 produced significantly higher fresh weight of shoot (0.008 g) seedling⁻¹, where BARI Gom 21 produced lower fresh weight of shoot (0.007 g) seedling⁻¹.

Table 2. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on fresh weight of shoot and root and dry weight seedling⁻¹ of wheat seedling

Variety	Fresh weight of shoot (g) seedling ⁻¹	Fresh weight of root (g) seedling ⁻¹	Dry weight (g) seedling ⁻¹
BARI Gom 21	0.007b	0.004b	0.001b
BARI Gom 25	0.008a	0.006a	0.002a
LSD (0.05)	0.002	0.002	0.007
CV (%)	6.09	6.13	6.33
Treatment			
C	0.013a	0.009a	0.0033a
C+Si	0.013a	0.009a	0.0033a
S50	0.011b	0.007b	0.0029b
S50+Si	0.011b	0.007b	0.003b
S100	0.007d	0.005d	0.0016d
S100+Si	0.008c	0.006c	0.0024c
S150	0.004f	0.003f	0.001f
S150+Si	0.006e	0.005e	0.0013e
S200	0.002g	0.002g	0.001f
S200+Si	0.002g	0.002g	0.001f
LSD (0.05)	0.005	0.004	0.002
CV (%)	6.09	6.13	6.33

4.1.6.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity caused a significant reduction of fresh weight of shoot compared to control (Table 2). The highest (0.013 and 0.013 g) seedling⁻¹ fresh weight of shoot was found in control and only Si treated plant, respectively. On the contrary, Si increased effective tiller number compared to its respective control but not similar with control and only Si treated plant. 200 mM salt stressed condition was also not affected by Si treatment (Table 2).

4.1.6.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Fresh weight of shoot was higher in unstressed control of both varieties than salt stressed plants. As shown, salinity stress treatment decreased fresh weight of shoot by 17, 51, 65 and 93% for BARI Gom 21 and 10, 41, 77 and 86% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity stressed condition (Fig. 6). However, Si supplementation in salt stressed plants caused increases fresh weight of shoot for both of variety. In different, 200 mM and Si treated 200 mM plant gave statistically similar result for both of variety (Fig. 6). Control and only Si treated plant of BARI Gom 25 produced higher fresh weight of shoot which was statistically similar with control and only Si treated plant of BARI Gom 21 fresh weight result.




Fig. 6. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on fresh weight of shoot seedling⁻¹ of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.7 Fresh weight of root seedling⁻¹

4.1.7.1 Effect of variety

There was significant effect of varieties, salinity and Si treatments on fresh weight of root on wheat varieties (Table 2). Additionally BARI Gom 25 gave highest fresh weight of root (0.006 g) seedling⁻¹ compared to BARI Gom 21.

4.1.7.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Exposure to salt stress resulted in significant decreases in fresh weight of root (23, 45, 67 and 78% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stress, respectively). However, Si with saline

treatment increased fresh weight up to 200 mM salt stress (Table 2). At 200 mM salinity stress Si produce statistically similar result with respective control.




Fig. 7. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on fresh weight of root seedling⁻¹ of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.1.7.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Fresh weight of root was also decreased in the same way which was 19%, 49%, 62%, 84% for BARI Gom 21 and 14%, 38% 71%, 80% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stressed condition, respectively. Importantly, Si supplementation in salt treatment significantly increased the fresh weight of root in salt stressed seedlings. The higher result was found in BARI Gom 25 control and only Si treated seedlings (0.01 and 0.0102 g, respectively) than BARI Gom 21. But, the increment result was found up to 150 mM salt treatment. Furthermore it gave no significant result.

4.1.8 Dry weight seedling⁻¹

4.1.8.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed for dry matter weight due to varietal variation shown in Table 2. Higher dry matter found in BARI Gom 25 which was 0.002 g seedling⁻¹. On the other hand, BARI Gom 21 gave lower dry matter weight which was 0.001 g seedling⁻¹.

4.1.8.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity treatments with or without Si spraying, significant variation was observed for dry matter weight (Table 2). Control (0.0033 g) seedling⁻¹ and only Si treated plant (0.0033 g) seedling⁻¹ gave similar highest dry weight compared to other saline treatment and with or without Si treatment. On the contrary, spraying with Si gave higher dry matter weight than saline treatment without Si treatment. But 200 mM saline condition gave similar dry weight with Si treated 200 mM saline condition.

4.1.8.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Fig. 8 shows that seedling dry weight was decreased by adverse effect of salinity treatment when compared with control. Exogenously applied Si into saline treatment ameliorated the salinity stress as indicated by a significant increase in seedling dry matter. Higher seedling dry weight was recorded in only Si treated seedlings (0.0035g) of BARI Gom 25 which was statistically similar with control (0.0034 g) of BARI Gom 25 (Fig. 8). On the other hand, 200 mM salt stressed and Si treated seedlings did not give any significant result. Among the cultivars, BARI Gom 25 (salt tolerant) showed a better performance and produced more dry weight under salt stress when compared with BARI Gom 21; however, the reverse was true under non-saline conditions.




Fig. 8. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on dry weight seedling⁻¹ of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

Germination percentage drastically reduced at 200 mM salinity. Osmotic stresses reduce germination percentage (Cornelia and Bandici, 2008; Maghsoudi *et al.*, 2010). The seeds pre-treated with Si solutions exhibited higher germination percentage. These results are consistent with those of Rajeseckaran *et al.* (2002) in carrots, Maghsoudi *et al.* (2010) in wheat and El-Tayeb (2005) in barley who observed promotion in seed germination with Si. This may indicate that, Si pre-treated wheat seeds exhibited an increase in salt tolerance (Fig. 1).

The growth parameters (fresh and dry mass of roots and shoots, their lengths) decreased progressively with the rise of stress level, compared with the control (Fig. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8). These results were in agreement with those of Ghoulam *et al.* (2002), who showed that salinity caused a marked reduction in growth parameters of sugar beet plants. Salinity caused a dramatic decrease especially in 200 mM NaCl in root and shoot lengths and fresh-dry weights in 5 days old seedlings, but PGRs treatments ameliorated this adverse effect. The plants subjected to NaCl and subsequently treated with Si, possessed higher fresh and dry mass compared to those grown without Si treatment (Fig.6, 7, 8). Exogenous application of Si through the rooting medium had an ameliorative effect as well as growth promoting effect under non-saline and saline conditions (Arfan *et al.*, 2007; Afzal *et al.*, 2006; Karlıdag *et al.*, 2009; Azooz, 2009; Erdal *et al.*, 2011 and Turkyilmaz, 2012). These results were similar to earlier studies which showed that exogenous application of Si promotes growth and counteracts the stress-induced growth inhibition in some crop species (Tari *et al.*, 2002; Singh and Usha, 2003). While working with wheat, Epstein and Bloom (2005) reported that one of the major factors caused by salinity stress in plants can mitigate foliar spray with Si and counteracted growth inhibition caused by water stress. This result was consistent with the report of Romero *et al.* (2006), who found that a pre-sowing soaking treatment of the seeds that were treated with Si positively affected the shoot and root dry mass in wheat seedlings under both saline and nonsaline conditions.

4.2 Crop growth parameters

4.2.1 Plant height

4.2.1.1 Effect of variety

Plant height of the cultivars was measured at different growing period (Table 3). The highest plant height was found in BARI Gom 25 at all growth duration (25.95 at 30 DAS, 37.93 at 45 DAS, 61.94 at 60 DAS and 81.39 cm at harvest) compared to BARI Gom 21.

4.2.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Significant variation was observed in plant height due to different salinity treatments. Salinity reduced the plant height compared to its respective control in all growth duration. However, Si increased plant height up to 200 mM salt stress for all stage (Table 3). But in case of Si treated 150 mM salinity stress, plant height became statistically significant at 30 DAS and at harvest. Furthermore, at 30 and 60 DAS Si treated 200 mM salt stressed condition gave lowest result compared to 200 mM stress condition. The highest result found in control and only Si treated plant (30.83 and 30.41 cm at 30 DAS, 45.95 and 46.13 cm at 45 DAS, 70.73 and 70.65 cm at 60 DAS and 88.13 and 89.45 cm at harvest, respectively).

Table 3. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on plant height of wheat at different days after sowing

Variety	Plant height (cm)			
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest
BARI Gom 21	23.28b	33.26b	53.76b	70.64b
BARI Gom 25	25.95a	37.93a	61.94a	81.39a
LSD (0.05)	0.44	0.66	0.77	0.86
CV (%)	3.38	3.57	2.55	2.16
Treatment				
C	30.83a	45.95a	70.73a	88.13ab
C+Si	30.41a	46.13a	70.65a	89.45a
S50	25.93c	38.90c	65.68b	80.65c
S50+Si	29.36b	42.40b	67.20b	86.56b
S100	23.38d	35.30d	58.20d	75.31d
S100+Si	26.20c	34.61d	61.16c	78.96c
S150	21.48e	30.05e	50.43f	67.80e
S150+Si	21.80e	31.28e	53.03e	69.26e
S200	19.21f	25.61f	41.96g	61.83f
S200+Si	17.55g	25.70f	39.45h	62.18f
LSD (0.05)	0.97	1.48	1.72	1.91
CV (%)	3.38	3.57	2.55	2.16

4.2.1.3 Interaction effect of variety and salinity treatments

Sharp decreases in plant height was observed in response to salt stress, compared to the untreated control at 30, 45, 60 DAS and at harvest for both of variety (Table 4). However, Si supplementation with salt treatment increased plant height up to 100 mM salt stressed condition for both of variety. But after 100 mM stressed treatment plant height became statistically similar with Si treated salt treatment at 30, 45, 60 DAS and at harvest. Importantly, Si treatment with 200 mM salt stress at 30 DAS gave lower result than respective control but 100 mM at 45 and 60 DAS gave statistically similar result with Si treated salt stressed plant in case of BARI Gom 25 (Table 4). But in case of BARI Gom 21, Si treatment with 200 mM salt stress produced similar plant height with 200 mM stress treatment.

Table 4. Plant height of two wheat varieties at different growth duration induced by saline, Si and their combination

Variety	Treatment	Plant height (cm)			
		30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest
BARI Gom 21	C	30.20ab	43.06bc	67.53c	84.63bcd
	C+Si	29.63b	44.86b	67.60c	86.56b
	S50	24.67de	36.00e	61.06ef	75.96f
	S50+Si	28.00c	40.00d	62.63de	82.06de
	S100	21.83f	33.60f	53.70h	69.00g
	S100+Si	24.53d	32.60f	58.67fg	74.40f
	S150	19.66gh	27.43g	47.23i	60.73h
	S150+Si	20.10g	28.96g	47.56i	62.93h
	S200	17.67ij	22.93h	37.20k	54.86i
	S200+Si	16.43j	23.16h	34.30l	54.23i
BARI Gom 25	C	31.46a	48.83a	73.9a	91.63a
	C+Si	31.20a	47.40a	73.70	92.33a
	S50	27.20c	41.80cd	70.30b	85.33bc
	S50+Si	30.73ab	44.80b	71.76ab	91.06a
	S100	24.93d	37.00e	62.70de	81.63e
	S100+Si	27.86c	36.63e	63.56d	83.53cde
	S150	23.30e	32.66f	53.63h	74.86f
	S150+Si	23.50d	33.60f	58.50g	75.60f
	S200	20.66fg	28.30g	46.73ij	68.80g
	S200+Si	18.66hi	28.23g	44.60j	69.13g
CV (%)		3.38	3.57	2.55	2.16
LSD (0.05)		1.37	2.09	2.44	2.71

Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.2.2 Tiller hill⁻¹

4.2.2.1 Effect of variety

Varietal variation had significant effect on tillers hill⁻¹ over time (Table 5). The higher tiller hill⁻¹ was found in BARI Gom 25 compared to BARI Gom 21 (1.44 at 30 DAS, 1.76 at 45 DAS and 1.84 at 60 DAS) throughout the growing period.

4.2.2.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Different salinity treatments affected tiller production significantly throughout the growing period. Salinity treatment reduced tiller number compared to control (Table 5). On the contrary, Si with saline treatments increased tiller number (11, 23 and 32% at 30DAS; 6, 14 and 26% at 45 DAS and 4, 16 and 26% at 60 DAS at 50, 100 and 150 mM, respectively) where higher level of salinity treatment did not affect by Si spraying (200 mM salinity stress). Sometimes lower level of salinity treatment gave similar result with Si treated salinity treatment.

Table 5. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on total tiller hill⁻¹ (no.) of wheat at different days after sowing

Variety	Tiller hill ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
BARI Gom 21	1.44b	1.76b	1.84b
BARI Gom 25	1.76a	1.88a	2.03a
LSD (0.05)	0.04	0.06	0.03
CV (%)	4.82	6.35	3.78
Treatment			
C	2.10a	2.30a	2.41ab
C+Si	2.05a	2.31a	2.48a
S50	1.78c	2.06b	2.25c
S50+Si	1.88b	2.15b	2.33bc
S100	1.52e	1.66d	1.98d
S100+Si	1.63d	1.91c	2.05d
S150	1.33f	1.50e	1.56f
S150+Si	1.43e	1.64d	1.80e
S200	1.18g	1.30f	1.31g
S200+Si	1.13g	1.36ef	1.18h
LSD (0.05)	0.09	0.14	0.09
CV (%)	4.82	6.35	3.78

4.2.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The data (Table 6) showed that salinity also reduced the tiller number hill⁻¹ in both cultivars of wheat. On the other hand, the magnitude of decrease was less in BARI Gom 25 as compared to BARI Gom 21. The Si treated salt-stressed seedlings had significantly higher tiller number hill⁻¹ (9, 31, and 39% at 30 DAS; 7, 16 and 31% at 45 DAS; 6, 19 and 29% at 60 DAS in BARI Gom 21 and 12, 15 and 26% at 30 DAS; 6, 14 and 21% at 45 DAS; 2, 13 and 23% at 60 DAS in BARI Gom 25 at Si treated 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl stresses, respectively), compared to the seedlings subjected to salt stress without Si treatment (Table 6). At 200 mM, Si could not give any higher result compared to its

respective control for both of variety. As a result, tiller number was statistically similar or decreased from its control.

Table 6. Effect of Si on tillers hill⁻¹ of wheat cultivars under saline and nonsaline conditions at different age

Variety	Treatment	Tillers hill ⁻¹		
		30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
BARI Gom 21	C	1.96b	2.33a	2.36bc
	C+Si	1.93b	2.30ab	2.43ab
	S50	1.63e	2.03def	2.23de
	S50+Si	1.80cd	2.13bcd	2.23de
	S100	1.33g	1.60ijk	1.83f
	S100+Si	1.36g	1.86fgh	1.93f
	S150	1.16ij	1.43kl	1.46h
	S150+Si	1.20hi	1.50k	1.70g
	S200	1.06jk	1.16m	1.16j
	S200+Si	1.00k	1.26lm	1.03k
BARI Gom 25	C	2.23a	2.26abc	2.46ab
	C+Si	2.16a	2.33a	2.53a
	S50	1.93b	2.10cde	2.26cd
	S50+Si	1.96b	2.16abcd	2.43ab
	S100	1.70de	1.73hij	2.13e
	S100+Si	1.90bc	1.96efg	2.16de
	S150	1.50f	1.56jk	1.66g
	S150+Si	1.66e	1.78ghi	1.90f
	S200	1.30gh	1.43kl	1.46h
	S200+Si	1.26hi	1.46k	1.33i
CV (%)		4.82	6.35	3.78
LSD (0.05)		0.13	0.19	0.12

Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.2.3 Fresh weight plant⁻¹

4.2.3.1 Effect of variety

There was significant effect of varieties on fresh weight of wheat varieties (Table 7). Additionally BARI Gom 25 gave highest fresh weight (3.20 g at 30 DAS, 6.74 g at 45 DAS and 8.66 g at 60 DAS) at all growth duration compared to BARI Gom 21.

4.2.3.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Exposure to salt stress resulted in significant decreases in fresh weight (14, 28, 37 and 53% at 30 DAS; 25, 31, 42 and 48% at 45 DAS and 20, 33, 46 and 59% at 60 DAS at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stress, respectively). However, Si with saline treatment increased fresh weight up to 200 mM salt stress (Table 7). At 200 mM salinity stress Si produce statistically similar result with respective control.

Table 7. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on fresh weight of wheat at different days after sowing

Variety	Fresh weight (g) plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
BARI Gom 21	2.69b	5.55b	6.67b
BARI Gom 25	3.20a	6.74a	8.66a
LSD (0.05)	0.11	0.15	0.16
CV (%)	6.63	4.51	4.05
Treatment			
C	3.86a	8.42a	10.67a
C+Si	3.91a	8.72a	10.83a
S50	3.32b	6.33c	8.54c
S50+Si	3.78a	7.48b	9.54b
S100	2.82c	5.86d	7.20e
S100+Si	3.22b	6.17cd	7.98d
S150	2.46d	4.89e	5.84f
S150+Si	2.44d	5.15e	7.06e
S200	1.84e	4.39f	4.48g
S200+Si	1.82e	4.08f	4.61g
LSD (0.05)	0.23	0.32	0.36
CV (%)	6.63	4.51	4.05

4.2.3.3 Interaction effect of variety and salinity treatments

As shown in Table 8, the fresh weight in wheat plants decreased significantly under salt stress compared to the control. Control and only Si treated plant of BARI Gom 25 gave significantly higher fresh weight (3.95, 4.05 g at 30 DAS; 9.22, 9.77 g at 45 DAS and 11.88, 11.99 g at 60 DAS, respectively) compared to other salt stressed and Si treated stressed plants of those variety and other variety (BARI Gom 21). On the contrary, supplementation of Si under stressed condition could increase fresh weight of plant compared to its control for both of variety. But, it had limitation. Because it could not affect 200 mM stressed condition, where fresh weight would not increase or decrease.

Table 8. Fresh weight of two wheat varieties grown on normal and saline condition at different growth durations as affected by Si application

Variety	Treatment	Fresh weight (g) plant ⁻¹		
		30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
BARI Gom 21	C	3.77abc	7.62c	9.44d
	C+Si	3.76abc	7.66c	9.66cd
	S50	2.84de	5.66e	7.00h
	S50+Si	3.59c	6.95d	8.84ef
	S100	2.55e	5.07g	6.66h
	S100+Si	2.78de	5.55ef	6.77h
	S150	2.10f	4.66gh	4.95i
	S150+Si	2.04fg	4.66gh	5.44i
	S200	1.74gh	4.03i	3.84j
	S200+Si	1.70h	3.55j	4.11j
BARI Gom 25	C	3.95ab	9.22b	11.88a
	C+Si	4.05a	9.77a	11.99a
	S50	3.81abc	7.00d	10.07bc
	S50+Si	3.96ab	8.00c	10.22b
	S100	3.09d	6.64d	7.72g
	S100+Si	3.66bc	6.77d	9.18de
	S150	2.81de	5.11fg	6.72h
	S150+Si	2.83de	5.62e	8.67f
	S200	1.93fgh	4.73gh	5.11i
	S200+Si	1.93fgh	4.59h	5.11i
CV (%)		6.63	4.51	4.05
LSD (0.05)		0.32	0.46	0.51

Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.2.4. Dry weight plant⁻¹

4.2.4.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed for dry matter weight due to varietal variation shown in Table 9. The higher dry matter found in BARI Gom 25 which was 0.53 g at 30 DAS, 1.88 g at 45 DAS and 2.65 g at 60 DAS. On the other hand, BARI Gom 21 gave lower dry matter weight which was 0.47 g at 30 DAS, 1.63 g at 45 DAS and 1.99 g at 60 DAS.

4.2.4.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity treatments with or without Si spraying, significant variation was observed for dry matter weight (Table 9). Control (0.66 g at 30 DAS, 2.37 g at 45 DAS and 3.14 g at 60 DAS) and only Si treated plant (0.66 g at 30 DAS, 2.37 g at 45 DAS and 3.09 g at 60 DAS) gave similar highest dry weight compared to other saline treatment and with or without Si treatment. On the contrary, spraying with Si gave higher dry matter weight than saline treatment without Si treatment. But 200 mM saline condition gave similar dry weight with Si treated 200 mM saline condition.

4.2.4.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

A significant reduction in dry weight plant⁻¹ was observed in both varieties of wheat plants exposed to salt stress as compared to the untreated control (Table 10). However, addition of Si, in combination with salt stress significantly increased dry weight in both varieties, compared to addition of salt only. But, after 200 mM Si treatment could not increase dry weight of wheat plant. In BARI Gom 21, at 30, 45 and 60 DAS and in BARI Gom 25, at 45 DAS, the dry weight of wheat plant became decreased with the treatment of Si at 200 mM salt stressed condition, where in other growth duration for both varieties gave similar result with 200 mM salt stressed condition. Besides, when only Si was applied, the dry weight of wheat plant was similar to that in the untreated control. The highest dry weight was found in BARI Gom 25 at all growth duration (0.71 and 0.70 g at 30 DAS, 2.56 and 2.55 g at 45 DAS and 3.48 and 3.48 g at 60 DAS at control and only Si treated plant, respectively).

Table 9. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on dry weight of wheat at different days after sowing

Variety	Dry weight (g) plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
BARI Gom 21	0.47b	1.63b	1.99b
BARI Gom 25	0.53a	1.88a	2.65a
LSD (0.05)	0.01	0.04	0.05
CV (%)	4.16	4.72	4.52
Treatment			
C	0.66a	2.36a	3.13a
C+Si	0.66a	2.37a	3.09a
S50	0.63b	2.01c	2.67b
S50+Si	0.63b	2.16b	2.79b
S100	0.47d	1.66d	2.25d
S100+Si	0.52c	1.97c	2.54c
S150	0.39e	1.44e	1.76f
S150+Si	0.41e	1.53e	2.01e
S200	0.32f	1.12f	1.42g
S200+Si	0.32f	0.95g	1.52g
LSD (0.05)	0.03	0.09	0.12
CV (%)	4.16	4.72	4.52

Table 10. Effect of Si application on dry weight of two wheat varieties under normal and salt affected condition

Variety	Treatment	Dry weight (g plant ⁻¹)		
		30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
BARI Gom 21	C	0.63bc	2.16cd	2.79c
	C+Si	0.63b	2.21c	2.71cd
	S50	0.60cd	1.92ef	2.17fg
	S50+Si	0.59d	1.93ef	2.41e
	S100	0.44g	1.53gh	1.95h
	S100+Si	0.49f	1.91ef	2.20f
	S150	0.38ij	1.29i	1.52ij
	S150+Si	0.39i	1.44h	1.55ij
	S200	0.29k	1.04j	1.21k
	S200+Si	0.28k	0.91k	1.40j
BARI Gom 25	C	0.71a	2.56a	3.47a
	C+Si	0.70a	2.54a	3.48a
	S50	0.67a	2.11cd	3.18b
	S50+Si	0.68a	2.39b	3.17b
	S100	0.50f	1.80f	2.54de
	S100+Si	0.55e	2.03de	2.87c
	S150	0.40hi	1.58gh	2.01gh
	S150+Si	0.43gh	1.61g	2.47e
	S200	0.35j	1.20i	1.64i
	S200+Si	0.37ij	1.01jk	1.65i
CV (%)		4.16	4.72	4.52
LSD (0.05)		0.04	0.14	0.17

Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

Salt stress constrains plant growth by adversely affecting various physiological and biochemical processes, such as photosynthesis, antioxidant phenomena, proline metabolism, and osmolyte accumulation (Borsani *et al.*, 2001; Fariduddin *et al.*, 2003). It is evident that Si promotes the morphological, physiological and metabolic performance of plants both under saline and non-saline conditions. This improved performance of plants due to Si amendment ensures their better growth resulting in an increased yield and yield contributing factors (Watanable *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, in the present study, the effect of exogenously treated Si on growth rate of wheat plants growing under different salt stress when compared with their corresponding non-Si applied plants (Table 4, 6, 8, 10). In the result of Gong *et al.* (2006), it was shown that Si treatment ameliorated the adverse effects of salt stress in terms of growth parameters in *Prosopis juliflora*. Similarly, the growth enhanced of wheat plants when treated with Si under water stress enhanced (Singh and Usha, 2007), maize (Khodary, 2004), mustard (Yusuf *et al.*, 2008) and barley (Eltayeb, 2005) under NaCl stress. The Si-amended plants produced more fresh matter over the Si deprived plant. Addition of Si into the culture solution @ 5.0 mM increased the root length, root fresh weight and leaf fresh weight of wheat (Linjuan *et al.*, 1999). Si treatment resulted, can be attributed to an increase in photosynthesizing tissue, that is, the leaves (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 1997), which is in agreement with the results obtained also. Furthermore, it can be suggested that foliar spray with Si might have affected certain metabolic factors in carbon uptake of fixation including Rubisco enzyme concentration and activity and or photosynthetic carbon reduction (PCR) cycle (Arfan *et al.*, 2007).

4.3 Physiological parameters

4.3.1 Relative water content

4.3.1.1 Effect of variety

There was significant variation observed for relative water content due to varietal variation (Fig. 9A). BARI Gom 25 (84.35%) recorded the higher relative water content compared to BARI Gom 21 (77.3%).




Fig. 9 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on relative water content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.3.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Sharp decreases in relative water content (8, 15, 22 and 28% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salt stressed condition) were observed in response to salt stress, compared to untreated control (Fig. 9B). Moreover, Si could increase relative water content under salt stressed condition up to 150 mM stressed condition. At 200 mM stressed condition, applying of Si reduced the relative water content percentage.

4.3.1.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Upon exposure to salt stress, leaf relative water content decreased significantly in both wheat varieties when compared to their controls (Fig. 9C). However, decline in RWC was lower in BARI Gom 25 as compared to BARI Gom 21. At 100 mM of NaCl it was decreased by 16 and 12% in BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25, respectively over control, while at 200 mM NaCl the RWC decreased by 29 and 27% (Fig. 9C). The application of Si effectively maintained the RWC in salt stressed seedlings. In BARI Gom 21, Si could increase RWC by 16 and 32% in seedlings exposed to 100 and 200 mM NaCl, respectively. In case of BARI Gom 25, the increases were 7 and 32% at 100 mM NaCl and 6 and 34% at 200 mM NaCl (Fig. 9C).

4.3.2 Chlorophyll content

4.3.2.1 Effect of variety

Variety showed significant variation in chl (chl) content (Fig. 10A). BARI Gom 25 showed the higher chl content (0.049 mg cm^{-2}) compared to BARI Gom 21 (0.043 mg cm^{-2}).

4.3.2.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Different salinity treatments affected chl production significantly throughout the growing period. Salinity treatment reduced total chl content compared to its respective control (Fig. 10B). On the contrary, Si with saline treatments increased chlorophyll content (4, 17 and 25% at 50, 100 and 150 mM, respectively) where higher level of salinity treatment did not affect by Si spraying (200 Mm salinity stress).




Fig. 10 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on chlorophyll content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.3.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

According to Fig. 10C, Chl content was also affected by salinity stress. In case of BARI Gom 21, chl content decreased 19, 23, 28, and 31% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity stress, respectively (Fig. 10C). On the contrary, reductions in chl content were 12, 23, 26 and 28% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity stress, respectively. Though Si treatment significantly increased the chl content under stress condition but it failed to increase chl content under 200 mM salinity stress. The highest amount chl (0.05863 mg cm⁻²) was found in BARI Gom 25 under control and the lowest amount chl was 0.0321 mg cm⁻² in

BARI Gom 21 under 200 mM salinity treated with Si. However, when plant treated with Si only, chl content was not affected significantly in relation to control.

As salt stress causes osmotic stress, the decline in RWC is a common phenomenon in plants growth under salinity and therefore RWC is considered as an effective indicator for evaluating plants for tolerance to salt stress. In our study, salt stress led to a noteworthy decrease of RWC in wheat leaves irrespective to NaCl concentration and the wheat cultivars (Fig. 9). Equivalent decrease in RWC due to salt stress was reported earlier (Vysotskaya *et al.*, 2010; Chaparzadeh and Mehrnejad, 2013). Decrease in RWC was due to loss of turgor that results in limited water availability for cell extension processes (Katerji *et al.*, 1997). Conversely, when salt treated seedlings were supplemented with Si they showed enhanced RWC which was due to the retention in water in their tissue (Fig. 9). Exogenous application of Si enhanced water content in plants was also observed by other researchers (Mitani and Ma. 2005 and Li *et al.*, 2014). In BARI Gom 21 the RWC was slightly higher than BARI Gom 21 which was due to its better tolerance.

In our experiment salt caused reduction in chl content, in both wheat varieties. However, the reduction was higher in salt sensitive BARI Gom 21 (Fig. 10). Salt stress often causes alteration in photosynthetic pigment biosynthesis (Maxwel and Jhonson. 2000). Similar decrease in chl content was observed by Al-aghabary *et al.* (2004) in tomato. However, exogenous application of Si in salt treated seedlings could elevate the chl content which might be due to the higher biosynthesis of the pigment. The evident was also observed by other researchers (Wang and Galletta, 1998).

4.4 Oxidative stress markers

4.4.1 MDA content

4.4.1.1 Effect of variety

As shown in Fig. 11A higher MDA content also found in BARI Gom 21 compared to BARI Gom 25. 13.93 nmol g⁻¹ FW MDA content was found in BARI Gom 21 where 12.64 nmol g⁻¹ FW found in BARI Gom 25.




Fig. 11 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on MDA content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.4.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

MDA content was also affected by salinity stress, according to Fig. 11B. Saline treatment increased the MDA content compared to control and Si treated plant. 16, 69, 104 and 202% reduced due to 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity stress, respectively. Furthermore, the increment was less in Si treated stressed plant compared to respective control (Fig. 11B).

4.4.1.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The malondealdehyde (MDA) content (indicator of lipid peroxidation) sharply increased at any level of salt stress in both wheat varieties. The highest amount of MDA content was 67 and 62 nmol g⁻¹ FW salinity level 200 mM whereas the lowest amount of MDA content were 22 and 16 nmol g⁻¹ FW found when seedling treated with Si alone in salt sensitive and salt tolerant variety respectively. However, the rate on increment was higher in salt sensitive BARI Gom 21. In BARI Gom 21, 100 and 200 mM NaCl caused 68 and 196% increase in MDA content while in BARI Gom 25 it was 76 and 211%, respectively, compared to control (Fig. 11C). The seedlings supplemented with Si could maintain the level of MDA significantly lower compared to the seedlings exposed to salt stress without supplementation (Fig. 11C). MDA content was always higher in BARI Gom 21 than that of BARI Gom 25.

Lipid peroxidation is considered as important index as it determines the degree of oxidative stress because it is found that MDA content increases with the extent of oxidative stress caused by abiotic stress including salt stress (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as OH[•] and ¹O₂ are highly reactive and attack PUFA thus MDA formed as oxidation product (Gill, 2010b). In this study, MDA content increase with salinity level (Fig.11). More severe stress generates more ROS that causes more damage to the membrane which is reflected by higher MDA content. MDA content increased under different stress condition (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a).

4.4.2 H₂O₂ content

4.4.2.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed for H₂O₂ content due to varietal variation in Fig. 12A. BARI Gom 21 produced higher H₂O₂ content (12.83 nmol g⁻¹ FW) compared to BARI Gom 25.




Fig. 12 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on H₂O₂ content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.4.2.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity stress treatments, significant variation was observed for H₂O₂ content (Fig. 12B). The highest H₂O₂ content was found in 200 mM salt stressed plant. H₂O₂ content decreased sharply in case of Si treated stressed plant compared to salt

stressed treatment. However, Si treatment decreased H₂O₂ content 23, 37, 42 and 161% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stressed condition.

4.4.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The levels of H₂O₂ also increased noticeably upon exposure to NaCl. At salinity level 100 mM, The amount of H₂O₂ were 13.55 and 12.49 nmol g⁻¹ FW and then this amount fell to 10.03 and 8.97 nmol g⁻¹ FW when treated with Si. In BARI Gom 21, the H₂O₂ content was increased by 92 and 182% at 150 and 200 mM NaCl, while in BARI Gom 25 it was increased by 105 and 214%, respectively, compared to control (Fig. 12C). Si could maintain the H₂O₂ content lower in salt-stressed seedlings compared to the seedlings grown without Si supplementation (Fig. 12C). In all cases there was significant difference between BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 in respect of H₂O₂ content.

Higher accumulation of H₂O₂ causes oxidative stress in plant. In present study, H₂O₂ content significantly increased under salinity stress (Fig. 12). With the increase in salinity level, H₂O₂ content also increased. Increased amount of H₂O₂ found under different stress (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012b; Nahar *et al.*, 2009). Khan *et al.* (2009) reported salt tolerant wheat varieties (Sehar-06, Lu-26) accumulate lower H₂O₂ than sensitive varieties. 1 mM and 0.5 mM Si spray reduced the H₂O₂ content in Soybean under 50 mM salt stress.

4.5 Antioxidant defense system

4.5.1 AsA content

4.5.1.1 Effect of variety

AsA content showed significant variation among the different varieties (Fig. 13A). BARI Gom 25 produced higher AsA content (3531.9 nmol g⁻¹ FW). The lower AsA content (3101.9 nmol g⁻¹ FW) was obtained from BARI Gom 21.




Fig. 13 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on AsA content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity reduced AsA content compared to control (Fig. 13B). Si treatment increased AsA content under stressed condition (3.27, 7.65, 16 and 28% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM).

4.5.1.2 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

According to (Fig. 13C) Gradual decrease in AsA content over control was observed, for both BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25, as the plant exposed to salt stress. Compared to control AsA content decrease 15, 23, 33 and 41% in case of BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 due to 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity respectively. When seedling treated with Si, AsA content increases significantly for all cases. AsA content of BARI Gom 25 was always higher than that of BARI Gom 21. The highest amount of AsA content (3931.9 and 4476.9 nmol g⁻¹ FW) for both genotypes was observed in untreated control and the lowest amount of AsA content (2325 and 2647.9 nmol g⁻¹ FW) for both genotypes was observed in seedling exposed to 200 mM salinity. There was no significant difference between control and Si treated alone seedling AsA content for both varieties.

4.5.2 GSH content

4.5.2.1 Effect of variety

GSH content varied significantly for different varieties shown in Fig. 14A. The higher GSH content (339.58 nmol g⁻¹ FW) was recorded by BARI Gom 25 compared to BARI Gom 21 (310.27 nmol g⁻¹ FW).

4.5.2.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Significant variation was observed for GSH content due to different salinity treatments (Fig. 14B). GSH content became reduced due to saline treatment. However, Si treated under salt stressed condition increased GSH content up to higher level of salt stressed condition (17, 26, 37 and 27% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stress treatment, respectively) compared to their respective control.




Fig. 14 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GSH content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Significant increase in GSH content were observed (5 and 19 % by the 50 and 100 mM NaCl stresses respectively) in response to salt stress, compared to the untreated control (Fig. 14C). However, sharp decrease was also observed when plant exposed to 150 and 200 mM salinity stress for both genotypes. An increase in GSH content was also observed in Si treated salt-stressed seedlings and, particularly at the 100 mM stress, the Si treated seedlings showed a significant increase (9%) in GSH content compared to seedlings of BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 subjected to salt stress alone. At any level of stress treatment, GSH content was higher in BARI Gom 25 than that of BARI Gom 21 (Fig. 14C).

4.5.3 GSSG content

4.5.3.1 Effect of variety

There was significant variation observed for GSSG content due to varietal variation (Fig. 15A). BARI Gom 21 recorded higher GSSG content (36.98 nmol g⁻¹ FW) and the lower GSSG content (34.02 nmol g⁻¹ FW) was obtained from the other variety named BARI Gom 25.




Fig. 15 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of treatment, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GSSG content of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.3.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Sharp increases in GSSG content were observed (88, 118, 176 and 272% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stressed condition, respectively) due to NaCl salinity stress treatment (Fig. 15B). Furthermore, Si treatment decreased GSSG content under stress condition. The

highest (63.34 nmol g⁻¹ FW) GSSG content was found in 200 mM salt stressed condition, where the lowest (17.08 nmol g⁻¹ FW) GSSG content was found in control.

4.5.3.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The GSSG content in wheat seedlings of any variety sharply increased at any level of salt stress. The highest amount of GSSG content was 65 and 60 nmol g⁻¹ FW salinity level 200 mM whereas the lowest amount of GSSG content were 18 and 16 nmol g⁻¹ FW found when seedling without stress treatment or Si in salt sensitive BARI Gom 21 and salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 variety respectively (Fig. 15C). In salt sensitive BARI Gom 21 the levels were increased by 124 and 289% at 100 and 200 mM NaCl, respectively. Exogenous Si, on the other hand, maintained the GSSG content significantly lower under salt stress compared to the seedlings grown without Si supplementation (Fig. 15C). GSSG content was always higher in BARI Gom 21 than that of BARI Gom 25.

4.5.4 GSH/GSSG ratio

4.5.4.1 Effect of variety

Variety showed significant variation in GSH/GSSG ratio (Fig. 16A). BARI Gom 21 showed the higher GSH/GSSG ratio (10.49) whereas lowest GSH/GSSG ratio (9.61) in BARI Gom 25.

4.5.4.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity treatments with or without Si spraying, significant variation was observed for GSH/GSSG ratio (Fig. 16B). Control (18.64) produced higher GSH/GSSG ratio compared to other salt stressed condition and Si treated stressed condition. However, Si treated stressed plant produced higher GSH/GSSG ratio (77, 37, 42 and 126% at 50, 100 and 150 mM salt stressed condition, respectively) compared to salt stressed condition.




Fig. 16 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GSH/GSSG ratio of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.4.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The ratio of GSH/GSSG decreased markedly under salt stress in dose dependent manners and it greatly varied with varieties (Fig. 16C). In salt sensitive BARI Gom 21, 150 and 200 mM NaCl resulted in 72 and 87% decrease in GSH/GSSG ratio, while in salt tolerant BARI Gom 25, it decreased by 79 and 89%, respectively, compared to control (Fig. 16C). At salinity level 100 mM, the ratios of GSH/GSSG were 7 and 9 and then it rose up to 9 and 13 FW when treated with Si. In all cases there was significant difference between BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 in respect of GSH/GSSG except at salinity level 200 mM.

4.5.5 CAT activity

4.5.5.1 Effect of variety

There was significant effect of varieties, salinity and Si treatments on CAT activity of wheat varieties (Fig. 17A). Additionally BARI Gom 25 gave higher CAT activity (58.9 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) compared to BARI Gom 21.




Fig. 17 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on CAT activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.5.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Exposure to salt stress resulted in significant decreases in CAT activity (2, 16, 20 and 30% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stress, respectively). However, Si with saline treatment increased CAT activity compared to its respective control (Fig. 17B).

4.5.5.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Catalase activity showed differential responses in wheat seedlings with variable salt tolerance levels and also induced by salt levels (Fig. 17C). At salinity level 100 mM, the activity of catalase enzyme observed $39 \text{ nmol g}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ proteins in BARI Gom 21 whereas $54 \text{ } \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ protein activities found in BARI Gom 25. In salt sensitive BARI Gom 21, the activity decreased by any level of salt stress (24 and 33% lower at 100 and 200 mM NaCl, respectively, compared to the control). Salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 showed significant increase in CAT activity under mild stress (50 mM NaCl), whereas a noticeable decrease (23%) was observed at severe stress (200 mM). However, exogenous Si enhanced the CAT activity in salt-treated seedlings (Fig. 17C). In most cases BARI Gom 25 showed higher CAT activity than that of BARI Gom 21 except seedling treated with Si.

4.5.6 APX activity

4.5.6.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed for APX activity due to varietal variation shown in Fig. 18A. The higher APX activity found in BARI Gom 25 which was ($1.008 \text{ } \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ protein). On the other hand, BARI Gom 21 gave lower APX activity which was ($0.848 \text{ } \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ protein).

4.5.6.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity treatments with or without Si spraying, significant variation was observed for APX activity (Fig. 18B). Si treated 100 mM salt stressed condition gave highest ($1.12 \text{ } \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ protein) APX activity compared to control, other saline treatment and with or without Si treatment. On the contrary, 200 mM saline condition gave lower ($0.72 \text{ } \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1}$ protein) APX activity.




Fig. 18 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on APX activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.6.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Obligation of salt stress of 100 mM considerably augmented the APX commotion by 30% in salt sensitive BARI Gom 21 while in salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 it was increased by 31% compare to control. At 100 mM salinity level, the action of APX enzyme observed $0.94 \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein in BARI Gom 21 whereas $1.18 \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein activities found in BARI Gom 25. Under severe salt stress (200 mM NaCl), APX activity was decreased by 12% in salt sensitive cultivar and 11% in salt tolerant cultivar (Fig. 18C). Exogenous Si supplementation in salt stressed seedlings maintained higher APX activities, compared to salt stress single-handedly, whereas in salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 the activity was always higher than BARI Gom 21 (Fig. 18C).

4.5.7 MDHAR activity

4.5.7.1 Effect of variety

There was considerable variation observed for MDHAR activity due to varietal distinction (Fig. 19A). BARI Gom 25 ($41.81 \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) recorded the highest MDHAR activity compared to BARI Gom 21 ($37.47 \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$).




Fig. 19 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on MDHAR activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.7.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Pointed decreases in MDHAR activity (9, 7, 19 and 34% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salt stressed condition) were observed in response to salt stress, compared to untreated control (Fig. 19B). Moreover, Si might increase MDHAR activity under salt stressed condition. At 200 mM stressed condition, MDHAR activity was lower (Fig. 19B).

4.5.7.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Salt stress at any level decreased the MDHAR activity in salt sensitive BARI Gom 21 by which were 19 and 34% lower at 150 and 200 mM NaCl, correspondingly, compared to control (Fig. 19C). The highest activity was 49 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein found BARI Gom 25 treated with Si alone while the lowest MDHAR activity was 27 μmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein found in BARI Gom 21 exposed to 200 mM salinity stress. Exogenous Si addition under any levels of salt stress significantly increased MDHAR activities irrespective of cultivars (Fig. 19C).

4.5.8 DHAR activity

4.5.8.1 Effect of variety

Variety showed significant variation in DHAR activity (Fig. 20A). BARI Gom 25 showed the higher DHAR activity (216.57 μmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein) compared to BARI Gom 21 (186.95 μmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein).

4.5.8.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Different salinity treatments exaggerated DHAR activity significantly throughout the growing period. Salinity treatment reduced DHAR activity compared to its respective control (Fig. 20B). On the contrary, Si with saline treatments increased DHAR activity (5, 8, 10 and 22% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM, respectively).

4.5.8.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Salt stress caused a marked decrease in DHAR activity at any level of stress except when seedling exposed to 200 mM stress irrespective of genotypes. At 100 mM Salt stress, in case of BARI Gom 25, DHAR activity was 200 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein and rose up to 256 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein when treated with Si under stress condition (Fig. 20C). In BARI Gom 21, due to exogenous Si application DHAR activities were increased by 26 and 9% at 150 and 200 mM NaCl, respectively. In BARI Gom 25, exogenous Si supplemented seedlings showed increased DHAR activities by 26% at 150 mM NaCl, however no

significant change in DHAR activity observed due to Si supplementation at 200 mM NaCl, compared to salt stress alone (Fig. 20C)




Fig. 20 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on DHAR activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.9 GR activity

4.5.9.1 Effect of variety

GR activity showed significant variation among the different varieties. BARI Gom 25 ($32.72 \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) had higher GR activity, where BARI Gom 21 ($25.43 \mu\text{mol m}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) had lower GR activity (Fig. 21A).




Fig. 21 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GR activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.9.2 Effect of salinity treatments

The data (Fig. 21B) showed that salinity also reduced GR activity. On the other hand, the magnitude of decrease was less in Si treated salt stressed condition as compared to without treated salt stressed condition. As a result, GR activity was statistically similar (39.36% at 200 mM stressed condition, 37.69% at Si treated 150 mM stressed condition) from its control.

4.5.9.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The GR activity showed different responses in two wheat varieties in salt stress. Compared to control, the salt susceptible BARI Gom 21 had decreased GR activities of 12% and 15% in disclosure to 150 and 200 mM NaCl, respectively (Fig. 21C). In opposition, salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 had significantly higher GR activities of 91% and 114% with 150 and 200 mM NaCl, respectively compare to control. Nonetheless, exogenous Si enhanced its activity further in both sensitive and tolerant varieties irrespective of salt doses, compared to the activity in the seedlings exposed to salt stress alone (Fig. 21C).

4.5.10 POD activity (Unit mg⁻¹ protein)

4.5.10.1 Effect of variety

The POD activity varied significantly due to variety shown in Fig. 22A. It was observed that BARI Gom 25 produced significantly the higher POD activity (70.90 Unit mg⁻¹ protein), where BARI Gom 21 produced lower POD activity (55.81 Unit mg⁻¹ protein).

4.5.10.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity caused a considerable reduction of normal seedling compared to control (Fig. 22B). The highest (67.92 Unit mg⁻¹ protein) POD activity was found in Si treated 100 mM (73.27 Unit mg⁻¹ protein) stressed plant. On the contrary, 200 mM salt stressed condition produced lower POD activity (48.59 Unit mg⁻¹ protein).




Fig. 22 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on POD activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.10.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Salt stress caused significant decrease in POD activities 25% and 33% in BARI Gom 21 whereas BARI Gom 25 showed 1% increase and 16% decrease in POD activity at 150 and 200 mM Saline stress respectively (Fig. 22C). For BARI Gom 25 POD activities ranges from 56 to 81 Unit mg⁻¹ protein and in many case showed insignificant difference among different treatment. However in all case, POD activity was higher in Salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 than that of BARI Gom 21.

4.5.11 GST activity

4.5.11.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed in GST activity due to the effect of variety shown in Fig. 23A. BARI Gom 25 produced higher GST activity ($185.71 \text{ nmol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) compared to BARI Gom 21.

4.5.11.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Upon exposure to salt stress, GST activity increased significantly compared to control (Fig. 23B). The highest (222.53 and $219.70 \text{ nmol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) respectively, GST activity was found at Si treated 150 and 200 mM salt stressed plant. Moreover, the lowest (96.43 and $110.33 \text{ nmol m}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) was found in control and only Si treated plant.




Fig. 23 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on GST activity of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.5.11.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

The activity of GST harshly increased in all wheat seedlings induced by all levels of salt stress although its activity was a little higher in salt tolerant BARI Gom 25 (Fig. 23C). In BARI Gom 21, 150 and 200 mM NaCl resulted in 91% and 116% increases in GST activities, compared to control, while in BARI Gom 25 its activity increased 116% and 130% over control under 150 and 200 mM NaCl (Fig. 23C). Si increased GST activity significantly. GST activity was higher in all cases except when seedling treated under 200 mM salt stress for BARI Gom 21.

AsA is able to donate electron to many enzymatic and non enzymatic reactions what makes it a important ROS scavenging molecule. It can protect membrane by scavenging OH[•] and O₂⁻ directly regenerating α -tocopherol from tocopheroxyl radical (Gill, 2010). GSH is another important substance particularly for photosynthetic organelles such as chloroplast. AsA and GSH play vital role in the AsA-GSH cycle to enhance stress tolerance under stress condition (Pastori *et al.*, 2003). AsA- GSH cycle composed of APX, MDHAR, DHAR and GR and these enzymes works coordinately to remove ROS such as H₂O₂ (Kadioglu *et al.*, 2012). AsA content strongly related to oxidative stress tolerance and higher AsA content in plants showed better tolerance to oxidative stress. Increased AsA or GSH content can effectively reduce ROS produced under stress conditions including salt stress and thus prevents oxidative stress. In the present study, it is examined that the performance of salt tolerance and salt sensitive wheat cultivars against different salinity levels and we also examined how they are protected from salt stress by exogenous Si application. It was observed that under mild salt stress condition AsA level of salt sensitive BARI Gom 21 was reduced whereas the AsA level of BARI Gom 21 was higher (Fig. 13). Severe salt stress also reduced the AsA level of salt sensitive and salt tolerant cultivar. In this study, a slight increase in APX activity was observed in leaves of salt treated seedlings which were supported by Gusman *et al.* (2013). However, Si supplementation could not enhance the activity further under severe salt stress (Fig. 17). This result is correlated to MDHAR and DHAR activities which regulate the recycling of AsA within the cell. From Fig. 19 and 20, it is clear that when

the MDHAR or DHAR activity was reduced in salt sensitive BARI Gom 21, then its AsA levels were reduced irrespective of different salt doses. The higher MDHAR and DHAR activities of salt tolerant BARI Gom 21 were also related to its AsA levels (Fig. 13, 19 and 20). In our experiment, the GSH content (Fig. 14) also increased with increased salinity stress, but decrease under severe stress. Similar results reported by Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2011a) . The increased GSH content might be due to the increase in GR activities as well as higher GSH biosynthesis (Mittova *et al.*, 2000). Under stressful condition GR helps in maintaining the GSH redox state by recycling of GSSG to GSH. It also plays a vital role in maintenance of sulfhydryl (-SH) group and acts as a substrate for glutathione *S*-transferases (Yusuf *et al.*, 2012). However, supplementation with Si under salinity stress showed significant increase of both AsA and GSH (Fig. 13 and 14) which indicated a clear role of Si in producing non-enzymatic antioxidant. Si might took part in the regeneration of AsA by up-regulating the related enzymes i.e. MDHAR and DHAR; Si also accelerated efficient recycling of GSH is also ensured by GR activity. In this experiment salt stress could increase the GR activity to a small extent. However, when Si treated seedlings were subjected to salt stress the activity markedly increased which rendered rapid recycling of GSH in line with better synthesis of GSH under salt stress conditions (Fig. 14). The role of Si in enhancing the activity of GR was reported in many plant studies (He and Zhu, 2008). Earlier, it was reported the correlation between enhanced GR activity and better GSH levels as well as abiotic stress tolerance including salinity (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011 a, b; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2011b; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2012a). In this study, AsA-GSH cycle actively work in tolerant varieties than that of susceptible varieties supported by Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2012b); Sekmen *et al.* (2007).

In our experiment, the GSSG content at severe salinity stress was astonishingly higher (Fig. 15) than control. This increase might be partly attributed to a decrease in the rate of GSH recycling or to an increase in the rate of degradation of GSH (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). However, Si treated salinity-stressed seedlings showed significantly lower GSSG. The GSH/GSSG ratio also markedly enhanced by Si application under salt stress condition (Fig. 16). It has been suggested that the GSH/GSSG ratio, indicative of the

cellular redox balance, may be involved in ROS perception (Shao *et al.*, 2005). Similar observations were reported by several researchers (Kadioglu *et al.*, 2011; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2011a; Nahar and Hasanuzzaman, 2009).

Catalase is one of the vital enzymes in scavenging H₂O₂ in plant cells exposed to various abiotic stresses due its higher turnover rate of reaction (Garg and Manchanda, 2009). The role of CAT in scavenging H₂O₂ was observed in several studies (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2011a, b; Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2012). In this study, CAT activity was significantly decreased upon exposure to salt stress in susceptible variety BARI Gom 21 and this decrease in CAT activity in BARI Gom 21 under salt stress might be due to its inactivation by the accumulated H₂O₂ induced by water shortage or ineffective enzyme synthesis or change in assembly of enzyme sub-units (Gupta *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, CAT activity was significantly increased at mild salt stress and decreased under severe salt stress in BARI Gom 21 (Fig. 18) (Gupta *et al.*, 2009; Khan *et al.*, 2009). This trend was supported by earlier reports (Lin *et al.*, 2010; Azooz *et al.*, 2009, Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2009). In contrary, Si-supplemented salt-stressed seedlings showed enhanced activity CAT than those under salt treatment without Si which suggests an unambiguous role of Si in scavenging H₂O₂ under salt stress. Similar increases in CAT activity after Si supplementation was observed under salt stress by other researchers (Yusuf *et al.*, 2008; Noriega *et al.*, 2012).

POD activity increased under salinity stress (Rohman *et al.*, 2015, Li *et al.*, 2014). In this study, POD activity increased at mild stress but decreased at severe stress. In higher plants, H₂O₂ is scavenged by the ascorbate-glutathione pathway and/or by CAT and non-specific PODs (Scandalios, 2005). CAT, POD and APX are reported to scavenge H₂O₂ to water in plant species (Gill & Tujeta, 2010a). The increased activities of POD and GPX under salt stress played important role in H₂O₂ scavenging (Rohman *et al.*, 2015). Silicon in salt treatments increased the activities of POD (Fig. 20) which reduced the H₂O₂ level and MDA production as well. Li *et al.* (2014) found upregulation of SOD, POD, CAT and APX by application of Si in salt stressed *T. grandis* seedlings. Plant GSTs are also associated with responses to various forms of abiotic stress (Hossain *et al.*, 2006b and

Dixon *et al.*, 2010) and stress tolerance is often correlated with enhanced activity of GST (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2012b). In both wheat varieties of our experiment, GST activity markedly increased under salt stress where comparatively higher activity was observed in salt tolerant BARI Gom 21 (Fig. 21). Our results are partially supported by Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2011a).

4.6 Yield contributing characters

4.6.1 Effective tiller hill⁻¹

4.6.1.1 Effect of variety

The effective tiller varied significantly due to variety shown in Fig. 24A. It was observed that BARI Gom 25 produced significantly the higher effective tiller (3.29), where BARI Gom 21 produced lower effective tiller (2.82).




Fig. 24 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on effective tillers hill⁻¹ (no.) of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.6.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity caused a considerable reduction of effective tiller compared to control (Fig. 24B). The highest effective tiller was found in control and only Si treated plant. On the contrary, Si increased effective tiller number compared to its respective control but not similar with control. Si treated plant increased tiller no. compare it's salt stress treatment (16, 11 and 9% at 50, 100 and 150 mM stressed condition, respectively). 200 mM salt stressed condition was also not affected by Si treatment (Fig. 24B).

4.6.1.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Exposure to salt stress resulted in significant decreases in effective tiller number hill⁻¹: 19, 30, 44 and 55% for BARI Gom 21 and 19, 27, 33 and 52% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity stressed conditions, respectively when compared to unstressed control plant (Fig. 24C). Adding of exogenous Si combination with salinity stress appreciably increased the effective tiller number up to 150 mM by 7, 25 and 33% for BARI Gom 21 and 8, 19 and 31% for BARI Gom 25 in Si treated 50, 100 and 150 mM stressed plant respectively (Fig. 24C), when compared to plants exposed to salt stress alone. Furthermore, in case of 200 mM salt stressed condition there had statistically indifference from each other of both varieties.

4.6.2 Non-effective tiller hill⁻¹

4.6.2 1 Effect of variety

Considerable variation was observed in non-effective tiller due to the effect of variety shown in Fig. 25A. BARI Gom 21 produced higher non-effective tiller (1.19) compared to BARI Gom 25 (1.13).

4.6.2 2 Effect of salinity treatments

Upon exposure to salt stress, non-effective tiller increased significantly compared to their controls (Fig. 25B). The highest non-effective tiller number was found in Si treated 200 mM salt stressed plant (1.51). Moreover, the lowest non-effective tiller was found in only Si treated plant (0.88).




Fig. 25 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments non-effective tillers hill⁻¹ (no.) of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.6.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Salinity stress caused amplified number of non-effective tiller hill⁻¹ of both varieties. The highest non-effective tiller was found in 200 mM salt stressed condition of BARI Gom 21 which was treated with Si (Fig. 25C). Upon salinity stress treatment the number of non-effective tiller was increased by 25, 37, 40 and 51% for BARI Gom 21 and 16, 22, 31 and 37% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM respectively, as compared to their respective control (Fig. 25(C)). Si supplementation reduced the number of non-effective tiller in the salt stressed condition up to 150 mM for both varieties. On the other hand, it became increased for both of variety. The rise of non-effective tiller number higher in BARI Gom 21 compared to BARI Gom 25.

4.6.3 Length of spike

4.6.3.1 Effect of variety

As shown in Table 11 highest length of spike also found in BARI Gom 25 compared to BARI Gom 21. About 11.71cm spike length was found in BARI Gom 25 where 10.14 cm found in BARI Gom 21.

Table 11. Effect of variety and salinity treatments on length of spike and spikelet spike⁻¹ of wheat

Variety	Length of spike (cm)	Spikelet spike ⁻¹
BARI Gom 21	10.13b	30.45b
BARI Gom 25	11.71a	35.49a
LSD (0.05)	0.55	0.48
CV (%)	4.31	2.76
Treatment		
C	14.47a	41.76a
C+Si	14.35a	42.98a
S50	11.98c	36.70d
S50+Si	13.18b	39.63c
S100	9.8d	31.4e
S100+Si	11.66c	36.62d
S150	8.83e	28.23f
S150+Si	9.62d	28.53f
S200	7.76f	23.3g
S200+Si	7.58f	20.53h
LSD (0.05)	0.55	1.06
CV (%)	4.31	2.76

4.6.3.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Length of spike was also affected by salinity stress, according to Table 11. Saline treatment reduced the length of spike compared to control and Si treated plant. About 18,

32, 37 and 45% reduced due to 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM salinity stress, respectively. Furthermore, the reduction was less in Si treated stressed plant compared to respective control (Table 11). But Si could not affect 200 mM salt stressed condition where it gave similar result with 200 mM stressed condition.

4.6.3.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Length of spike of BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25 varieties were decreased by 28%, 37%, 38%, 46% and 7%, 27%, 39%, 45% in 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM respectively, as compared to their respective control (Fig. 26). In contrary, exogenous Si supplementation caused increased the length of spike of both varieties up to 150 mM. Moreover, it had no statistically significance with each other. Maximum reduction in length of spike due to salinity stress was observed in BARI Gom 21 compared to BARI Gom 25.




Fig. 26. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on length of spike of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.6.4 Spikelet spike⁻¹

4.6.4.1 Effect of variety

Significant variation was observed for spikelet spike⁻¹ due to varietal variation in Table 11. BARI Gom 25 produced higher spikelet spike⁻¹ (35.48) compared to BARI Gom 21.

4.6.4.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity stress treatments, significant variation was observed for spikelet spike⁻¹ (Table 11). The highest spikelet spike⁻¹ was found in Si and only control treated plant. Spikelet spike⁻¹ decreased harshly in case of salt stressed treatment compared to Si treated stressed plant. However, Si treatment increased spikelet number 5, 14 and 33% at 50, 100 and 150 mM stressed condition, but not increased in case of 200 mM stressed condition.

4.6.4.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Number of spikelet per spike was also decreased in the same way which was 9, 25, 34 and 47% for BARI Gom 21 and 14, 23, 31 and 41% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM of salinity stress, respectively (Fig. 27). Extent of reduction was higher in BARI Gom 21 than BARI Gom 25. However, exogenous application of Si increased the shoot length in both the cultivars under saline and non-saline conditions. The Si application increased the spikelet number under control and saline conditions in both cultivars. Notably higher number of spikelet per spike (44.40) was recorded in controlled condition, only Si treated was (45.83) and Si treated 50 mM saline condition of BARI Gom 25 was (43.73). Nevertheless, both of variety gave same result in case of 200 mM saline condition. Si treated 200 mM saline condition gave significantly lower number of spikelet per spike than 200 mM saline condition without treatment for both of variety (Fig. 27).




Fig. 27. Interaction effect of variety and treatments on spikelet spike⁻¹ of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.6.5 1000-grain weight

4.6.5.1 Effect of variety

Weight of 1000 grains showed significant variation among the different varieties (Fig. 28A). BARI Gom 25 produced higher 1000 grain weight (45.93 g). The lower 1000 grain weight (37.03 g) was obtained from BARI Gom 21.

4.6.5.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Salinity reduced 1000 grain weight compared to control. Si treatment increased 1000 grain weight under stressed condition (10, 6 and 4% at 50, 100 and 150 mM). But 200 mM stressed condition produced highest 1000 grain weight compared to Si treated salt stressed condition (Fig. 28B).




Fig. 28 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatment, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on 1000 grain weight of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.6.5.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

As shown in Fig. 28C 1000 grain weight of wheat plants decreased under salinity stress. Distinctly decreases in 1000 grain weight were observed (11, 25, 32 and 38% in BARI Gom 21 and 10, 18, 21 and 32% in BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM, respectively) in response to salt stress. Nevertheless, salt stressed plants treated with Si up to 150 mM had significantly increased 1000 grain weight in both variety compared to plants which were subjected to salt stress without Si. Nonetheless, 1000 grain weight significantly decreased after treating with Si at 200 mM salt stress in both variety of wheat plant compared to plants which were treated with 200 mM without Si treatment (Fig. 28C). Highest 1000 grain weight was found in control (54.17g) of BARI Gom 25 which was similar to only Si treated (55.07 g) and Si treated 50 mM (53.16 g) stressed

plant of that variety. Comparing cultivars, under control conditions, BARI Gom 25 produced more 1000 grain weight in comparison to BARI Gom 21.

4.7 Yields

4.7.1 Grain yield plant⁻¹

4.7.1.1 Effect of variety

Grain yield varied significantly for different varieties shown in Fig. 29A. The higher grain yield (29.86 g) was recorded by BARI Gom 25 compared to BARI Gom 21 (23.61 g).




Fig. 29 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on grain yield plant⁻¹ of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.7.1.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Significant variation was observed for grain yield due to different salinity treatments (Fig. 29B). Grain yield became reduced due to saline treatment. However, Si treated under salt stressed condition increased grain yield up to 100 mM salt stressed condition (10 and 29% at 50 and 100 mM stress treatment, respectively) compared to their respective control. At 150 and 200 mM stress condition could not be affected by Si treatment (Fig. 29B).

4.7.1.3 Interaction effect of variety and salinity treatments

Salinity caused (Fig. 29C) a significant reduction in grain yield of wheat plants of both cultivars compared to those in non-saline solution and magnitude of decrease was less in BARI Gom 25 as compared to BARI Gom 21. About 11, 31, 41 and 49% grain yield decreased for BARI Gom 25 and 19, 33, 36 and 58% for BARI Gom 21 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM, respectively. The highest grain yield was found in control (40.33 g) and only Si treated plant (40.97 g) of BARI Gom 25 variety (Fig. 29C). For all that, the lowest grain yield was found in 200 mM saline condition of both varieties which was not increased or decreased after treating with Si.

4.7.2 Straw yield plant⁻¹

4.7.2.1 Effect of variety

There was significant variation observed for straw yield due to varietal variation (Fig. 30A). BARI Gom 25 recorded higher straw yield (22.04 g) and the lower straw yield (20.01 g) was obtained from the other variety named BARI Gom 21.

4.7.2.2 Effect of salinity treatments

Pointed decreases in straw yield were observed (12, 28, 39 and 52% at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM stressed condition, respectively) due to NaCl salinity stress (Fig. 30B). Furthermore, Si treatment increased straw yield under stress condition. But at 200 mM

salt stressed condition Si treatment reduced straw yield compared to 200 mM stressed condition without Si treatment.




Fig. 30 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of salinity treatments, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on straw yield plant⁻¹ of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.7.2.3 Interaction effect of variety and salinity treatments

Straw yield was noticeably decreased in both wheat varieties under salt stressed condition. Straw yield was decreased by 10, 30, 43 and 49% for BARI Gom 21 and 11, 27, 38 and 47% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM respectively, compared to respective control (Fig. 30C). Highest straw yield was observed in only Si treated plant (30.24 g) of BARI Gom 25 variety when grown under non-saline treatment. Control of BARI Gom 25 variety produced 29.53 g straw yield pot⁻¹ which is statistically similar with the highest result (Fig. 30C). However, exogenous application with Si mitigated the

salt effect up to 150 mM for both of varieties. After 150 mM treatment it did not give any significant result in BARI Gom 21. But for BARI Gom 25, it became decreased.

4.7.3 Harvest index

4.7.3.1 Effect of variety

Variety showed significant variation in harvest index (Fig. 31 A). BARI Gom 25 showed the higher harvest index (54.63%) whereas lower harvest index (49.44%) in BARI Gom 21.




Fig. 31 (A) Effect of variety, (B) Effect of treatment, and (C) Interaction effect of variety and treatments on harvest index of wheat. Mean (\pm SD) was calculated from three replicates for each treatment. Values in a column with different letters are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ applying LSD test

4.7.3.2 Effect of salinity treatments

For different salinity treatments with or without Si spraying, significant variation was observed for harvest index (Fig. 31B). Control (66.27%) and only Si treated plant

(66.74%) produced higher harvest index compared to other salt stressed condition and Si treated stressed condition. However, Si treated stressed plant produced higher harvest index (63, 56 and 46% at 50, 100 and 150 mM salt stressed condition, respectively) compared to salt stressed condition.

4.7.3.3 Interaction effect of variety and treatments

Meaningful decreases in harvest index were observed (22, 27, 41 and 46% for BARI Gom 21 and 9, 25, 37 and 43% for BARI Gom 25 at 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM NaCl stresses, respectively) in response to salt stress, compared to the respective control and untreated control (Fig. 31C). Si treated salt stressed plants had significantly higher HI, compared to plants subjected to salt stress without Si treatment. However, the level was significantly lower than that of untreated control. The HI level of Si treated control plants was similar to that of the untreated control.

Yield is a result of the amalgamation of metabolic reactions in plants; consequently any factor that influences this metabolic activity at any period of plant growth can affect the yield (Ibrahim and Aldesuquy, 2003). Herein our research, Yield and yield attributes (spike length, plant height, number of spikelet spike⁻¹, 100 grain weight, grain weight, straw weight, crop yield per plant) are reduced due to salt stress in both wheat cultivars. The lessening in yield of stressed wheat plants can be recognized to the decrease in photosynthetic pigments, carbohydrates accumulation (polysaccharides) and nitrogenous compounds (total nitrogen and protein). The decrease in yield and yield components in different crops under similar conditions has also been reported by many workers (Arfan *et al.*, 2007; Sankar *et al.*, 2008 and Aldesuquy *et al.*, 2012). These workers clearly indicated that salt tolerant genotypes showed less reduction in yield plants in respect of susceptible ones. Therefore, maintenance of better yield of the wheat cultivar, BARI Gom 25 than that of BARI Gom 21 under salt stress (Fig. 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31). Salt stress during the early stage of reproductive growth tends to reduce yield by reducing seed number. During seed development stress reduces yield by reducing seed size. Prolonged moisture stress during reproductive growth can severely reduce yield because of reduced seed number and seed size Matichenkov and Calvert (2002). However,

treating plants with Si under salinity stress caused increments in all the considered yield criteria. It could be stated that the positive effect of Si on improving yield may be due to the translocation of more photo assimilates to the seeds (Fig. 26, 27). These results may be due to the role of calcium silicate in enhancing some physiological and biochemical aspects. These findings are in agreement with those reported by Arfan *et al.* (2007) and Singh and Usha (2007) on wheat, Gunes *et al.* (2007) on maize and Elwan and El-Hamahmy (2009) on pepper.

Chapter 5

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present piece of work was done at the experimental shed of the Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November to March, 2014 to find out the influence of Si to mitigate the effect of salt stress on wheat which was applied exogenously.

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. There were 60 pots all together replication with the given factors. Empty earthen pots with 18 inch depth were used for the experiment. There were 20 treatment combinations. The treatments were control (C), control with sodium silicate (C+Si), 50 mM NaCl (S50), 50 mM NaCl with sodium silicate (S50+Si), 100 mM NaCl (S100), 100 mM NaCl with sodium silicate (S100+Si), 150 mM NaCl (S150), 150 mM NaCl with sodium silicate (S150+Si), 200 mM NaCl (S200), 200 mM NaCl with sodium silicate (S200+Si) for two varieties viz. BARI Gom 21 and BARI Gom 25. The salinity treatments were applied on 28, 35, 42, 49, 56 and 63 DAS. Fifteen healthy seeds of each variety were sown in each pot.

Germination test was performed before sowing the seeds in the pot. The data were collected from five days seedlings for three times with some parameters viz. germination (%), number of normal and abnormal seedling, length of shoot and root, fresh weight of shoot and root and dry weight of seedling. The data on growth parameters viz. plant height; tillers hill⁻¹, fresh weight plant⁻¹, and dry weight plant⁻¹ were recorded during the period from 30 to 60 DAS. Two physiological parameters viz. relative water content and chlorophyll content were also collected. Some biochemical parameters were collected from each variety viz. lipid peroxidation, H₂O₂ content, ascorbic acid content, glutathione content, activities of antioxidant enzymes (APX, MDHAR, DHAR, GR, GST, POD and CAT). At harvest, characters like plant height, effective tillers hill⁻¹, non-effective tillers

hill⁻¹, length of spike, spikelet spike⁻¹, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index were recorded.

Germination (%) was also affected by the salt stress. The highest germination (%) was found in control and only Si treated plant of both varieties. Only Si treatment of BARI Gom 25 produced higher number of normal seedling compared to other treatment, where 150 mM of NaCl stress of BARI Gom 21 produced higher number of abnormal seedling. Length of shoot and root was the highest in control and only Si of both varieties. Control and only Si treatment of both varieties produced highest fresh weight of shoot. On contrary, control and only Si treated plant of BARI Gom 25 produced higher fresh weight of root and dry weight of seedling.

Different salinity with or without Si treatments had significant effect on crop growth parameters viz. plant height, tillers hill⁻¹, fresh weight plant⁻¹ and dry weight plant⁻¹ at different DAS. The highest plant height was observed in BARI Gom 25 with control (31.46 cm) at 30; control (48.83 cm) and only Si (47.4 cm) at 45 DAS and control (73.93 cm) and only Si (73.7 cm) at 60 DAS. The highest tillers hill⁻¹ was observed in BARI Gom 25 with control (2.23) and only Si (2.16) at 30 DAS; only Si (2.33) at 45 DAS and only Si (2.53) at 60 DAS. Fresh weight plant⁻¹ was highest in BARI Gom 25 with only Si (4.05 g) at 30 DAS; control (9.22 g) and only Si (9.77 g) at 45 DAS and control (11.88 g) and only Si (11.99 g) at 60 DAS. Dry weight plant⁻¹ was highest in BARI Gom 25 with control (0.71 g), only Si (0.70 g), S50 (0.67 g) and S50+Si (0.68 g) at 30 DAS; control (2.56 g) and only Si (2.54 g) at 45 DAS and control (3.47 g) and only Si (3.48 g) at 60 DAS.

Salinity treatments had significant effect on the physiological parameters viz. relative water content and chlorophyll content was highest in BARI Gom 25 with control (191.7%), only Si (193.02%) and control (0.058 mg cm⁻²), only Si (0.057 mg cm⁻²), S50+Si (0.057 mg cm⁻²).

The biochemical parameters viz. MDA and H₂O₂ content was highest in BARI Gom 21 with S200 (22.96 and 23.65 nmol g⁻¹ FW, respectively). The highest AsA content was found in BARI Gom 25 at control and Si (4476.9 and 4408.2 nmol g⁻¹ FW, respectively).

GSH content was highest in BARI Gom 25 at S100+Si (433.44 nmol g⁻¹ FW). GSSG was the highest in BARI Gom 21 at S200 (65.98 nmol g⁻¹ FW). GSH/GSSG ratio was uppermost in BARI Gom 25 at control (19.40). The highest CAT was in BARI Gom 25 at S50+Si (71.22 μmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein), APX at S100+Si (1.21 μmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein), MDHAR at Si (49.71 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein) and DHAR at S100+Si (256.7 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein). The highest GR was in BARI Gom 25 at S200+Si (49.92 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein), S150+Si (46.00 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein); POD at S100+Si (81.60 Unit mg⁻¹ protein), S50+Si (77.80 Unit mg⁻¹ protein) and GST at S200+Si (251.23 nmol m⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein).

Salinity treatments had significant effect on the yield and yield contributing characters viz. plant height, effective tillers hill⁻¹, length of spike, spikelet spike⁻¹, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index was highest in BARI Gom 25 at control and Si treatment. Where, non-effective tiller was the highest in BARI Gom 21 at S200+Si.

On the basis of result of the present experiment, together with results found in the available literature, we therefore concluded that exogenous Si spray is an effective way to overcome the adverse effects of osmotic stress on growth, physiology and yield components of wheat. It could be partly ascribed to the increase in non-enzymatic and enzymatic antioxidants. In all the cases BARI Gom 25 was a better performer under salt stress. All parameters decreased at any level of salt stress. Exceptions were abnormal seedling, non-effective tiller hill⁻¹, MDA content, H₂O₂, GSSG content and GST activity which increased in response to salinity.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Ghani, A. H. (2009). Response of wheat varieties from semi-arid regions of Jordan to salt stress. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **195**(1): 55–65.
- Addinsoft. (2014). XLSTAT v. 2014.2.03: Data analysis and statistics software for Microsoft Excel. Addinsoft, Paris, France.
- Afzal, I., Basra, S. M. A., Farooq, M. and Nawaz, A. (2006). Alleviation of salinity stress in spring wheat by hormonal priming with ABA, salicylic acid and ascorbic acid. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **8**(1): 23-28.
- Agrawal, S. B., Singh, S. and Agrawal, M. (2009). Ultraviolet-B induced changes in gene expression and antioxidants in plants. *Adv. Bot. Res.* **52**:47-86.
- Agurie, S., Agata, W., Kubota, F. and Kaufman P.B. (1992). Physiological role of silicon in photosynthesis and dry matter production in rice plants. *J. Crop Sci.* **61**: 200-206.
- Agurie, S., Agata, W., Kubota F. and Kaufman, P.B. (1997). Physiological role of silicon in photosynthesis and dry matter production in wheat plants. *J. Crop Sci.* **74**: 185-197.
- Ahmad, P. and Prasad, M. N. V. (2012a). Abiotic stress responses in plants: metabolism, productivity and sustainability. Springer, New York.
- Ahmad, P. and Prasad, M. N. V. (2012b). Environmental adaptations and stress tolerance in plants in the era of climate change. Springer Science + Business Media, New York.
- Ahmad, R., Zaheer, S. H. and Ismail, S. (1992). Role of Si in salt tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Sci.* **85**: 43-50.
- Akbari ghogdi, E., Izadi-Darbandi, A. and Borzouei, A. (2012). Effects of salinity on some physiological traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *Indian J. Sci.Technol.* **5** (1): 1901-1906
- Akbarimoghaddam, H., Galavi, M., Ghanbari, A. and Panjehkeh, N. (2011). Salinity effects on seed germination and seedling growth of bread wheat cultivars. *Trakia J. Sci.* **9**:43-50.
- Akram, M., Hussain, M., Akhtar, S. and Rasul, E. (2002). Impact of NaCl salinity on yield components of some wheat accessions/varieties. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **4**(1): 156-158.

- Al-aghaby, K., Zhu, Z. and Shi, Q. (2004). Influence of silicon supply on chlorophyll content, chlorophyll fluorescence and anti-oxidative enzyme activities in tomato plants under salt stress. *J. Plant Nutr.* **27**: 2101-2115.
- Aldesuquy, H. S., Zakaria, A. B., Omar, A., Shehaby, E. and Hanan, E. G. (2012). Efficacy of seawater salinity on osmotic adjustment and solutes allocation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) flag leaf during grain filling. *Int. J. Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **4**(3): 33-45.
- Ali, A. A. and Alqurainy, F. (2006). Activities of antioxidants in plants under environmental stress. In: Motohashi, N. (ed) The lutein-prevention and treatment for diseases. Transworld Research Network, India, pp. 187-256.
- Al-Musa, M. A. A., Ullah, M. A., Moniruzzaman, M., Islam, M. S. and Mukherjee, A. (2012). Effect of BARI wheat varieties on seed germination, growth and yield under Patuakhali district. *J. Environ. Sci. Nat. Resour.* **5**(2): 209 -212.
- Alvarez, J. and Datnoff, L.E. (1999). Economics of silicon. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, **9**: 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Amirjani, M. R. (2011). Effect of salinity stress on growth, sugar content, pigments and enzyme activity of rice. *Int. J. Bot.* **7**: 73-81.
- Anderson, D.L. and Sosa, O.J. (2001). Effect of silicon on expression of resistance to sugarcane borer (*Diatraea Saccharalis* L.). *J. Am. Soc. Sugarcane Techno.* **21**: 43-50.
- Ando, H., Fujii, H., Hayasaka, T., Yokoyama, K. and Mayum, H. (1999). New silicon source for rice cultivation; 3. Growth and yield of wetland rice with reference to silica gel application. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, **32**. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Anjum, F. M. and Walker, C. E. (1991). Review on the significance of starch and protein to wheat kernel hardness. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **56**: 1-13.
- Apel, K. and Hirt, H. (2004). Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **55**: 373-399.
- Araus, J. L., Slafer, G. A., Reynolds, M. P. and Royo, C. (2002). Plant breeding and drought in C₃ cereals: what should we breed for? *Ann. Bot.* **89**: 925-940.
- Arfan, M., Athar, H. R. and Ashraf, M. (2007). Does exogenous application of Calcium silicate through the rooting medium modulate growth and photosynthetic capacity in differently adapted spring wheat cultivated under salt stress? *J. Plant Physiol.* **6**: 685–694.

- Asada, K. and Takahashi, M. (1987). Production and scavenging of active oxygen in photosynthesis. In: Kyle, D. J., Osmond, C. B. and Arntzen, C. J. (eds) *Photoinhibition: Topics of photosynthesis*. 9th edn, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands **42**: 227-287.
- Asgaria, H. R., Cornelisb, W. and Van Damme, P. (2012). Salt stress effect on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) growth and leaf ion concentrations. *Int. J. Plant Prod.* **6**(2): 195-208.
- Ashraf, M. and Parveen, N. (2002). Photosynthetic parameters of the vegetative stage and during grain development of two hexaploid wheat cultivars differing in the salt tolerance. *Biol. Plant.* **45**(3): 401-407.
- Azooz, M. M., Ismail, A. M. and Elhamd, M. F. A. (2009). Growth, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme activities as a selection criterion for the salt tolerance of maize cultivars grown under salinity stress. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **11**(1): 21–26.
- Bagci, S. A., Ekiz, H., and Ylmaz, A. (2010). Salt tolerance of sixteen wheat genotypes during seedling growth. *Turk. J. Agric. Fot.* **31**(6): 363-372.
- Barrs, H. D. and Weatherley, P. E. (1962). A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **15**(3): 413-428.
- Belanger, R.R., Fawe, A. and Menzies, J.G. (1999). The mode of action of Si as a disease-preventing agent in cucumber. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, **6**. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Bhatti, M. A., Zulfiqur, A., Allah, B., Abdul, R. and Jamall A. R. (2004). Screening of wheat lines for salinity tolerance. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **6**(4): 627-628.
- Blokhnia, O., Virolainen, E. and Fagerstedt, V. (2003). Antioxidants, oxidative damage and oxygen deprivation stress: a review. *Ann. Bot.* **91**: 179-194.
- Bollich, P.K., Robichaux, C.R., Groth, D.E. and Oard, J.H. (1999). Si use in Louisiana rice: potential improvements in disease management and grain yield. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, **37**: 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Borsani, O., Valpuesta, V. and Botella, M. A. (2001). Evidence for a role of Ca-silicate in the oxidative damage generated by NaCl and osmotic stress in Arabidopsis seedlings. *Plant Physiol.* **126**(3): 1024–1030.

- Bouaouina, S., Zid, E, Hajji, M. and Royo, C. (2000). Tolerance to salinity, ion transport and chlorophyll fluorescence in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.). Proc. Seminar, Zaragoza, Spain, 12-14 April, 2000. **40**: 239-243.
- Bradbury, M. and Ahmed, R. (1990). The effect of silicon on the growth of *Prosopis juliflora* growing in saline soil. *Plant Soil*. **125**: 71-74.
- Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem*. **72**(1-2): 248–254.
- Bray, E. A., Bailey-Serres, J. and Weretilnyk, E. (2000). Responses to abiotic stresses. In: Buchanan, B. B., Gruissem, W. and Jones, R. L. (eds) Biochemistry and molecular biology of plants. *Amer. Soc. Plant Physiol*. Rockville, pp. 1158-1203.
- Breiman, A. and Graur, D. (1995). Wheat evolution. *Israel J. Plant Sci*. **43**: 85-98.
- Cai, D. (1999). Effect of silicon fertilization on crop grown in the yellow river alluvial plains of China. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, **26**. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Carpici, E. B., Celik, N. and Bayram, G. (2009). Effects of salt stress on germination of some maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars. *Afr. J. Biotechnol*. **8**: 4918-4922.
- Chalapathi Rao, A. S. V. and Reddy, A. R. (2008). Glutathione reductase: a putative redox regulatory system in plant cells. In: Khan, N. A., Singh, S. and Umar, S. (Eds) Sulfur assimilation and abiotic stresses in plants. Springer, the Netherlands, pp. 111-147.
- Chaparzadeh, N. and Mehrnejad, F. (2013). Oxidative markers in five Iranian alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) cultivars under salinity stress. *Iranian J. Plant Physiol*. **3**(4): 793–799.
- Chen, Z., Young, T. E., Ling, J., Chang, S. C. and Gallie, D. R. (2003). Increasing vitamin C content of plants through enhanced ascorbate recycling. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**: 3525-3530.
- Cherif, M., Asselin, A. and Belanger, R.R. (1994). Defense responses induced by soluble Si in cucumber roots infected by *Pythium* spp. *Phytopath*. **84**: 236-342.
- Choi, Y., Gehring, M., Johnson, L., Hannon, M., Harada, J. J., Goldberg, R. B., Jacobsen, S. E. and Fischer, R. L. (2002). DEMETER, a DNA glycosylase domain protein, is required for endosperm gene imprinting and seed viability in arabidopsis. *Cell*. **110**(1): 33-42.

- Chopra, N. K., Chopra, N. S. and Chopra, N. (1997). Effect of saline water on performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties in Western Rajasthan. *Indian J. Agron.* **42**(3): 468-470.
- Choudhury, S., Panda, P., Sahoo, L. and Panda, S. K. (2013). Reactive oxygen species signaling in plants under abiotic stress. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **29**: 256-269.
- Chutipaijit, S., Cha-um, S. and Sompornpailin, K. (2011) High contents of proline and anthocyanin increase protective response to salinity in *Oryza sativa* L. spp. *indica*. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* **5**: 1191–1198.
- Collins, N. C., Tardieu, F. and Tuberosa, R. (2008). Quantitative trait loci and crop performance under abiotic stress: where do we stand? *Plant Physiol.* **147**: 469-486.
- Cornelia, P. and Bandici, Gh. E. (2008). The effect of the calcium silicate on the peroxidase activity and on the growth of the barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) seedling under salt stress. *Bulletin UASVM, Agric.* **65**(1): 208-211.
- Cornelia, P., Dorina, C. and Adriana, C. (2013). Studies regarding the effect of calcium silicate on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Crisana) plantlets response to salinity in milk stage. *Nat. Resour. Sustain. Dev.* **62**: 405-414.
- Correa-Victoria, F. J., Datnoff, E., Okada, K., Friesen, D. K., Sanz, J. I. and Synder, G. H. (1999). Effects of silicon fertilization on disease development and yield of rice in Colombia. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, P. 12. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Cuartero, J., Yeo, A. R. and Flowers, T. J. (1992). Selection of donors for salttolerance in tomato using physiological traits. *New Phytol.* **121**: 63-69.
- Daren, C. W., Datnoff, L. E., Snyder, G. H. and Martin, F. G. (1994). Silicon concentration, disease response and yield components of rice genotypes grown on flooded organic Histosol. *Crop Sci.* **34**: 733-737.
- Datnoff L. E. (2004). Silicon suppresses leaf spotting on Bermuda grass and Trufgrass Trends. *Silicon Agric.* **39**: 1118-1119
- Datnoff, L. E. and Nagata, R. I. (1999). Influence of silicon and host plant resistance on gray leaf spot development in St. Augustine grass. In: “Silicon in Agriculture”, P. 38. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Datnoff, L. E., Synder, G. H. and Korndörfer, G. H. (2001). Silicon in agriculture. Studies in Plant Sciences, P. 8. Elsevier, Dordrecht, Netherlands.

- Datnoff, L. E., Raid, R. N., Snyder, G. H. and Jonas, D. B. (1991). Effect of calcium silicate on blast and brown spot intensities and yield of rice. *Plant Dis.* **75**: 729-732.
- Davies, S. and Evans, G. (2009). Soybean and wheat crops: Growth, fertilization, and yield. Nov. Sci. Publishers, Inc. New York.
- De Tullio, M. C. (2004). How does ascorbic acid prevent scurvy? A survey of the nonantioxidant functions of vitamin C. In: Asard, H (ed) Vitamin C: Its function and biochemistry in animals and plants. Garland Science/BIOS Scientific Publishers, London, New York. pp. 176-190.
- Dhaliwal, R. K., Malik, C. P., Gosal, S. S. and Dhaliwal, L. S. (1997). Studies on hardening of micropropagated sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) plantlet. II. Leaf parameters and biochemical estimations. *Ann. Biol. Ludhiana.* **13**(1): 15–20.
- Dixon, D. P., Skipsey, M. and Edwards, R. (2010). Roles for glutathione transferases in plant secondary metabolism, *Phytochem.* **71**: 338-350.
- Dolatabadian, A, Modarressanavy, S. A. M. and Ghanati, F. (2011). Effect of salinity on growth, xylem structure and anatomical characteristics of soybean. *Not. Sci. Biol.* **3**: 41-45.
- Edwards, R., Dixon, D. P. and Walbot, V. (2000). Plant glutathione *S*-transferases: enzymes with multiple functions in sickness and in health. *Trends Plant Sci.* **5**: 193-198.
- El-Bassiuny, H. M. S. and Bakheta, M. A. (2005). Effect of Salt Stress on Relative Water Content, Lipid Peroxidation, Polyamines, Amino Acids and Ethylene of Two Wheat Cultivars. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* **7**(3): 363-368.
- Elia, A. C., Galarini, R., Taticchi, M. I., Dorr, A. J. M. and Mantilacci, L. (2003). Antioxidant responses and bioaccumulation in *Ictalurus melas* under mercury exposure. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **55**(2): 162–167.
- El-Shabrawi, H., Kumar, B., Kaul, T., Reddy, M. K., Singla-Pareek, S. L. and Sopory, S. K. (2010). Redox homeostasis, antioxidant defense, and methylglyoxal detoxification as markers for salt tolerance in Pokkali rice. *Protoplasma.* **245**(1-4): 85-96.
- Eltayeb, A. E., Kawano, N., Badawi, G., Kaminaka, H., Sanekata, T. and Morishima, I. (2006). Enhanced tolerance to ozone and drought stresses in transgenic tobacco overexpressing dehydroascorbate reductase in cytosol. *Physiol. Plant.* **127**: 57-65.

- Eltayeb, A. E., Kawano, N., Badawi, G., Kaminaka, H., Sanekata, T., Shibahar, T., Inanaga, S. and Tanaka, K. (2007). Overexpression of monodehydroascorbate reductase in transgenic tobacco confers enhanced tolerance to ozone, salt and polyethylene glycol stresses. *Planta*. **225**: 1255-1264.
- Eltayeb, M. A. (2005). Response of barley grains to the interactive effect of salinity and potassium silicate. *Plant Growth Regul.* **45**: 215–224.
- Elwan, M. W. M. and El-Hamahmy, M. A. M. (2009). Improved productivity and quality association with sodium silicate application in green house pepper. *Sci. Hortic.* **122**(4): 521-526.
- Epstein, E. and Bloom, A. J. (2005). *Mineral Nutrition of Plants: Principles and Perspectives*. 2nd Ed. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer.
- Epstein, E. (1999a). Silicon. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **50**: 641-664.
- Epstein, E. (1999b). Solution Culture Techniques. Measurement Techniques in Plant Sciences. P. 207-245, In: Hashimoto, Y., P.J. Kramer, H. Nomani and B.R. Strain (eds.), *Academic Press Inc.*, San Diego, USA.
- Epstein, E. (2001). Silicon in plants: facts vs. concepts. In: *Silicon in Agriculture* (Datnoff, L. E., Snyder, G. H. and Korndorfer, G. H. (Eds.), p. 1-16. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Erdal, S., Aydın, M., Genisel, M., Taspınar, M. S., Dumlupınar, R., Kaya, O., and Gorcek, Z. (2011). Effects of salicylic acid on wheat salt sensitivity. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **10**(30): 5713-5718.
- Essa, T. A. (2002). Effect of salinity stress on growth and nutrient composition of three soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) cultivars. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **88**: 86-93.
- Evans, L. T. (2005). Is crop improvement still needed? *J. Crop Improv.* **14**: 1-7.
- Exley, C. (1998). Silicon in life: A bioinorganic solution to bioorganic essentiality. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **69**: 139-144.
- FAO (2007). Water profile of Bangladesh. http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/countries_regions/bgd/index.stm
- FAOStat (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations), (2013). Food and agricultural commodities production. <http://faostat3.fao.org>.

- Fariduddin, Q., Hayat, S. and Ahmad, A. (2003). Sodium silicate influences net photosynthetic rate, carboxylation efficiency, nitrate reductase activity and seed yield in *Brassica juncea*. *Photosynthetica*. **41**(2): 281–284.
- Filho, M. P. B., Snyder, G. H., Datnoff, L. E. and De Silva, O. F. (1999). Response of upland rice to calcium silicate application. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 19. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Fisarakis, I., Chartzoulakis, K. and Stavrakas, D. (2001). Response of Sultana vines (*V. vinifera* L.) on six rootstocks to NaCl salinity exposure and recovery. *Agric. Water Manage.* **51**: 13-27.
- Flagella, Z., Vittozzi, L. C., Platini, C. and Fonzo, N. D. (2000). Effect of salt stress on photosynthetic electron transport and grain yield in durum wheat (*Triticum durum*). Papers presented at a conference on irrigation research: Process in the use of water resources, Bari, Italy, 1-2 October, 2000. **47** (1): 31-36.
- Flowers, T. J., Hajibagheri, M. A. and Clipson, N. J. W. (1986). Halophytes. *Quart. Rev. Biol.* **61**: 313-337.
- Flowers, T., Troke, P. F., and Yeo, A. R. (1977). The mechanism of salt tolerance in halophytes. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.* **28**: 89–121.
- Foyer, C. and Noctor, G. (2000). Oxygen processing in photosynthesis: regulation and signaling. *New Phytol.* **146**: 359-388.
- Foyer, C. H. and Halliwell, B. (1976). The presence of glutathione and glutathione reductase in chloroplasts: a proposed role in ascorbic acid metabolism. *Planta*. **133**: 21-25.
- Friesen, D. K., Sanz, J. I., Correa, F., Winslow, M. D., Okada, K., Datnoff, L. E. and Snyder, G. H. (1994). Silicon deficiency of upland rice on highlyweathered savanna soils in Columbia. I. Evidence of major yield Constrains. IX. Conferencia Internaciaonal de arroz pora a America Latina E Pera O Caribe, V Revniao Nacional De Pesquise De Arroz, Castro’s Park Hotel. 21-30 Marco. Goias, Brazil.
- Fryer, M. J. (1992). The antioxidant effects of thylakoid vitamin E (α -tocopherol). *Plant Cell Environ.* **15**: 381-392.
- Fujii T., Shimoi H. and Iimura Y. (1999). Structure of the glucan-binding sugar chain of Tip1p, a cell wall protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1427**(2): 133-44.
- Gain, P., Mannan, M. A., Pal, P. S., Hossain, M. M. and Parvin, S. (2004). Effect of salinity on some yield attributes of rice. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* **7**: 760–762.

- Gardner, F. P., Pearce, R. B. and Mitchell, R. L. (1985). *Physiology of Crop Plants*. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa. pp. 327.
- Garg, N., & Manchanda, G. (2009). ROS generation in plants: Boon or bane? *Plant Biosystems*, Vol.143, No.1, 81 - 96.
- Gawish, S. M., Leboudi, A. E., Aziz, S. M. A. and Ahmed, M. R. M. (2008). Studies on salt tolerance of certain wheat varieties and status and translocation of both sodium and chlorine along with nitrogen and phosphorus nutritional elements in plants growth under saline conditions. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* **39**(4): 453-470.
- Ghosh, N., Adak, M. K., Ghosh, P. D., Gupta, S., Sen Gupta, D. N. and Mandal, C. (2011). Differential responses of two rice varieties to salt stress. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* **5**(1): 89-103.
- Ghoulam, C., Foursy, A. and Fares, K. (2002). Effects of salt stress on growth, inorganic ions and proline accumulation in relation to osmotic adjustment in five sugar beet cultivars. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **47**: 39-50.
- Gill, S. S. and Tuteja, N. (2010a) Polyamines and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Signal Behav.* **5**1: 26–33.
- Gill, S. S. and Tuteja, N. (2010b). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **48**: 909-930.
- Gong, H., Zhu, X., Chen, K., Wang, S. and Zhang, C. (2005). Silicon alleviates oxidative damage of wheat plants in pots under drought. *Plant Sci.* **169**: 313-321.
- Gong, H. J., Randall, D. P. and Flowers, T. J. (2006). Silicon deposition in the root reduces sodium uptake in rice seedlings by reducing bypass flow. *Plant Cell Environ.* **111**: 1-9.
- Goudarzi, M. and Pakniyat, H. (2008). Evaluation of wheat cultivars under salinity stress based on some agronomic and physiological traits. *J. Agri. Soc. Sci.* **4**: 81–104.
- Greenway, H. and Munns, R. (1980). Mechanisms of salt tolerance in nonhalophytes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* **31**: 149–190.
- Gullner, G. and Kömives, T. (2001). The role of glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes in plant pathogen interactions. In: Grill, D., Tausz, M. and Kok, L. (eds) Significance of glutathione in plant adaptation to the environment. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 207-239.

- Gunes, A., A. Inal and E.G. Bagci. (2007). Silicon-mediated changes of some physiological and enzymatic parameters symptomatic for oxidative stress in spinach and tomato grown insodic-B toxic soil. *Plant Soil*. **290**: 103-114.
- Gupta, M., Sharma, P., Sarin, N. B. and Sinha, A. K. (2009). Differential response of arsenic stress in two varieties of *Brassica juncea* L. *Chemosphere*. **74**(9): 1201–1208.
- Guzman, A., Ramos-Balderas, J. L., Carrillo-Rosas, S. and Maldonado, E. (2013). A stem cell proliferation burst forms new layers of P63 expressing suprabasal cells during zebrafish postembryonic epidermal development. *Biol. Open*. **2**(11): 1179-1186.
- Halliwell, B. (2006). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidants, Redox biology is fundamental theme of aerobic life. *Plant Physiol*. **141**: 312-322.
- Halliwell, B., and Gutteridge, J.M.C. (1999). Free radicals in biology and medicine, 3rd ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hamdy, A., Sardo, V. and Ghanem, A. F. (2003). Saline water in supplemental irrigation of wheat and barley. *Agric. Water Manage*. **78**(1-2):122-127.
- Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fujita, M. (2011a). Selenium pretreatment upregulates the antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification system and confers enhanced tolerance to drought stress in rapeseed seedlings. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res*. **143**: 1758–1776.
- Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fujita, M. (2011b). Exogenous silicon treatment alleviates salinity-induced damage in *Brassica napus* L. seedlings by up-regulating the antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification system. Abstract of Plant Biology 2011, *Amer. Society of Plant Biol*. <http://abstracts.aspb.org/pb2011/public/P10/P10001.html>
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Fujita, M., Islam, M. N., Ahmed, K. U. and Nahar, K. (2009). Performance of four irrigated rice varieties under different levels of salinity stress. *Int. J. Integ. Biol*. **6**(2): 85-90.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossain, M. A. and Fujita, M. (2011a). Selenium-induced up-regulation of the antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification system reduces salinity-induced damage in rapeseed seedlings. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res*. **143**: 1704–1721.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossain, M. A. and Fujita, M. (2011b). Nitric oxide modulates antioxidant defense and the methylglyoxal detoxification system and reduces salinity-induced damage of wheat seedlings. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep*. **5**(4): 353-365

- Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossain, M. A. and Fujita, M. (2012b). Exogenous selenium pretreatment protects rapeseed seedlings from cadmium-induced oxidative stress by up regulating the antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification systems. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **149**(2): 248-261
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossain, M. A., da Silva, J. A. T. and Fujita, M. (2012a). Plant responses and tolerance to abiotic oxidative stress: antioxidant defenses is a key factors. In: Bandi V, Shanker AK, Shanker C, Mandapaka M (eds) Crop stress and its management: perspectives and strategies. Springer, Berlin pp. 261–316.
- Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fujita, M. (2012a). Heavy metals in the environment: Current status, toxic effects on plants and phytoremediation. In: Anjum, N. A., Pereira, M. A., Ahmad, I., Duarte, A. C., Umar, S. and Khan, N. A. (eds) Phytotechnologies: Remediation of environmental contaminants. Taylor and Francis/ CRC Press, USA. pp. 7-73
- Hassan, I. A. (2010). Interactive effects of salinity and ozone pollution on photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, growth, and assimilate partitioning of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Photosynthetica.* **42**(1): 111-116.
- Hattori, T., Inanaga, S., Araki, H., An, P., Morita, S., Luxova, M. and Lux, A. (2005). Application of silicon enhanced drought tolerance in *Sorghum bicolor*. *Physiol. Plant.* **123**: 459-466.
- He, Y. and Zhu, Z. J. (2008). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates NaCl toxicity and increases antioxidative enzyme activity in *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Biol. Plant.* **52**(4): 792-795.
- Heath, R. L. and Packer, L. (1968). Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts. I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. *Arch. Biochem. Biophysics.* **125**(1): 189–198.
- Hossain, A. A., Halim, M. A., Hossain, F. and Meher Niger, M. A. (2006a). Effects of NaCl salinity on some physiological characters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Bangladesh J. Bot.* **35**(1): 9-15.
- Hossain, M. A., Nakano, Y. and Asada, K. (1984). Monodehydroascorbate reductase in spinach chloroplasts and its participation in the regeneration of ascorbate for scavenging hydrogen peroxide. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **25**(3): 385–395.
- Hossain, M. Z., Hossain, M. D. and Fujita, M. (2006b). Induction of pumpkin glutathione S-transferases by different stresses and its possible mechanisms. *Biol. Plant.* **50**: 210-218.

- Hossain, M. A., Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fujita, M. (2010). Up-regulation of antioxidant and glyoxalase systems by exogenous glycinebetaine and proline in mung bean confer tolerance to cadmium stress. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*. **26**: 259-272.
- Hossain, M. A., Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fujita, M. (2011). Coordinate induction of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase system by exogenous proline and glycinebetaine is correlated with salt tolerance in mung bean. *Front Agric. China*. **5**: 1-14.
- Hua, L., Chun, L., XinBao, C. T., Duo, Y., Qing, L., CH, L., XB, C., TDG and Yang, Q. (1999). Study on the effect of silicon, zinc and manganese nutrition on high yielding maize seedlings; *Soil Fertiliz*. **4**: 15-17.
- Husain, S., Muns, R. and Condon, A. G. (2003). Effect of sodium exclusion trait on chlorophyll retention and growth of durum wheat in saline soil. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **54**(6): 589-597.
- Ibrahim, A. H. and Aldesuquy, H. S. (2003). Glycine betaine and shikimic acid - induced modification in growth criteria, water relation and productivity of droughted Sorghum bicolor plants. *Phyton*. **43**(2): 351-36
- Ibrar, M., Jabeen, M., Tabassum, J., Hussain, F. and Ilahi, I. (2003). Salt tolerance potential of *Brassica juncea* L. *J. Sci. Tech. Univ. Peshawar*. **27**:79-84.
- Idrees, M., Naeem, M., Aftab, T., Khan, M. M. A. and Moinuddin (2011). Exogenous Silicon mitigates salinity stress by improving antioxidant defense system and enhances vincristine and vinblastine alkaloids production in periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus* L. G. Don). *Acta Physiol. Plant*. **33**(3): 987-999.
- Iqbal, R. M. (2003). Effect of constant and changing salinity environment on different growth parameters in spring wheat. *Asian J. Plant. Sci.* **2**(15/16): 1112-1117.
- Iqbal, R. M. (2010). Leaf extension growth of wheat grown under NaCl and Na₂SO₄ salinity. *Asian. J. Plan. Sci.* **2**(15/16): 1092-1096.
- Ishiguro, K. (1999). Review of research on Japan on the role of Si in conferring resistance against blast disease in rice. In: Conference "Silicon in Agriculture", P. 11. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Ismail, A. M. (2003). Effect of salinity on the physiological responses of selected lines/variety of wheat. *Acta Agron. Hung.* **51**(1): 1-9.
- Jabeen, M., Ibrar, M., Azim, F., Hussain, F. and Ilahi, I. (2003). The effect of sodium chloride salinity on germination and productivity of Mung bean (*Vigna mungo* L.). *J. Sci. Tech. Univ. Peshawar*. **27**: 1-5.

- Jaleel, C. A., Manivannan, P., Wahid, A., Farooq, M., Somasundaram, R. and Panneerselvam, R. (2009). Drought stress in plants: a review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **11**: 100-105.
- Jiang, J., Huo, Z. L., Feng, S. Y., Kang, S. Z., Wang, F. X. and Zhang, C. B. (2013). Effects of deficit irrigation with saline water on spring wheat growth and yield in arid Northwest China. *J. Arid Land.* **5**(2): 143–154.
- Kadioglu, A., Saruhan, N., Sağlam, A., Terzi, R. and Acet, T. (2012). Exogenous calcium silicate alleviates effects of long term drought stress and delays leaf rolling by inducing antioxidant system drought stress and delays leaf rolling by inducing antioxidant system. *Plant Growth Regul.* **64**(1): 27–37.
- Karim, Z., Hussain, S. G. and Ahmed, M. (1990). Salinity problems and crop intensification in the coastal regions of Bangladesh, BARC, Soil Publ. No. 33:63.
- Karlidag, H., Yildirim, E. and Turan, M. (2009). Calcium silicate ameliorates the adverse effect of salt stress on strawberry. *Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braz.)* **66**(2): 180-187.
- Katerji, N., Van, Hoorn, J. W., Hamdy, A., Mastrorilli, M. and Moukarzel, E. (1997). Osmotic adjustment of sugar beets in response to soil salinity and its influence on stomatal conductance, growth and yield. *Agric. Water Manage.* **34**: 57-69.
- Keles, Y. and Oncel, I. (2004). Growth and solute composition in two wheat species experiencing combined influence of stress conditions. *Russian J. Plant Physiol.* **51**(2): 203-208.
- Keunen, E., Peshev, D., Vangronsveld, J., Van den Ende, W. and Cuypers, A. (2013). Plant sugars are crucial players in the oxidative challenge during abiotic stress. Extending the traditional concept. *Plant Cell Environ.* **36**(7):1242-1255.
- Khajanchi, L., Goyal, S.S., Sethi, M., Sharma, P. C.; Anand, S.; and Gupta, S. K.m (2010). Effect of NaCl concentration on growth, root morphology and photosynthetic pigment in wheat and barley under solution culture. *Agrochimica.* **51**(4/5): 194-206.
- Khan, I., Ahmad, A. and Iqbal, M. (2009). Modulation of antioxidant defence system for arsenic detoxification in Indian mustard. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **72**(2): 626–634.
- Khan, M. A. and Weber, D. J. (2008). *Ecophysiology of high salinity tolerant plants (tasks for vegetation science)*, 1st edn. Springer, Amsterdam.
- Khodarahmpour, Z., Ifar, M. and Motamedi, M. (2012). Effects of NaCl salinity on maize (*Zea mays* L.) at germination and early seedling stage. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **11**: 298-304.

- Khodary, S. F. A. (2004). Effect of sodium silicate on the growth, photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism in salt-stressed maize plants. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **8530**(1): 6-1.
- Korndorfer, G. H., Datnoff, L. E. and Correa, G. F. (1999). Influence of silicon on grain discoloration and upland rice growth in four Savanna soils of Brazil. *J. Plant Nutr.* **22**: 93-102.
- Kühn, H. and Borchert, A. (2002). Regulation of enzymatic lipid peroxidation: the interplay of peroxidizing and peroxide reducing enzymes. *Free Rad. Biol. Med.* **33**: 154-172.
- Kumar, B, Singla-Pareek, S. L., Sopory, S. K. and Govindjee (2010). Glutathione homeostasis: Crucial role for abiotic stress tolerance in plants. In: Pareek, A., Sopory, S. K. and Bohnert, H. J. (eds) Abiotic stress adaptation in plants: Physiological, molecular and genomic foundation. Springer, Berlin, pp. 263-282.
- Kumar, R., Singh, M. P. and Kumar, S. (2012). Effect of salinity on germination, growth, yield and yield attributes of wheat. *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.* **1**(6): 19-23.
- Kumbhar, C. T. and Savant, N. K. (1999). Recycling of rice plant silicon and potassium on leaf scald age ment in rice. In: Conference "Silicon in Agriculture P. 30. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Läuchli and Grattan, (2007). Plant growth and development under salinity stress. *Plant Cell Rep.* **29**: 91-98.
- Lee, K. S., Ahn, S. B., Rhee, G. S., Yeon, B. Y. and Park, J. K. (1985). Studies of silica application to nursery beds, on rice seedling growth. *Plant Environ. Mycol.* **27**: 23-27.
- Lee, Y. P., Kim, S. H., Bang, J. W., Lee, H. S., Kwak, S. S. and Kwon, S. Y. (2007). Enhanced tolerance to oxidative stress in transgenic tobacco plants expressing three antioxidant enzymes in chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Rep.* **26**: 591-598.
- Li, J. M., Jin, H. (2007). Regulation of brassinosteroid signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* **12**(1): 37-41.
- Li, T., Hu, Y., Du, X., Tang, H., Shen, C. and Wu, J. (2014). Calcium silicate alleviates the adverse effects of salt stress in *Torreya grandis* cv. Merrillii seedlings by activating photosynthesis and enhancing antioxidant systems. *PLOS one.* **9**(10): 109-492.
- Liang, Y. C., Qirong and Zhengu, S. (1999). Effect of silicon on enzyme activity and sodium, potassium and calcium concentration in barely under salt stress. *Plant Soil.* **209**: 217-224.

- Liang, Y. C., Zhenguo, S. Q. and Tongsheng, M. (1996). Effect of silicon on salinity tolerance of two barley genotypes. *J. Plant Nutr.* **19**: 173-183.
- Lin, C. J., Li, C. Y. and Linetal, S. K. (2010) Influence of high temperature during grain filling on the accumulation of storage proteins and grain quality in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **58**(19): 10545–10552.
- Linghe, Z. and Shannon, M. C. (2000). Salinity effects on seedling growth and yield components of rice. *Crop Sci.* **40**: 996-1003.
- Linjuan, Z., Junping, J., Lijuin, W., Min, L. and Fusoo, Z. (1999). Effects of the silicon on the seedling growth of creeping bentgrass and zoysiagrass. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, P. 27. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Logan, B. (2005). Reactive oxygen species and photosynthesis. In: Smirnoff, N. (ed) Antioxidants and reactive oxygen species in plants. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 250-267.
- Ma, J. F., Tamal, K., Yamaji, N., Mitani, N., Konishi, S., Katuhara, M., Ishiguro, M. and Yano, M. (2006). A Si transporter in rice. *Nature.* **440**: 688-691.
- Ma, J. F., Miyake, Y. and Takahashi, E. (2001). Silicon as a beneficial element for crop plants. p. 17-34. In: Silicon in Agriculture. (Eds. Datnoff, L.E. and G.H. Snyder. Elsevier, New York, USA.
- Macedo, A. F. (2012). Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants: Metabolism to Productivity. In: Ahmed, P. and Prasad, M. N. V. (eds) Abiotic stress responses in plants: Metabolism, productivity and sustainability. Springer, New York, pp. 41-61.
- Maghsoudi, K. and Arvin, M. J. (2010). Response of seed germination and seedling growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars to interactive effect of salinity and salicylic acid. *J. Plant Ecophysiol.* **2**(2): 91-96.
- Mahajan, S. and Tuteja, N. (2005). Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **444**: 139-158.
- Maliwal, G. L. (1997). Response of wheat varieties to chloride and sulphate dominant salinity. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* **2**(3): 225-228.
- Mandhania, S., Madan, S. and Sawhney, V. (2006). Antioxidant defense mechanism under salt stress in wheat seedlings. *Biol. Plant.* **227**: 227-231.
- Mantri, N., Patade, V., Penna, S., Ford, R. and Pang, E. (2012) Abiotic stress responses in plants: Present and future. In: Ahmad, P. and Prasad, M. N. V. (eds.) Abiotic

- stress responses in plants: Metabolism, productivity and sustainability. Springer, New York, pp. 1-19.
- Marrs, K. A. (1996). The function and regulation of glutathione *S*-transferases in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **47**: 127-158.
- Marschner, H., Cabrie, Cakmak, I. and Romeheld, B. (1990). Si deficiency and its effect on availability of P and Zn. *Plant soil.* **124**: 211-219.
- Martínez, J. P. and Araya, H. (2010). Ascorbate-Glutathione Cycle: Enzymatic and non-enzymatic integrated mechanisms and its biomolecular regulation. In: Anjum, N. A., Chan, M. T. and Umar, S. (eds) Ascorbate-Glutathione pathway and stress tolerance in plants, Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 302-322.
- Matoh, P. V. and Marrs, K. A. (1986). Silicon: It leads to decreased transpiration and diluted salts accumulated in the saline environment. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **64**: 227-258.
- Matichenkov, V. V. and Kosobrukhov, A. A. (2004). Silicon effect on the plant resistance to salt toxicity. 13 International Soil Conservation Organization Conference. Conserving soil and water for society. Brisbane, July, 2004.
- Matichenkov, V. V., and Calvert, D. V. (2002). Silicon as a beneficial element for sugarcane. *J. Am. Soc. Sugarcane Tech.* **22**: 202-211
- Maxwell, K. and Johnson, G. N. (2000). Chlorophyll fluorescence-a practical guide. *J. Experim. Bot.* **51**(345): 659–668.
- Menzies, J. G., Belanger, R. R. and Ehret, K. L. (1999). Plant related Si research in Canada. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 12. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Mhamdi, A., Queval, G., Chaouch, S., Vanderauwera, S., Van Breusegem, F. and Noctor, G. (2010). Catalase function in plants: a focus on *Arabidopsis* mutants as stress-mimic models. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61**: 4197-4220.
- Mishra, S., Jha, A. B. and Dubey, R. S. (2011). Arsenic treatment induces oxidative stress, upregulates antioxidant system, and causes phytochelatin synthesis in rice seedlings. *Protoplasma.* **248**: 565-577.
- Mitani, N. and Ma, J. F. (2005). Uptake system of silicon in different plant species. *J. Exp. Bot.* **56**: 1255-1261.
- Mitsui, S. and Takatoh, H. (1963). Nutritional study of Si in graminaceous crops (part 1). *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **9**: 7-16.

- Mittler, R. (2002). Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* **7**: 405-410.
- Mittler, R. and Blumwald, E. (2010). Genetic engineering for modern agriculture: challenges and perspectives. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **61**: 443-462.
- Mittova, V., Volokita, M., Guy, M. and Tal, M. (2004). Activities of SOD and the ascorbate-glutathione cycle enzymes in subcellular compartments in leaves and roots of the cultivated tomato and its wild salt-tolerant relative *Lycopersicon pennellii*. *Physiol. Plant.* **110**: 42-51.
- Mobin, M. and Khan, N. A. (2007). Photosynthesis activity, pigment composition and antioxidative response of two mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cultivars differing in photosynthetic capacity subjected to cadmium stress. *J. Plant Physiol.* **164**: 601-610.
- Møller, I. M. (2001). Plant mitochondria and oxidative stress: electron transport, NADPH turnover, and metabolism of reactive oxygen species. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **52**: 561-591.
- Mondal, M. K., Bhuiyan, S. I., and Franco, D. T. (2001). Soil salinity reduction and production of salt dynamics in the coastal rice lands of Bangladesh. *J. Agri. Water Manage.* **47**: 9-23.
- Moud, A. M. and Maghsoudi, K. (2008). Salt stress effects on respiration and growth of germinated seeds of different wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *World J. Agric. Sci.* **4**(3): 351-358.
- Moussa, H. R. (2006). Influence of exogenous application of silicon on physiological response of salt-stressed maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **2**: 293-297.
- Muir, S.C., Khoo, B., McCabe, G., Fensom, C., Offord, J., Brien, K. and Summerell, B. (1999). Some effects of Si on potting mixes on growth and protection of plants against fungal diseases. In: Conference "Silicon in Agriculture", p. 18. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Munné-Bosch, S. (2007). α -Tocopherol: A Multifaceted Molecule in Plants. *Vitam. Horm.* **76**: 375-392.
- Munne-Bosch, S. and Alegre, L. (2003). Drought-induced changes in the redox state of α -tocopherol, ascorbate and the diterpenearnosic acid in chloroplasts of Labiatae species differing in carnosic acid contents. *Plant Physiol.* **131**: 1816-1825.
- Munns, R. (2002a). Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* **25**: 239-250.

- Munns, R. (2002b). Salinity, growth and phytohormones. In: Läuchli, A. and Lüttge, U. (eds) *Salinity: Environment-Plants-Molecules*. Kluwer Academic, the Netherlands, pp. 271-290.
- Munns, R. and Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **59**: 651-681.
- Murphy, K. J., Chronopoulos, A. K. and Singh, I. (2003). Dietary flavonoids and procyanidin oligomers from cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) inhibit platelet function. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **77**(6):1466–73.
- Murty, P. S. S. and Murty, K. S. (1982). Spikelet sterility in relation to nitrogen and carbohydrate contents in rice. *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.* **25**: 40-48.
- Mutawa, M. M. and Katony, T. M. (2001). Salt tolerance of two wheat genotypes in response to form of nitrogen. *Agron.* **21**(3): 259-266.
- Mutlu, F. and Buzcuk, S. (2007). Salinity induced changes of free and bound polyamine levels in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) roots differing in salt tolerance. *Pak. J. Bot.* **39**: 1097-1102.
- Nahar, K. and Hasanuzzaman, M. (2009). Germination, growth, nodulation and yield performance of three mungbean varieties under different levels of salinity stress. *Green Farm.* **2**: 825-829.
- Nakano, Y. and Asada, K. (1981). Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **22**(5): 867–880.
- Nazar, R., Iqbal, N., Syeed, S. and Khan, N. A. (2011). Salicylic acid alleviates decreases in photosynthesis under salt stress by enhancing nitrogen and sulfur assimilation and antioxidant metabolism differentially in two mungbean cultivars. *J. Plant Physiol.* **168**: 807–815.
- Noaman, M. M. (2010). Evaluation of some recombinant lines of *Triticum turgidum* L. for salt tolerance. *J. Arid Environ.* **46**(3): 239-247.
- Noctor, G. and Foyer, C. H. (1998). Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **49**: 249-279.
- Noctor, G., Gomez, L., Vanacker, H. and Foyer, C. H. (2002a). Interactions between biosynthesis, compartmentation, and transport in the control of glutathione homeostasis and signaling. *J. Exp. Bot.* **53**: 1283-1304.

- Noriega, V. M., Tortorella, D. and Park, B. (2009). Human cytomegalovirus-encoded immune modulators partner to downregulate major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. *J. Virol.* **83**: 1359–1367.
- Oliveira, A. M. A., Dovale, F. X. R., Rodrigues, F. A., Korndorfer, G. H. and Datnoff, L. E. (1999). Influence of silicon fertilization on powdery mildew development in cucumber. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 24. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Osuna-Canizales, F. J., Dedatta, S. K. and Bonnan, J. M. (1991). Nitrogen form and silicon nutrition effects on resistance to blast disease of rice. *Plant Soil.* **135**: 223-231.
- Pang and Wang, (2010). Comparative proteomics of salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Thellungiella halophila*. *J. Proteome Res.* **9**(5): 84-99.
- Pérez-López, U., Robredo, A., Lacuesta, M., Sgherri, C., Muñoz-Rueda, A., Navari-Izzo, F. and Mena-Petite, A. (2010). The oxidative stress caused by salinity in two barley cultivars is mitigated by elevated CO₂. *Physiol. Plant.* **135**(1): 29-42.
- Paradiso, A., Berardino, R., de Pinto, M., di Toppi, L. S., Storelli, F. T. and de Gara, L. (2008). Increase in ascorbate–glutathione metabolism as local and precocious systemic responses induced by cadmium in durum wheat plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **49** (3): 362–374.
- Parida, A. K., Das, A. B. and Mohanty, P. (2004). Investigations on the antioxidative defense responses to NaCl stress in a mangrove, *Bruguiera parviflora*: different regulations of isoforms of some antioxidative enzymes. *J. Plant. Growth Regul.* **42**: 213-226.
- Park, C. (1999). Silicon’s influence on plants. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 13. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Pastori, G. M., Kiddle, G. and Antoniw, J. (2003). Leaf vitamin C contents modulate plant defense transcripts and regulate genes that control development through hormone signaling. *Plant Cell.* **15**(4): 939–951.
- Patakas, A. (2012). Abiotic stress-induced morphological and anatomical changes in plants. In: Ahmad, P. and Prasad, M. N. V. (eds) Abiotic stress responses in plants: Metabolism, productivity and sustainability. Springer, New York, pp. 21-39.
- Perrino, P., Laghetti, G., D'Antuono, L. F., AI-Ajlouni, M., Kanbertay, M., Szabo, A. T. and Hammer, K. (1995). Ecogeographical distribution of hulled wheat species. Proc. First Intl. workshop on hulled wheats, Italy.

- Pitman, M. G. and Läuchli, A. (2002). Global impact of salinity and agricultural ecosystems. In: Läuchli, A. and Lüttge, U. (eds) *Salinity: environment – plants – molecules*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 3–20.
- Prabhu, A. S., Filho, M. P. B., Filippi, M. C., Datnoff, L. E. and Snyder, G. H. (1999). Silicon from rice disease perspective in Brazil. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 11. 26-30 September 1999. FortLauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Rajpar, I. and Sial, N. B. (2002). Effect of salinity and sodicity with and without soil conditioner (polyerylamide) on the seedling emergencies and growth of different wheat varieties. *Pak. J. Appl. Sci.* **2**(6): 631-636.
- Rajasekaran, L. R., Stiles, A. and Caldwell, C. D. (2002). Stand establishment in processing carrots: Effects of various temperature regimes on germination and the role of salicylates in promoting germination at low temperatures. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **82**(2): 443-450.
- Raven, J. A. (2003). Cycling silicon-the role of accumulation in plants. *New Phytol.* **158**: 419-430.
- Rehman, S., Harris, P. J. C., Bourne, W. F. and Wilkin, J. (2000). The relationship between ions, vigour and salinity tolerance of Acacia seeds. *Plant Soil.* **220**:229-233.
- Richmond, K. E. and Sussman, M. (2003). Got silicon. The non-essential beneficial plant nutrient. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **6**: 268-272.
- Robson, C. A. and Vanlerberghe, G. C. (2002). Transgenic plant cells lacking mitochondrial alternative oxidase have increased susceptibility to mitochondria-dependent and independent pathway of programmed cell death. *Plant Physiol.* **129**: 1908-1920.
- Rodrigues, F. A., Korndorfer, G., Correa, G. F., Buki, G. B., Silva, O. A. and Datnoff, L. E. (1999b). Response of six-gramineae species to application of Cameta silicate. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 24. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Rohman, M. M., Begum, S., Akhi, A. H., Ahsan, A. F. M. S., Uddin, M. S., Amiruzzaman, M. and Banik, B. R. (2015). Protective role of antioxidants in maize seedlings under saline stress: Exogenous proline provided better tolerance than betaine. *Bothalia J.* **45**(4): 17-35.
- Romero-Anranda, M. R., Jurado, O. and Cuartero, J. (2006). Silicon alleviates the deleterious salt effect on tomato plant growth by improving plant water status. *J. Plant hysiol.* **163**: 847-855.

- Romero-Aranda, Soria, T. and Cuartero, J. (2001). Tomato plant-water uptake and plant-water relationships under saline growth conditions. *Plant Sci.* **160**: 265-272.
- Sadat Noori, S. A., Khalaj, H. and Labbafi, M. R. (2010). Effect of different salinity levels on morpho-physiological characters of 8 wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Iranian J. Plant Physiol.* **1**(2): 108-117.
- Saha, P., Chatterjee, P. and Biswas, A. K. (2010). NaCl pretreatment alleviates salt stress by enhancement of antioxidant defense system and osmolyte accumulation in mungbean (*Vigna radiate* L. Wilczek). *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **48**: 593-600.
- Sairam, R. K. and Srivastava, G. C. (2002). Changes in antioxidant activity in sub-cellular fractions of tolerant and susceptible wheat genotypes in response to long term salt stress. *Plant Sci.* **162**: 897/904.
- Sánchez-Casas, P. and Klessege, D. F. (1994). A salicylic acid-binding activity and a salicylic acid-inhibitable catalase activity are present in a variety of plant species. *Plant Physiol.* **106**: 1675-1679.
- Sangwan, P. S., Vinod Kumar Mehta S. C., Singh, J. P. and Kumar, V. (2003). Effect of salinity and nitrogen levels on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Annals Biol.* **19**(2): 169-173.
- Sanker, A. K. and Venkateswarlu, B. (2008). Abiotic stress in plants – mechanisms and adaptations. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **31**: 393-402
- Scandalios, J. G. (2005). Oxidative stress: molecular perception and transduction of signal triggering antioxidant gene defenses. *Brazilian J. Medical Biol. Res.* **38**(7): 995-1014.
- Sekmen, A. H., Turkan, I. and Takio, S. (2007). Differential responses of antioxidative enzymes and lipid peroxidation to salt stress in salt-tolerant *Plantago maritime* and salt-sensitive *Plantago media*. *Physiol. Planta.* **131**: 399 – 411.
- Shalata, A and Neumann, P. M. (2001). Exogenous ascorbic acid (vitamin C) increases resistance to salt stress and reduces lipid peroxidation. *J. Exp. Bot.* **52**: 2207-221.
- Shalata, A. and Tal, M. (1998). The effect of salt stress on lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in the leaf of the cultivated tomato and its wild salt-tolerant relative *Lycopersicon pennellii*. *Physiol. Plant.* **104**(2): 169-174.
- Shannon, L. M., KAY, E. and LEW, J. Y. (1966). Peroxidase isoenzymes from horseradish roots. *J. Biol. Chem.* **241**(9): 2166-2172.

- Shanker, A. K., Venkateswarlu, B. (2011). Abiotic stress in plants – mechanisms and adaptations. InTech, Rijeka, pp. 19-68.
- Sharma, S. S. and Dietz, K. J. (2006). The significance of amino acids and amino acid-derived molecules in plant responses and adaptation to heavy metal stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* **57**: 711-726.
- Shazia, N., Rasu, E. and Muhammad. A. (2001). Effect of foliar application of Indole3-Acetic Acid on growth and yield attributes of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under salt stress. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **3**(1): 139-142.
- Shengyi, X., Qishan, W., Xia, S. and Wang, Q.S. (1998). Studies on the effect of silicon fertilizer on cotton. *China Cotton.* **25**: 6-7.
- Sherazi, A.M. and Miller, F.D. (1999). Root application of potassium silicate reduces feeding damage to sergeantcrab apple leaf tissues by adult Japanese beetle. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 40. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Singh, A. K., Prakash, V. I., Sastry, E. V. D. and Prakash, V. (2000). Effect of salinity stress on seed germination and seedling growth of wheat. *Agric. Sci. Digest.* **20** (2): 96-98.
- Singh, B. and Usha, K., (2007). Calcium silicate induced physiological and biochemical changes in wheat seedlings under water stress. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **39**: 137-141.
- Singh, H. P., Batish, D. R., Kaur, G., Arora, K. and Kohli, R. K. (2008). Nitric oxide (as sodium nitroprusside) supplementation ameliorates Cd toxicity in hydroponically grown wheat roots. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **63**: 158-167.
- Smirnoff, N. (2005). Ascorbate, tocopherol and carotenoids: metabolism, pathway engineering and functions. In: Smirnoff, N. (ed) Antioxidants and reactive oxygen species in plants. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp. 53-86.
- SRDI (2001). Soil salinity in Bangladesh. Soil Resource Development Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- SRDI (2010). Saline soils of Bangladesh. Soil Resource Development Institute. SRMAF Project, Ministry of Agriculture, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Srivalli, S. and Khanna-Chopra, R. (2008). Role of Glutathione in Abiotic Stress Tolerance. In: Khan, N. A., Singh, S. and Umar, S. (eds) Sulfur assimilation and abiotic stress in plants. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 207-225.

- Steudle, E. (2000). Water uptake by roots: effects of water deficit. *J. Exp. Bot.* **51**: 1531-1542.
- Sudhir, P. and Murthy, S. D. S. (2004). Effects of salt stress on basic processes of photosynthesis. *Photosynthetica.* **42**: 481-486.
- Taiz, L. and Zeiger, E. (2006). *Plant Physiology*, 4th Edition. Sinaur Associates, Inc. Sunderland.
- Takahashi, E. (1995). Uptake mode and physiological functions of Silica. In: Matsum T., K. Kumazawa, R. Ishii, K. Ishihase and Hirata (eds). *Silicon of rice plants*, P. 420-433. Food and Agriculture Policy Research Centre, Tokyo, Japan.
- Takahashi, E., Ma, J. F., Miyake, Y. (1990). The possibility of silicon as an essential element for higher plants. *Agric. Food Chem.* **2**: 99-122.
- Takahashi, S. and Murata, N. (2008). How do environmental stresses accelerate photoinhibition? *Trends Plant Sci.* **13**: 178-182.
- Talashilkar, S. C., Jadhav, M. B. and Savant, N. K. (1999). Effect of Calcium Silicate slag on plant growth, nutrient uptake and yield of sugarcane on two soils of Maharashtra state, India. In: Conference "Silicon in Agriculture", p. 31. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Talashilkar, S. C. and Savant, N. K. (1999). Sodium silicate slag applied to soil increased yield of rice on Inceptisol of Maharashtra state, India. In: Conference "Silicon in Agriculture", p. 30. 26-30 September 1999. Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Tammam, A. A., Abou Alhamd, M. F. and Hamed, M. M. (2008). Study of salt tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivar Banysoif 1. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* **1**(3): 115-125.
- Tanou, G., Job, C., Rajjou, L., Arc, E., Belghzi, M., Diamantidis, G., Molassiotis, A. and Job, D. (2009). Proteomics reveal the overlapping roles of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide in the acclimation of citrus plants to salinity. *Plant J.* **60**: 795-804.
- Tari, I., Csiszar, J., Szalai, G., Horvath, F., Pecsvaradi, A., Kiss, G., Szepesi, A., Szabo, M. and Erdei, L. (2002). Acclimation of tomato plants to salinity after a Calcium silicate pre-treatment. *Acta Biol. Szeged.* **46**(3-4): 55-56.
- Tavakkoli, E., Rengasamy, P. and McDonald, G. K. (2010). High concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in soil solution have simultaneous detrimental effects on growth of faba bean under salinity stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61**: 4449-4459.


- Thakur, P., Kumar, S., Malik, J. A., Berger, J. D. and Nayyar, H. (2010). Cold stress effects on reproductive development in grain crops: an overview. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **67**: 429-443.
- Turki, N., Shehzad, T., Harrabi, M., Tarchi, M. and Okuno, K. (2014). Variation in Response to Salt Stress at Seedling and Maturity Stages among Durum Wheat Varieties. *J. Arid Land Stud.* **24**(1): 261-264.
- Türkan, I. and Demiral, T. (2009). Recent developments in understanding salinity tolerance. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **67**(1): 2-9.
- Turkylmaz, B. (2012). Effects of silicon and gibberellic acids on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under salinity stress. *Bangladesh J. Bot.* **41**(1): 29-34.
- Ulfat, M., Athar, H., Ashraf, M., Akram, N. A. and Jamil, A. (2007). Appraisal of physiological and biochemical selection criteria for evaluation of salt tolerance in canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.* **39**: 1593-1608.
- Urban, P., Mignotte, C., Kazmaier, M., Delorme, F. and Pompon, D. (1989). Cloning, yeast expression and characterization of the coupling of two distantly related *Arabidopsis thaliana* NADPH-Cytochrome P450 CYP73A5. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 19176-19186.
- USDA (2014). Nutrient data laboratory. United States Department of Agriculture. <http://www.usda.gov>
- Vinocur, B. and Altman, A. (2005) Recent advances in engineering plant tolerance to abiotic stress: achievements and limitations. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **16**: 123-132.
- Vorm, P. D. J. V. (1980). Uptake of silicon by five plant species, as influenced by variations in Si supply. *J. Plant Soil.* **56**: 153-156.
- Vysotskaya, L., Hedley, P. E., Sharipova, G., Veselov, D., Kudoyarova, G., Morris, J. and Jones, H. G. (2010). Effect of salinity on water relations of wild barley plants differing in salt tolerance. *J. Environ. Evol. Plant Biol.* **10**: 1093-1099.
- Wang, S. Y. and Galletta, G. J. (1998). Foliar application of potassium silicate induces metabolic changes in strawberry plant. *J. Plant Nutr.* **21**: 157-167.
- Wang, W., Vinocur, B. and Altman, A. (2003). Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. *Planta.* **218**: 1-14.

- Wang-Chuan, B., Fang, M. G., Zhong, T. J., Wang, C. B., Mao, G. F. and Jiang, Z. T. (1999). Study on rice silicon nutritious states in Shanghai region and effect of silicon fertilizer. *Acta Agric. Shangai*. **15**: 65-69.
- Watanabe, S., Fujiwara, T., Yoneyama, T., Horst, W. J., Former, W. B., Romheld, V. (2001). Effect of silicon nutrition on metabolism and translocation of nutrient in rice plants. 14 Int. Plant Nutr., Colloquium, Hannover Germany. pp. 174-175.
- Wiese, M. V. (1977). Compendium of wheat disease. *Am. Phytopathol. Soc.* **72**(2): 349-350.
- Xu, S., Hu, B., He, Z., Ma, F., Feng, J., Shen, W. and Yan, J. (2011). Enhancement of salinity tolerance during rice seed germination by presoaking with hemoglobin. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **12**: 2488–2501.
- Yadav, S. K. (2010). Heavy metals toxicity in plants: An overview on the role of glutathione and phytochelatins in heavy metal stress tolerance of plants. *South Afr. J. Bot.* **76**: 167-179.
- Yeo, A. R., Flowers, S. A., Rao, G., Welfare, K., Senanayakeand, N., Flowers, T. J. (1999). Silicon reduces sodium uptake in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in saline conditions and this is accounted for by reduction in transpirational bypass flow. *Plant cell Environ.* **22**: 559-565.
- Yu, C. W., Murphy, T. M. and Lin, C. H. (2003). Hydrogen peroxide induced chilling tolerance in mung beans mediated through ABA-independent glutathione accumulation. *Func. Plant Biol.* **30**(9): 955–963.
- Yusuf, M., Fariduddin, Q., Varshney, P. and Ahmad, A. (2012). Salicylic acid minimizes nickel and/or salinity-induced toxicity in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) through an improved antioxidant system. *Environ Sci. Pollut. Res.* **19**(1): 8-18.
- Yusuf, M., Hasan, S. A., Ali, B., Hayat, S., Fariduddin, Q. and Ahmad, A. (2008). Effect of salicylic acid on salinity-induced changes in *Brassica juncea*. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **50**(9): 1096-102.
- Zambrano, C. L., Torres, E., Ortiz, Z. and Avarez, I. (1999). Si application for blast control in rice on two soil types from a portuguesa, venezueld. In: Conference “Silicon in Agriculture”, p. 451. 26-30 September 1999, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.
- Zein, F. I., Wahab. S. A. A., El-Abaseri. M. A. and Mustafa, A. T. A. (2003). Evaluation of some wheat varieties to salt tolerance. *Egypt. J. Soil. Sci.* **43**(3): 319-327.

- Zhu, Z., Wei, G., Li, J., Qian, Q. and Yu, J. (2004). Silicon alleviates salt stress and increases antioxidant enzymes activity in leaves of salt-stressed cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Plant Sci.* **167**: 527–533.
- Zhu, Z. and He, Y. J. (2011). Exogenous silicon alleviates NaCl toxicity and increases antioxidative enzyme activity in *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Biol. Plant.* **52**(4): 792-795.

APPENDICES

Appendix I. Experiment was conducted in Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka (AEZ-28) on the map of Agro-ecological Zones of Bangladesh.



Appendix II. Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil analyzed at Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI), Farmgate, Dhaka.

Characteristics	Value
Particle size analysis	
%Sand	27
%Silt	43
%Clay	30
Textural class	Silty-clay
pH	5.6
Organic carbon (%)	0.45
Organic matter (%)	0.78
Total N (%)	0.03
Available P (ppm)	20.00
Exchangeable K (me/100 g soil)	0.10
Available S (ppm)	45

Source: SRDI (Soil Resources Development Institute), Farmgate, Dhaka

Appendix III. Monthly average air temperature, rainfall and relative humidity of the experimental site during the period from November 2013 to March 2014

Months	Air temperature (°C)		Relative humidity (%)	Total rainfall (mm)
	Maximum	Minimum		
November, 2013	28.10	6.88	58.18	1.56
December, 2013	25.36	5.21	54.3	0.63
January, 2014	21.17	15.46	64.02	00
February, 2014	24.30	19.12	53.07	2.34
March, 2014	29.78	22.37	48.66	0.12