STUDY ON MAIZE GENOTYPE SCREENING BY GROW OUT TEST

SHEIKH MEHRAB HOSSAIN PORAG

DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY
SHER-E -BANGLA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
DHAKA – 1207

DECEMBER, 2020

STUDY ON MAIZE GENOTYPE SCREENING BY GROW OUT TEST

BY

SHEIKH MEHRAB HOSSAIN PORAG

REGISTRATION NO. 18-09121

A Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture,
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka,
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN
AGRONOMY

SEMESTER: JULY-DECEMBER, 2020

Approved by:

(Prof. Dr. Md. Abdullahil Baque)	**(Prof. Dr.A.K.M. Ruhul Amin)**
Supervisor	**Co-supervisor**

(Prof. Dr. Tuhin Suvra Roy)
Chairman
Examination Committee

Department of Agronomy
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207,
Bangladesh

CERTIFICATE

*This is to certify that the research work entitled, "**STUDY ON MAIZE GENOTYPE SCREENING BY GROW OUT TEST**" submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207, in partial fulfillment for the requirements for the degree of **MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AGRONOMY,** embodies the results of a piece of bonafide research work successfully carried out by **SHEIKH MEHRAB HOSSAIN PORAG** bearing Registration No. **18-09121** under my supervision and guidance. No part of the thesis has been submitted for any other degree or diploma.*

I further certify that any help or source of information, as has been availed of during the course of this investigation has been duly acknowledged by him.

Dated:
Place: Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Md. Abdullahil Baque
Professor
Dept. of Agronomy
SAU, Dhaka
Supervisor

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises are devoted to Almighty Allah who is the supreme authority of this universe and who enabled the author to complete the research work and submit the thesis for the degree of Master of Science (MS) in Agronomy.

The author would like to acknowledge the untiring inspiration, encouragement and invaluable guidance provided by his respected teacher and supervisor Professor Dr. Md. Abdullahil Baque, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka. His constructive criticism, continuous supervision and valuable suggestions were helpful in completing the research and writing up the manuscript.

The author would like to express his heartiest appreciation, ever indebtedness and deep sense of gratitude to his Co-supervisor, Professor Dr. A.K.M. Ruhul Amin, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, for his sincere advice, constant supervision, valuable suggestions and factual comments on upgrading the quality of the research work and preparing the manuscript.

The author would like to express his heartiest appreciation, ever indebtedness and deep sense of gratitude to Professor Dr. Tuhin Suvra Roy, Chairman, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka for his kind permission to allow the departmental and laboratory facilities.

The author expresses his thanks to all other staff and members of the farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka for their help in conducting the experiment.

The author feels proud to express his unreserved gratitude to Md. Muslim Uddin Mahid and Md. Abdul Bari for their heartfelt cooperation during research period and writing up the manuscript.

The author expresses his boundless, gratitude and deepest appreciation to his beloved father “Md. Saifuzzaman”, mother “Mahfuza Zaman” and younger brother “Md. Mahdi Hasan Tamim” for their unlimited prayer, support, sacrifice and inspiration to educate his up to this level.

The author

Title: STUDY ON MAIZE GENOTYPE SCREENING BY GROW OUT TEST

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during the period from April to July 2019 in the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh to evaluate the genetical purity, growth and yield performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.). The experiment comprised of single factor with 12 maize genotypes. Among the maize genotypes, there were 11 maize varieties and 1 maize line. The varieties were namely SAU Hybrid Vutta-1, SAU Hybrid Vutta-2, Pioneer-3355, Pacific-339, Pacific-224, Nyx-7055, Pacific-999, DK-Evarest, DK-971, Arize super and Kaveri 50. The advance line was namely A-0078. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block design (RCBD) with three replications. Different hybrids maize varieties and maize lines showed significant effect on growth, yield and yield contributing characters. Pacific-999 took the maximum days for 80% germination, 80% flowering and 80% cob formation (6.67, 54.00 and 72.00 days, respectively) whereas, the minimum days (4.00, 49.00 and 59.00 days, respectively) was taken by Nyx-7055.A-0078 maize line showed the tallest plant (106.53 and 234.67 cm at 45 DAS and harvest, respectively) whereas and SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the shortest plant (77.77 and 192.00 cm at 45 DAS and harvest, respectively). Nyx-7055 showed the highest genetic purity (100.00 %), whereas SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the lowest genetic purity (97.22%). The maximum cob length (30.51 cm) was observed from Pioneer-3355. The lowest length of cob (15.24 cm) was recorded from Arize super. The highest cob circumference (17.73 cm) was recorded in DK-971, while the lowest ones (11.97 cm) was recorded in Arize super. The highest full cob weight (252.13 g) was recorded from Kaveri-50 variety, whereas the lowest ones (117.78 g) was recorded from Arize super variety. Nyx-7055 maize variety showed the maximum no. of rows cob^{-1} (16.00) and no. of grains row^{-1} (35.33). The maximum 100-seed weight (41.90 g) was found from Pacific-999 variety and SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 variety showed the minimum 100-seed weight (31.67 g). Among the varieties, Nyx-7055 showed the highest grain yield (13.96 t ha^{-1}), stover yield (15.98 t ha^{-1}) and biological yield (29.94 t ha^{-1}), whereas Arize super showed the lowest grain yield (9.04 t ha^{-1}), stover yield (10.20 t ha^{-1}) and biological yield (19.24 t ha^{-1}). DK-Evarest maize variety showed the maximum harvest index (47.36 %), whereas Kaveri-50 maize variety showed the minimum harvest index (46.30 %). By conducting research experiment it was found that, Nyx-7055 was performed well among the 12 varieties of maize.

LIST OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS (Cont'd)

CONTENTS (Cont'd)

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF APPENDICES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEZ	Agro-Ecological Zone
Anon.	Anonymous
AIS	Agriculture Information Service
BARC	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council
BAU	Bangladesh Agricultural University
BBS	Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics
BINA	Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture
BNNC	Bangladesh National Nutrition Council
BRRI	Bangladesh Rice Research Institute
CRRI	Central Rice Research Institute
CV %	Percent Coefficient of Variance
cv.	Cultivar (s)
DAT	Days After Transplanting
DRR	Directorate of Rice Research
eds.	Editors
et al.	et alii (and others)
etc.	et cetera (and other similar things)
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
IARI	Indian Agricultural Research Institute
ICAR	Indian Council of Agricultural Research
IRRI	International Rice Research Institute
L.	Linnaeus
LSD	Least Significant Difference
i.e.	id est (that is)

MoP	Muriate of Potash
NPTs	New Plant Types
SAU	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
SRDI	Soil Resources and Development Institute
TDM	Total Dry Matter
TSP	Triple Super Phosphate
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
var.	Variety
viz.	Namely

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Maize *(Zea mays* L.) has been originated from teosinte *(Zea mays* L. spp Mexicana) in the Western Hemisphere about 7,000 to 10,000 years ago. Maize constitutes as one of the most important cereal crop in the world after wheat and rice. Maize is the member of the tribe Maydeae under the *Poaceae*family. The term *"Zea"* (zela) was derived from an old Greek name for a food grass. The genus *Zea*consists of four species of which *Zea mays* L. is economically important one. Chromosomes number in *Zea mays* is 2n= 20. Maize is a tall, determinate annual C_4 plant varying in height from 1 to 4 meters producing large, narrow, opposing leaves (about a tenth as wide as they are long), alternately along the length of a solid stem. It is mostly photo-insensitive, cross-pollinated cereal crops. The Mesoamerican region is known to the center of origin for *Zea mays* (Matsuoka *et al.*, 2002). Maize is a versatile crop grown from 58° N to 40° S from below sea level to altitudes higher than 3000 m and in areas with (250–5000) mm of rainfall per year (Shaw, 1988; Dowswell*et al.*, 1996)) and with a growing cycle ranging from 3 to 13 months. In fact, worldwide the major maize production areas are located in temperate regions.

Although it was originated from subtropical regions, probably from the highlands of Mexico but now-a-days it has been a leading crop in many temperate regions. The United States, China, Brazil and Mexico account for 70% of global production. India has 5% of corn acreage and contributes 2% of world production. Like as India climate condition of Bangladesh favours maize cultivation.

Wheat, rice and maize are the most important cereal crops in the world but maize is the most popular due to its high yielding, easy of processing, readily digested and costs less than other cereals (Jaliya*et al.*, 2008). Because of its variable use in agro-industries, it is recognized as a leading commercial crop of

great agro-economic value. Maize as a major source of carbohydrate is used as human food in different forms, in the textile industry and in the pharmaceutical industry. It is composed of approximately 76–88% of carbohydrate, 6–16% of protein, 4–5.7% fat and 1.3% of minerals. So that it is more balanced nutritionally and agriculturally small quantity grains are currently used for livestock as well as poultry feed and this is expected to increase with the development of the livestock and poultry production enterprise in the country.

In Bangladesh, the cultivation of maize was started in the late 19th century but the cultivation has started to gain the momentum as requirement of maize grain is being increased as poultry industry flourishes in Bangladesh. Maize has the highest potential for carbohydrate production per unit area per day. Stem and foliage of maize plant can be used as livestock feed. Stalk, dry leave coveringof cobs (husks) and shelled cobs can be used as fuel (Ahmad *et al.,* 2011). It can be grown all the year round in Bangladesh and fitted in the gap between the main cropping seasons without affecting the major crops. It can also be grown in flood prone areas under no tillage, and with no inputs (Efferson,1982). With its multipurpose properties, it will undoubtedly play a vital role in reducing the food shortage around the world, especially in Bangladesh. Maize is being cultivated all over the world but the yield of maize is low in Bangladesh as compared to the other maize growing countries. Today, the variability of the agricultural crops has been massively lost because of the commercial varieties use. For example, only about 5% of maize germplasm is used for commercial purposes (Hoisington *et al.,* 1999). Preservation of the genetic resources in the country is associated with rigorous characterization and evaluation of the genetic diversity (Salillari*et al.,* 2007). However, due to the continuous regeneration and the limited number of the individuals for accessions as well as genetic erosion, the collection is damaged (Fetahu*et al.,* 2005). The plant genetic resources are considered as the main source for the conservation of the biological diversity and long-term sustainability of human life. Identification of

the genetic variability by means of the morphological indicators also helps for the determination of the duplicate accessions.

In Bangladesh Maize production have an increasing tendency with the introduction of hybrid since 1993. Area, production, and yield of maize have increased by 17%, 33% and 16%, respectively, which reflects the effect of adopting improved technology. Comparing to the production level in Bangladesh maize ranks 3rd in acreage. It accounts for 4.8% of the total cropped land area and 3.5% of the value of agricultural output (Ahmad *et al.,* 2011). In Bangladesh maize cultivated in about 152 thousand hectares of land and total annual production is 887 thousand Metric tons with an average yield of 5.83 tha^{-1} (BBS, 2014). Introduction of quality protein maize (QPM) in Bangladesh is a long aspiration to feed the million malnourished populations. Thus, maize should get priority considering the protein malnutrition of the people because it contains more digestible protein than the other cereals (Ahamed, 2010).

To ensure higher maize production, farmer's intention to grow advanced varieties individually or along with other local varieties, that results in diversity among cultivars grown within. Maize is a comparatively new crop in Bangladesh. It is suitable for rice-maize cropping system and has been expanded rapidly in the northern districts of Bangladesh (Timsina*et al*., 2010) mainly in response to increasing demand for poultry food (Ali *et al*., 2010). Currently maize is planted to about 307,000 ha producing 2.12 million tons of grains annually (BBS, 2016). In the Chittagong Hill Tracts (CHT), maize is grown since long as a secondary staple crop for the ethnic communities contributing to 2.1% of national production. With the advancement in breeding and biotechnology high yielding modern varieties and hybrids of maize are developed. In addition, Improvement in agronomic management practices also contributes greatly to increasing grain yields (Lee and Tollenaar, 2007). The yield performance differs remarkably across hybrids depending on environmental conditions, agronomic management and choice of varieties. The

growth and yield attributes of maize differs among and between local and hybrid maize varieties (Macharia*et al*., 2010 &Ullah*et al*., 2017).

Now a day, there are many government (BARI, BADC, DAE etc.) and non-government organizations (BRAC, Syngenta, private seed producing farms, importers etc.) arc working for increasing maize production in Bangladesh. Farmers cultivate some exotic hybrid varieties, which are imported from neighboring countries. The demand of hybrid maize varieties is increasing among the farmers due to their high yielding potentialities. Besides, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) has released sixteen promising hybrid maize varieties. Variety plays an important role in producing high yield and good quality maize. Different varieties respond differently to input supply, cultivation practices and prevailing environment etc. during the growing season. Varieties differ in their pattern of nutrient uptake, dry matter accumulation and yield potential (Kenny, 2005). Hybrid maize cultivation area has increased at the rate of about 20–25% per year since nineties. In Bangladesh, higher yield up to 8–10 t ha^{-1} can he obtained using hybrid seeds, balanced fertilizers and better management practices (Quayyum and Haque, 1995 and Iqbal, 2001). Despite its importance, the average yield of maize in the country is not satisfactory. It is rather low compared with leading maize growing countries of the world. In Bangladesh area, production and yield of maize decreased by 2.9%. 3.59% and 0.69% respectively from the year of 1967-68 due to utilization of traditional variety (Mohiuddin, 2003). Introduction of hybrid varieties and appropriate management practices increased area, production and yield by 19.83%, 34.40% and 14.56% respectively from the year 1987–88 to 2003–04 (Moniruzzaman*et al.*, 2007).

Maize currently grown in Bangladesh is of yellow type and is used in the feed industry. The main source of our food crops are rice and wheat. Worldwide the maize grown for human consumption is called white maize, which differs

lacking anthocyanin compared to yellow maize. The flour of white maize is tastier than yellow maize. White maize is also superior to yellow maize in some nutrition especially protein content. White maize covers only 12% of the total acreage of the world, which is mostly used as human food (FAO-CIMMYT, 1997). During 1970s, the productivity of grown white maize was lower compared to those of yellow ones. With the advanced breeding approaches, worldwide, recent reports demonstrate that the yield productivity of white maize is almost at par with those of the yellow ones (Akbar *et al.*, 2016). Since its inception, the maize species grown in Bangladesh were yellow type except one variety named 'Suvra'. At present the yellow exotic hybrid maize is grown as a fodder crop which although mainly concentrate in the northern districts of Bangladesh (Ullah*et al.,* 2016). In comparison to the landraces, the modern improved varieties are higher yielders showing even 60% more seed yield (Kossou*et al*., 1993). The hybrid varieties show an average yield of 6.90 t ha^{-1} (BBS, 2016).

Therefore, it is essentially required to know the impact of different high yielding and hybrid maize varieties and to determine their adaptability in prevailing environments of Bangladesh. We, therefore, having the above scheme and discussion in mind, the study was conducted to evaluate the genetical purity among different maize genotypes in Bangladesh to fulfil the following objectives:

1. To compare the growth, yield and yield attributes of different maize genotypes under study.
2. To select suitable variety to meet the demand of maize in Bangladesh.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The growth and development of maize are influenced due to varietal performance of different maize cultivars and management practices. It may also be influenced by inbred and hybrid varieties. Experimental results are available from home and abroad to reveal that maize cultivars with high yield potential may influence growth and yield to a great extent. Relevant reviews on the above aspects have been presented and discussed in this chapter.

2.1 Morphological attributes

2.1.1 Plant height

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at Agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1 = One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of plant height over YANGNUO-3000.

Mannan (2018) conducted an experiment at the Agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to examine the varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. The experiment comprised of two white maize varieties (PSC-121 and Yangnuo-3000) and six levels of weed control treatments, *viz.,* T_0 = No weeding, T_1 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 1.5 tha^{-1} (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_2 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 2.0 t ha^{-1} (Affinity

50.75% WP), T_3 = Pendimethalin 500g @ 2.0 t ha^{-1} (Panida 50EC), T_4 = Pendimethalin 500g @ 3.0 tha^{-1}(Panida 50EC) and T_5 = One Hand Weeding at 45 DAS. In the experiment, PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of plant height over YANGNUO-3000.

Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of variety and plant spacing on yield attributes and yield of maize. The experiment comprised of five varieties *viz.*, Khoibhutta, BARI hybrid maize 7, BARI hybrid maize 9, C-1921, P-3396 and five plants spacing *viz.*, 75 cm × 20 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm, 75 cm × 30 cm, 75 cm × 35 cm and 75 cm × 40 cm. The highest plant height was observed from BARI hybrid maize-7. On the other hand, the shortest plant was recorded from Khoibhutta.

Ullah*et al.* (2017) while conducting an experiment to compare modern varieties of white maize with landraces in Bangladesh observed that the plant height of the modern white maize varieties varied significantly giving a wide range of 167 to 222 cm. Among the varieties, the Suvra showed the highest value of plant height while the Plough-201given the lowest plant height value. The Plough-202 gave identical result to that of the Plough-201 but a higher value as compared to that of the Plough-201 (172 cm) which was significantly lower than that of the white maize variety Suvra.

Akbar *et al.* (2016) explored that the plant height ranged between 243 and 279 cm across treatments with an average of 263 cm. Generally, the plant height increased with increasing rate of fertilizer application and plants of hybrid PSC-121 were taller than KS-510.

Khan *et al.* (2016) carried out an experiment considering three hybrid maize varieties, e.g., P-3025, P-32T78 and P-3203. From the experiment, they noted that among three hybrid maize varieties, the plant height (247.188 cm) was maximum in maize hybrid P-3025, while the minimum plant height (202.00) was recorded in P-32T78.

Ullah*et al.* (2016) reported that YANGNUO-7 showed the highest plant height (35.83 cm) at 30 DAS over the other three varieties (Changnuo-1: 26.52 cm, Changnuo-6: 34.27 cm and Q-Xiannuo-1: 22.17 cm) when conducted an experiment to evaluate the performance seedling transplantation of four white maize hybrids.

Ishaq*et al.* (2015) conducted an experiment to find out genetic potential, variability and heritability of various morphological and yield traits among maize synthetics and showed highly significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) for all the agronomic and genetic traits. Among the tested populations, Jalal-2003 proved to be superior for most of the traits studied. The highest values for plant height (169.10 cm) was recorded from Jalal-2003.

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (5 levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (2 levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) showed the tallest plant (175.93 cm) and PSC-121 (V_2) showed the shortest plant (172.56 cm).

Asaduzzaman*et al.* (2014) carried out an experiment with four baby corn varieties *viz*. Hybrid baby corn-271, Shuvra, Khoibhutta and BARI sweet corn-1 at five N fertilizer rates viz. 0 kg N ha^{-1} (N_0), 80 kg N ha^{-1} (N_1), 120 kg N ha^{-1} (N_2), 160 kg N ha^{-1} (N_3) and 200 kg N ha^{-1} (N_4) in the experiment to find out the suitable variety and N fertilizer rate for baby corn production. They reported that, the variety Shuvra produced the tallest plant (179.10 cm) and BARI sweet corn-1 produced the shortest plant (149.30 cm).

Malik *et al.* (2011) evaluated ten maize hybrids at Agriculture Research Institute, Tarnab Farm, Peshawar, Pakistan with the aim to estimate variation among maize hybrids during spring season 2010. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences among the maize hybrids for plant height.

Mukhtar *et al.* (2011) studied response of maize crop to various NP levels at Maize and Millets Research Institute, Yusafwala, Sahiwal, Pakistan during kharif 2009. Six NP rates (0 - 0, 200-100, 250-125, 300-150, 350-175 and 400-200 kg ha^{-1}) were tried on two maize hybrids (YH-1898 and YH-1921) for growth and yield. They reported that, both hybrid varieties, YH-1921 and YH-1898 showed non-significant result (220.56 cm and 213.00 cm, respectively) for plant height.

Ahmed *et al.* (2010) narrated that among three varieties (DK-919, DK-5219 and Pioneer-30Y87), late maturing maize hybrid Pioneer-30Y87 exhibited maximum plant height among the three varieties.

Asghar*et al.* (2010) conducted a study to investigate the effect of different NPK rates on the growth and yield of maize cultivars: Golden and Sultan. The varieties V_1 (Golden) (175.30 cm) and V_2 (Sultan) (174.93 cm) did not differ significantly for the plant height of maize.

Malik *et al.* (2010) evaluated 18 hybrids and 13 open pollinated varieties of maize at the National Agricultural Research Centre, Islamabad during kharif 2007. Significant differences were observed for the plant height. The variety Soan-3 (149 cm) was the shortest and hybrid 30-K-95 (202.3 cm) was the tallest amongst all the varieties and hybrids.

Nizamuddin*et al.* (2010) conducted the experiment about yield and yield components of five synthetic maize cultivars (EV-3001, Jalal, Kisan, Azam and Pahari) at Chilas Agriculture Farm, district Diamer, Northern Areas, Pakistan during 2005. Cultivars differed significantly for all parameters. Cultivars Jalal,

EV-3001 and Kisan produced the tallest plants (278.6. 270.5 and 265.7 cm, respectively) whereas, check variety Pahari produced the shortest plants (168.8 cm).

Msarmo and Mhango (2005) conducted an experiment at Bunda College during the 2003/04 crop season to assess the effect of fertilizer application practices on performance of maize with emphasis on improving the efficiency of using urea as a top dressing fertilizer. There were three maize varieties and three fertilizer application practices. The maize varieties included local maize, Masika (composite) and DK8031 (hybrid). The result of the study revealed that, local maize had the highest plant height of (245.3 cm) as compared to Masika and DK8031 which had the plant height of 165.4 cm and 175.1 cm respectively.

Ali *et al.* (1999) carried out an experiment taking BARI released five varieties (Amper pop, Sadaf, Suvra, Savar-2 and Barnali) and reported that Suvra showed the medium plant height between the highest (163.1 cm by Savar-2) and the lowest (153.5 cm by Sadaf) plant height at 90 days.

2.1.2 Germination, flowering and cob formation

Malik *et al.* (2011) evaluated ten maize hybrids at Agriculture Research Institute, Tarnab Farm, Peshawar with the aim to estimate variation among maize hybrids during spring season 2010. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences among the maize hybrids for days to 50% pollen shedding and days to 50% silking.

Arellano Vazquez*et al.* (2010) evaluated 42 Cacahuacintle maize landraces and the hybrids 'H-33', 'H-44' and 'H-137', in experiments established under rainfed conditions in Calimaya and Metepec, both in Toluca Valley, State of Mexico. Among landraces there were differences (P<=0.01) for days to silking and lodging percent. Days to silking ranged from 99 to 106 d after sowing, and

lodging from 12 to 24%. These landraces were classified as late season varieties, with moderate to high lodging.

Li Rong Dan *et al.* (2010) set up an experiment in order to screen new corn varieties with good quality, high yield, strong resistance to diseases and suitable harvesting time and to find out their suitability for planting in Changming Town of Daxin County. Ten new corn varieties were tested in a field experiment in 2009. The 118–123 days growth duration of varieties Taipingyang 98, Zhengda 629, Hongdan 4, Guidan 30 and Hongdan 3 indicated their suitability for planting in Changming town.

Malik *et al.* (2010) evaluated 18 hybrids and 13 open pollinated varieties of maize at the National Agricultural Research Centre, Islamabad during kharif 2007. Significant differences were observed for days to 50% tasseling and silking. Days to 50% tasseling ranged from 47.33 (EV-1098) to 64 (NT-6632) while for silking varied from 47.67 (EV-1098) to 63.33 (30-K-95).

Islam and Mian (2004) showed the results of comparative performance of ten maize hybrids (CTS-991058, CTS-991060, CTS-991062. CTS-993044, CTS-993046, CTS-9930501, Pacific-1, 1434, 3435 and 6734) during Rabi season of 2000–2001. The analysis of variance for days to 6-leaf stage, days to 12-leaf stage, days to bud initiation and days to tassel emergence revealed significant variation among the hybrids. CTS-991062 required the minimum days to complete vegetative growth.

2.1.3 Dry matter weight plant^{-1}

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (five levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of

recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%), F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (two levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. At harvest, KS-510 showed the highest dry matter weight plant^{-1} (289.8 g) and PSC-121 showed the lowest dry matter weight plant^{-1} (288.2 g). Variety did not differ in dry matter production.

Asaduzzaman*et al.* (2014) carried out an experiment where four baby corn varieties *viz*. Hybrid baby corn-271, Shuvra, Khoibhutta and BARI sweet corn-1 were planted at five N fertilizer rates *viz*. 0 kg N ha^{-1} (N_0), 80 kg N ha^{-1} (N_1), 120 kg N ha^{-1} (N_2), 160 kg N ha^{-1} (N_3) and 200 kg N ha^{-1} (N_4) to find out the suitable variety and N fertilizer rate for baby corn production. They reported that, Hybrid baby corn-271 produced the highest dry matter plant^{-1} (172.15 g) whereas the Khoibhutta had the lowest dry matter accumulation plant^{-1}(112.56 g).

Athar *et al.* (2012) conducted a pot experiment in a wire netting green house at BahauddinZakariya University, Multan, Pakistan in order to assess the beneficial effect of urea on corn cultivars (C-20 and C-79) differing in yield production. Two weeks old plants were subjected to different levels of urea (46% N). Five levels of urea (0, 50, 100, 175 and 225 kg ha^{-1}) with constant (150 kg ha^{-1}), TSP (46% P_2O_5**)** and SOP (50% K_2O) were applied in two steps: half dose at the seedling stage and the remaining half was supplied at vegetative stage (6 weeks) at constant (100 kg ha^{-1}) sulfate of potash (SOP) and triple super phosphate (TSP). They reported that, maximum dry matter accumulation plant^{-1} (100.41 g) was recorded from C-79 and the lowest dry matter accumulation plant^{-1} (60.28 g) was observed from C-20 variety.

Aliu*et al.* (2010) carried out an experiment to investigate some physiological traits and yield of different maize hybrids in growth conditions of Kosovo. The field experiment was conducted in 2006 and 2007 in Kosovo, near Prishtina. Seven commercial maize hybrids belonging to different FAO groups (FAO

300, 400 and 600), originating from two breeding institutions: MaizeDept. of Bc Institute Rugvica - Croatia (Jumbo 48 [H-I], BC418 [H-2], BC408 [H-3], BC288 [H-4], BC394 [H-5]) and from Pioneer Hi-Bred Int. (Austria) (Pregia [H-6] and Colombo [H-7]) were included. For traits biological dry matter (BDM), higher values were obtained in the 2nd year in comparison to the 1st year. The highest values for all traits, was expressed by the H-6and these values were significantly higher than those of all other hybrids, but not for biological dry matter (BDM).

Santos *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment to evaluate the dry and fresh matter yield, height of cob insertion, number of cobs per plant, plant height and the cob stem^{-1} leaf^{-1} ratio of six maize varieties recommended for the Brazilian semi-arid region (BR 5033 - AsaBranca, BR 5028 - Sao Francisco, BRS 4103, BRS Caatingueiro, BRS AssumPreto and Gurutuba) aiming at silage production. The varieties Gurutuba, BRS 4103 and BR 5028 - Sao Francisco showed the highest dry matter yield (16.0, 16.5 and 15.8 t ha^{-1}, respectively).

2.2 Yield contributing attributes

2.2.1 Grain rows cob^{-1}

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at Agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1= One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of number of grain row cob^{-1} (13.56) over YANGNUO-3000.

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015

to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (5 levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (2 levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) and PSC-121 (V_2) both showed the similar no. of grain rows cob^{-1} (14.11).

Ishaq*et al.* (2015) conducted an experiment to find out genetic potential, variability and heritability of various morphological and yield traits among maize synthetics and showed highly significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) for all the agronomic and genetic traits. Among the tested populations, Jalal-2003 proved to be superior for most of the traits studied. The highest values for grain rows per cob (13.67) was recorded for Jalal-2003.

Bhuiyan (2012) carried out a research work at the field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207 from December 2010 to May 2011 to determine optimum water requirement for the cultivation of hybrid maize varieties. There were two factors in this experiment, a) four hybrid maize varieties: V_1 (BARI Hybrid Maize-5). V_2 (Pacific 60), V_3 (NK 40) and V_4 (Ajanta) and b) three levels of irrigation: I_1 = Two irrigations at 25 and 50 DAS, I_2 = Three irrigations at 25. 50 and 75 DAS and I_3 = Four irrigations at 25, 50, 75and 100 DAS, respectively. Grain row per cob varied significantly among the varieties. BARI Hybrid Maize-5 performed better among the four varieties tested in this experiment.

Asghar*et al.* (2010) conducted a study to investigate the effect of different NPK rates on growth and yield of maize cultivars, Golden and Sultan. The varieties V_1 (Golden) (14.70) and V_2 (Sultan) (14.38) did not differ significantly for the number of grain rows cob^{-1}.

Malik *et al.* (2010) evaluated 18 hybrids and 13 open pollinated varieties of maize at the National Agricultural Research Centre, Islamabad during kharif 2007. Significant differences were observed for the number of grain rows per cob. Number of grain rows per cob varied from 12 (NT-6622, 30-K95, 2512 and 2514) to 18 (R-2207).

Islam and Mian (2004) showed the results of comparative performance of ten maize hybrids (CTS-99 1058, CTS-991060, CTS-991062. CTS-993044, CTS-993046, CTS-9930501, Pacific-11, 1434, 3435 and 6734) during Rabi season of 2000–2001. The analysis of variance for grain rows per cob revealed significant variation among the hybrids. Hybrid 6734 produced the highest number of grain rows per cob.

Evans (1975) reported that the number of grain-rows per cob is variable within and among the varieties of maize.

2.2.2 Grain weight

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1= One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of weight of grains cob^{-1} (99.78 g) over YANGNUO-3000.

2.2.3 Cob Circumference

Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of variety and plant spacing on yield attributes and yield of maize. The experiment comprised of 5 varieties *viz.*, Khoibhutta, BARI hybrid maize 7, BARI hybrid

maize 9, C-1921, P-3396 and 5 plants spacing *viz.*, 75 cm × 20 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm, 75 cm × 30 cm, 75 cm × 35 cm and 75 cm × 40 cm. The maximum diameter of cob was observed in BARI hybrid maize 7. On the other hand, the minimum diameter of cob was observed in Khoibhutta.

Bhuiyan (2012) carried out a research work at the field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207 from December 2010 to May 2011 to determine optimum water requirement for the cultivation of hybrid maize varieties. There were two factors in this experiment, a) four hybrid maize varieties: V_1 (BARI Hybrid Maize-5). V_2 (Pacific 60), V_3 (NK 40) and V_4 (Ajanta) and b) three levels of irrigation: I_1 = Two irrigations at 25 and 50 DAS, I_2 = Three irrigations at 25. 50 and 75 DAS and I_3 = Four irrigations at 25, 50, 75and 100 DAS, respectively. Cob diameter varied significantly among the varieties. BARI Hybrid Maize-5 performed better among the four varieties tested in this experiment.

Tuncay*et al.*(2005) carried out a research work to determine cob and technological properties of eight sweetcorn cultivars as main and second crops in 2003 in Turkey. There are statistically significant differences between cultivars for cob diameter in both growing periods.

Esiyok*et al.* (2004) evaluated yield, quality and some plant characteristic during 2003 in 10 sweetcorn cultivars (ACX 232, ACX 942, GH 2547, Merit F1, Multi 500, Multi 610, ACX 945 Y, Martha Fl, ACX 935 Y and ACX 1072) grown in Izmir (Bornova-Menemen) and Aydn (Cine), Turkey. Significant differences were observed among the cultivars and locations for all characteristics except cob diameter.

Islam and Mian (2004) showed the results of comparative performance of ten maize hybrids (CTS-99 1058, CTS-991060, CTS-991062. CTS-993044, CTS-993046, CTS-9930501, Pacific-11, 1434, 3435 and 6734) during Rabi season

of 2000–2001. The analysis of variance for cob diameter revealed significant variation among the hybrids.

2.2.4Cob length

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1= One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of cob length (18.35 cm) over YANGNUO-3000.

Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of variety and plant spacing on yield attributes and yield of maize. The experiment comprised of 5 varieties *viz.*, Khoibhutta, BARI hybrid maize 7, BARI hybrid maize 9, C-1921, P-3396 and 5 plants spacing *viz.*, 75 cm × 20 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm, 75 cm × 30 cm, 75 cm × 35 cm and 75 cm × 40 cm. The longest cob was observed in BARI hybrid maize 7. On the other hand, the shortest cob was observed in Khoibhutta.

Enujeke (2013 c) carried out a study to evaluate the effects of variety and spacing on yield indices of Open-pollinated maize. Four open-pollinated varieties (Suwan-1-SR, ACR97, BR9922-DMRSF$_2$ and AMATZBRC$_2$WB) were evaluated under three different plant spacing (75 cm × 15 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm and 75 cm × 35 cm) for yield indices as number of cobs/plant, cob length, grain weight and number of grains/cob of maize. The results obtained indicated that variety BR9922-DMRSF$_2$ was outstanding with cob length of 27.7 cm and 26.7 cm in 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Bhuiyan (2012) carried out a research work at the field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207 from December 2010 to May 2011 to determine optimum water requirement for the cultivation of hybrid maize varieties. There were two factors in this experiment, a) four hybrid maize varieties: V_1 (BARI Hybrid Maize-5). V_2 (Pacific 60), V_3 (NK 40) and V_4 (Ajanta) and b) three levels of irrigation: I_1 = Two irrigations at 25 and 50 DAS, I_2 = Three irrigations at 25. 50 and 75 DAS and I_3 = Four irrigations at 25, 50, 75and 100 DAS, respectively. Cob length varied significantly among the varieties. BARI Hybrid Maize-5 performed better among the four varieties tested in this experiment.

Ahmed *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment for two consecutive years taking three varieties (DK-919, DK-5219 and Pioneer-30Y87) and found that during both the years of experimentation, yield-contributing characters like cob length significantly differed within the hybrids.

Fan *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment with a view to screen some new corn varieties with high yield and resistance suitable for planting in Xincheng county of Guangxi. Variety Lucheng 133 was characterized by short cob length.

2.2.5 Weight of husked and unhusked cob

Tuncay*et al.*(2005) carried out a research work by to determine cob and technological properties of eight sweetcorn cultivars as main and second crops in 2003 in Turkey. There were statistically significant differences between cultivars in both growing periods. The heaviest unhusked cob was obtained from Multi 610 in main crop and from GH 2447 in second crop. ACX 232 was the second cultivar regarding the unhusked cob weight in both seasons.

2.2.6 Cob weight

Esiyok*et al.* (2004) evaluated yield, quality and some plant characteristic during 2003 in 10 sweetcorn cultivars (ACX 232, ACX 942, GH 2547, Merit F1, Multi 500, Multi 610, ACX 945 Y, Martha Fl, ACX 935 Y and ACX 1072) grown in Izmir (Bornova-Menemen) and Aydn (Cine), Turkey. Significant differences were observed among the cultivars and locations for cob weight. The greatest cob weights (21 370 kg/ha and 20 180 kg/ha) were recorded for GH 2547 and ACX 232 under Menemen conditions. The greatest cob productivity (75.55%) was observed in ACX 1072.

2.2.7 Weight of 100-grains

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1= One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of 100 seed weight (35.0837 g) over YANGNUO-3000.

Mannan (2018) conducted an experiment at the agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to examine the varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. The experiment comprised of two white maize varieties (PSC-121 and Yangnuo-3000) and six levels of weed control treatments, *viz.*, T_0 = No weeding, T_1 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 1.5 t/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_2 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 2.0 t/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_3 = Pendimethalin 500g @ 2.0 t/ha (Panida 50EC), T_4 = Pendimethalin 500g @ 3.0 t/ha (Panida 50EC) and T_5 = One Hand Weeding at

45 DAS. In the experiment, PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of 100-seed weight (33.898 g) over YANGNUO-3000.

Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of variety and plant spacing on yield attributes and yield of maize. The experiment comprised of 5 varieties *viz.*, Khoibhutta, BARI hybrid maize 7, BARI hybrid maize 9, C-1921, P-3396 and 5 plants spacing *viz.*, 75 cm × 20 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm, 75 cm × 30 cm, 75 cm × 35 cm and 75 cm × 40 cm. The highest 100-grain weight was observed in BARI hybrid maize 7. On the other hand, the lowest 100-grain weight was observed from Khoibhutta.

Ullah*et al*. (2016) carried out an experiment with four white maize varieties (Chamgnuo-1, Changnuo-6, Q-xiannuo-1 and Yangnuo-7) for evaluating yield and yield performance of transplanted white maize varieties under varying planting geometry. The lowest 100-seed weight was recorded from Yangnuo-7 (24.33 g, other varieties showed 31.83–34.67 g).

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (five levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (two levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) showed the minimum 100-grain weight (35.04 g), whereas PSC-121 (V_2) showed the maximum 100-grain weight (36.78 g).

Bhuiyan (2012) carried out a research work at the field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207 from December 2010 to May 2011 to determine optimum water requirement for the cultivation

of hybrid maize varieties. There were two factors in this experiment, a) four hybrid maize varieties: V_1 (BARI Hybrid Maize-5). V_2 (Pacific 60), V_3 (NK 40) and V_4 (Ajanta) and b) three levels of irrigation: I_1 = Two irrigations at 25 and 50 DAS, I_2 = Three irrigations at 25. 50 and 75 DAS and I_3 = Four irrigations at 25, 50, 75and 100 DAS, respectively. The findings revealed that, selected varieties individually had significant effect on yields and yield contributing characters. 1000-grain weight varied significantly among the varieties. BARI Hybrid Maize-5 performed better among the four varieties tested in this experiment.

Mukhtar *et al.* (2011) studied response of maize crop to various NP levels at Maize and Millets Research Institute, Yusafwala, Sahiwal, Pakistan during kharif 2009. Six NP rates (0 - 0, 200-100, 250-125, 300-150, 350-175 and 400-200 kg ha^{-1}) were tried on two maize hybrids (YH-1898 and YH-1921) for growth and yield. They reported that, both hybrid varieties YH-1921 and YH-1898 showed non-significant result (324.17 g and 378.44 g, respectively) for 1000-grain weight.

Ahmed *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment for two consecutive years taking three varieties (DK-919, DK-5219 and Pioneer-30Y87) and found that during both the years of experimentation, 100-grain weight significantly differed within the hybrids.

Arellano Vazquez (2010) evaluated 42 Cacahuacintle maize landraces and the hybrids 'H-33', 'H-44' and 'H-137', in experiments established under rainfed conditions in Calimaya and Metepec, both in Toluca Valley, State of Mexico. Varieties 7, 11 and 32 stood out for their high values of weight of 100-grains.

Asghar*et al.* (2010) conducted a study to investigate the effect of different NPK rates on growth and yield of maize cultivars: Golden and Sultan. The varieties V_1 (Golden) (248.83 g) and V_2 (Sultan) (246.74 g) did not show any difference in producing 1000-grain weight.

Malik *et al.* (2010) evaluated 18 hybrids and 13 open pollinated varieties of maize at the National Agricultural Research Centre, Islamabad during kharif 2007. Significant differences were observed for 100-grain weight. 100-grain weight ranged from 23 g (EV-6098) to 39 g (2512).

Msarmo and Mhango (2005) conducted a study at Bunda College during the 2003–04 crop season to assess the effect of fertilizer application practices on performance of maize with emphasis on improving the efficiency of using urea as a top dressing fertilizer. There were three maize varieties and three fertilizer application practices. The maize varieties included local maize, Masika (composite) and DK8031 (hybrid). The result of the study revealed that, DK8031 had the highest 100-seed weight (41.45 g) as compared to local maize and Masika which had (35.17 g) and (34.60 g) respectively.

2.3 Yield attributes

2.3.1 Grain yield

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2, respectively. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of grain yield (8.28 t ha^{-1}) over YANGNUO-3000.

Mannan (2018) conducted an experiment at the agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to examine the varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. The experiment comprised of two white maize varieties (PSC-121 and Yangnuo-3000). In the experiment, PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of grain yield (7.758 t ha^{-1}) over Yangnuo-3000. Whereas, a grain yield of 6.44 t ha^{-1} was obtained from Yangnuo-3000.

Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of variety and plant spacing on yield attributes and yield of maize. The experiment comprised of 5 varieties *viz.*, Khoibhutta, BARI hybrid maize 7, BARI hybrid maize 9, C-1921, P-3396. The maximum grain yield was observed from BARI hybrid maize-7. On the other hand, the lowest grain yield was observed in Khoibhutta.

Akbar *et al.* (2016) explored that grain yield was found between 7.10 t ha^{-1} and 10.12 t ha^{-1} across hybrids and planting scheme. 19% more yield was obtained from PSC-121 than KS-510.

Khan *et al.* (2016) carried out an experiment considering three hybrid maize varieties, e.g., P-3025, P-32T78 and P-3203. From the experiment, he noted that among three hybrid maize varieties, grain yield (2.253 t ha^{-1}) was maximum in maize hybrid P-3025.

Ullah*et al*. (2016) carried out an experiment for evaluating yield and yield performance of transplanted white maize varieties under varying planting geometry. Out of four white maize varieties (Chamgnuo-1, Changnuo-6, Q-xiannuo-1 and Yangnuo-7), the highest significant grain yield per hectare was resulted from Changnuo-6 (8.198 tons) which is preceded by Changnuo-1 (7.457 tons) and Q-Xinagnuo-1 (6.718 tons). The lowest grain yield per hectare was obtained from Yangnuo-7 (4.393 tons) than others.

Ishaq*et al.* (2015) conducted an experiment to find out genetic potential, variability and heritability of various morphological and yield traits among maize synthetics and found highly significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) for all the agronomic and genetic traits. Among the tested populations, Jalal-2003 proved to be superior for most of the traits studied. The highest values for grain yield (5927 kg/ha) was recorded for Jalal-2003.

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015

to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (5 levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (2 levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) showed the lowest grain yield (6.56 t ha^{-1}), whereas PSC-121 (V_2) showed the highest grain yield (6.85 t ha^{-1}).

Rahaman (2015) carried out an experiment during January to May 2015 to study the genetic diversity, correlation and path co-efficient analysis for yield and yield contributing characters of maize. For the accomplishment of the experiment, 37 maize genotypes were used as experimental materials. The maximum grain yield/plant (163.84 g) was recorded in the genotype of DEKALB-9120, whereas the minimum grain yield/plant (60.00 g) was from the genotype of BHM-7.

Asaduzzaman*et al.* (2014) planted four baby corn varieties *viz*. Hybrid baby corn-271, Shuvra, Khoibhutta and BARI sweet corn-1 at five N fertilizer rates viz. 0 kg N ha^{-1} (N_0), 80 kg N ha^{-1} (N_1), 120 kg N ha^{-1} (N_2), 160 kg N ha^{-1} (N_3) and 200 kg N ha^{-1} (N_4) in the experiment to find out the suitable variety and N fertilizer rate for baby corn production. They observed that, the maximum cob yield with husk (12.8 t ha^{-1}) was recorded in Hybrid Baby Corn-271 and the minimum (9.70 t ha^{-1}) was recorded in Shuvra.

Enujeke (2013 c) carried out a study to evaluate the effects of variety and spacing on yield indices of Open-pollinated maize. Four open-pollinated varieties (Suwan-1-SR, ACR97, BR9922-DMRSF_2 and AMATZBRC_2WB) were evaluated under three different plant spacing (75 cm × 15 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm and 75 cm × 35 cm) for yield indices. The results obtained indicated that variety BR9922-DMRSF_2 was outstanding with its grain weight which was

4.70 t ha^{-1} in 2008 and 4.90 t ha^{-1} in 2009.

Bhuiyan (2012) carried out a research work at the field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207 from December 2010 to May 2011 to determine optimum water requirement for the cultivation of hybrid maize varieties. There were two factors in this experiment, a) four hybrid maize varieties: V_1 (BARI Hybrid Maize-5). V_2 (Pacific 60), V_3 (NK 40) and V_4 (Ajanta) and b) three levels of irrigation: I_1 = Two irrigations at 25 and 50 DAS, I_2 = Three irrigations at 25. 50 and 75 DAS and I_3 = Four irrigations at 25, 50, 75and 100 DAS, respectively. The findings revealed that, selected varieties individually had significant effect on yield of maize. Grain yield varied significantly among the varieties. BARI Hybrid Maize-5 performed better among the four varieties tested in this experiment.

Aziz *et al.* (2011) conducted a field trail at the Hill Agricultural Research Station, Khagrachari, Ramgorh and Boropara (Farmers field), Khagrachari during the Rabi season of 2008–2009 to find out the suitable hybrid maize variety for hilly areas. Five varieties of hybrid maize viz., BARI Hybrid Maize-2, BARI Hybrid Maize-3, BARI Hybrid Maize-5, Pacific-11 and Pacific-984 were tested in this study. Among the varieties BARI Hybrid Maize-5 produced maximum grain yield at all the locations (Khagrachari: 10.07 t ha^{-1}, Boropara: 9.71 t ha^{-1} and Ramgorh: 6.71 t ha^{-1}). The lowest grain yield was obtained from Pacific-984 (7.53 t ha^{-1}) at Khagrachari, BARI Hybrid Maize-2 (6.42 t ha^{-1}) at Boropara and BARI Hybrid Maize-3 (4.51 t ha^{-1}) at Ramgorh.

Malik *et al.* (2011) evaluated ten maize hybrids were at Agriculture Research Institute, Tarnab Farm, Peshawar with the aim to estimate variation among maize hybrids during spring season 2010. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences among the maize hybrids for grain yield.

Mukhtar *et al.* (2011) studied response of maize crop to various NP levels at Maize and Millets Research Institute, Yusafwala, Sahiwal, Pakistan during

kharif 2009. Six NP rates (0 - 0, 200-100, 250-125, 300-150, 350-175 and 400-200 kg ha^{-1}) were tried on two maize hybrids (YH-1898 and YH1921) for growth and yield. They reported that, both hybrid varieties YH-1921 and YH-1898 showed non-significant result (7.62 t ha^{-1} and 6.73 t ha^{-1}, respectively) for grain yield.

Ahmed *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment for two consecutive years taking three varieties (DK-919, DK-5219 and Pioneer-30Y87) and found that during both the years of experimentation, higher grain yield was obtained from the early maturing variety early DK-919 compared to that of mid and late maturity maize hybrids.

Arellano Vazquez (2010) evaluated 42 Cacahuacintle maize landraces and the hybrids 'H-33', 'H-44' and 'H-137', in experiments established under rainfedconditions in Calimaya and Metepec, both in Toluca Valley, State of Mexico. Among landraces there were differences (P<=0.01) for grain yield. Grain yield in the best performing landraces ranged from 7.5to 8.9 t ha^{-1}, while varieties 7, 11 and 32 stood out for their high values of grain yield.

Asghar*et al.* (2010) conducted a study to investigate the effect of different NPK rates on growth and yield of maize cultivars: Golden and Sultan. The varieties V_1 (Golden) (4.97 t ha^{-1}) and V_2 (Sultan) (4.88 t ha^{-1}) did not show any difference in producing grain yield.

Fan *et al.* (2010) conducted the present experiment to screen some new corn varieties with high yield and resistance suitable for planting in Xincheng county of Guangxi. A comparative test of corn varieties was undertaken in Panshui village, Chengguan town, Xincheng County in 2009. The varieties Zhengda 999, Ruiheng 269, Dika 008, Taipingvang 98 and Nanxiao 201 showed strong growth vigour and higher yield. These varieties were found suitable for planting widely in Xincheng County. Varieties Ruiheng 666 and Yumeitou 168 performed normally in the field, the increase in yield was not

significant as compared to control variety Dika 007. Variety Dongdan 80 showed low yield and variety Lucheng 133 was characterized by short cob length and low yield, both of these varieties were not suitable for planting in Xincheng County.

Frigeri*et al*. (2010) conducted an experiment aiming at evaluating the agronomic performance of recent releases of simple and triple hybrids of corn developed for high and medium technologies in 2007/08 in Jaboticabal; State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. The high genetic variability of *Zea mays* allows the annual release of new cultivars with superior agronomic characteristics. An experimental design of randomized blocks with 45 corn cultivars with three replications was used. The experimental plot consisted of four rows of five meters, spaced 80 cm between rows, and an initial population of 90,000 seedlings per hectare. One concluded that the simple hybrids RB 9108, 30F35, DKB AS 390 and 1567 presented with the highest yields.

Li Rong Dan *et al.* (2010) set up an experiment in order to screen new corn varieties with good quality, high yield, strong resistance to diseases and suitable harvesting time and to find out their suitability for planting in Changming Town of Daxin County. Ten new corn varieties were tested in a field experiment in 2009. The yield of varieties Taipingyang 98, Zhengda 629, Hongdan 4, Guidan 30 and Hongdan 3 (1.32–18.95% higher as compared to control variety Zhengda 619) indicated their suitability for planting in Changming town. Further, famers prefer Taipingyang 98 and Hongdan 3 rather than other corn varieties due to strong resistance to diseases and fine cob appearance, while Zhengda 305, Zhengda 518, Zhengda 16. Suyu 10 and Sanyuandeng 391 were unsuitable for extension in Changming town Daxin County because of their low yield and weak resistance.

Malik *et al.* (2010) evaluated 18 hybrids and 13 open pollinated varieties of maize at the National Agricultural Research Centre, Islamabad during kharif 2007. Significant differences were observed for grain yield. The hybrids NT-

6622 and NT-6651 ranked top and second in grain yield by producing 7842 and 7759 kg ha^{-1}, respectively. Generally, the hybrids produced more grain yield than the open pollinated varieties.

Nizamuddin*et al.* (2010) conducted the experiment about yield and yield components of five synthetic maize cultivars (EV-3001, Jalal, Kisan, Azam and Pahari) at Chilas Agriculture Farm, district Diamer, Northern Areas, Pakistan during 2005. Cultivars differed significantly for all parameters. The highest yield (1.803 t/ha) was harvested in maize cultivar EV-3001 which was at par with cultivars Jalal and Azam. Check variety produced the lowest yield (1.15 t ha^{-1}).

Ahmed (2009) conducted a field experiment at the central research farm of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Gazipur during the period from November 2008 to April 2009 to investigate the effect of different levels of phosphorus application on growth, yield attributes and yield of hybrid maize varieties. The experiment comprised of four hybrid maize varieties *viz.*, BARI hybrid maize-2, BARI hybrid maize-3, BARI hybrid maize-5 and BARI hybrid maize-7 and four levels of phosphorus *viz.* 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha^{-1} along with a blanket dose of $N_{250}K_{100}S_{40}Mg_{10}Zn_5B_2$ kg ha^{-1} and Cowdung 5t ha^{-1}. BARI hybrid maize-7 showed the highest grain yield (6.81 t ha^{-1}).

Msarmo and Mhango (2005) conducted a study at Bunda College during the 2003/04 crop season to assess the effect of fertiliudyzer application practices on performance of maize with emphasis on improving the efficiency of using urea as a top dressing fertilizer. There were three maize varieties and three fertilizer application practices. The maize varieties included local maize, Masika (composite) and DK8031 (hybrid). The result of the study revealed that, variety DK8031 was the highest grain yielder (6313 kg ha^{-l}) followed by Masika (5467 kg ha^{-1}) and then local maize (4823 kg ha^{-1}).

Tuncay*et al.*(2005) carried out a research work to determine cob and technological properties of eight sweetcorn cultivars as main and second crops in 2003 in Turkey. There are statistically significant differences between cultivars in both growing periods. The highest yield was obtained from Multi 610 in main crop and from GH 2447 in second crop.

Bozokalfa*et al.* (2004) conducted an experiment where ten sweetcorn cultivars (ACX 232, ACX 942, OH 2547, Merit Fl, Multi 500, Multi 610, ACX 945 Y, Martha Fl. ACX 935 Y and ACX 1072) were grown in Ege Region, Turkey, during the spring and autumn of 2002 and 2003. The highest yields in spring (16,100 and 15,940 kg/ha) were recorded for ACX 232 and Multi 610. In autumn, the highest yield (11,020 kg/ha) was obtained from Multi 610.

Islam and Mian (2004) showed the results of comparative performance of ten maize hybrids (CTS-99 1058, CTS-991060, CTS-991062. CTS-993044, CTS-993046, CTS-9930501, Pacific-11, 1434, 3435 and 6734) during Rabi season of 2000–2001. The analysis of variance for grain yield revealed significant variation among the hybrids. Hybrid 6734 produced the highest yield of grain (t ha^{-1}).

Begum and Roy (1987) reported that yield variation among the varieties were due to varietal characteristics.

BARI (1987) reported that Guaria 8045 gave significantly higher grain yield (5.15 t ha^{-1}), whereas Pirsabak 8146, LaMaquina and Khoibhutta produced grain yields of 4.50, 5.07 and 4.00 t ha^{-1} respectively.

2.3.2 Stover yield

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-

3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1= One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of stover yield (6.56 t ha^{-1}) over YANGNUO-3000.

Mannan (2018) conducted an experiment at the agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to examine the varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. The experiment comprised of two white maize varieties (PSC-121 and Yangnuo-3000) and six levels of weed control treatments, *viz.*, T_0 = No weeding, T_1 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 1.5 g/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_2 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 2.0 g/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_3 = Pendimethalin @ 2.0 l/ha (Panida 50EC), T_4 = Pendimethalin @ 3.0 l/ha (Panida 50EC) and T_5 = One Hand Weeding at 45 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of stover yield (6.121 t ha^{-1}) over YANGNUO-3000.

Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted an experiment to investigate the effect of variety and plant spacing on yield attributes and yield of maize. The experiment comprised of 5 varieties *viz.*, Khoibhutta, BARI hybrid maize 7, BARI hybrid maize 9, C-1921, P-3396 and 5 plants spacing *viz.*, 75 cm × 20 cm, 75 cm × 25 cm, 75 cm × 30 cm, 75 cm × 35 cm and 75 cm × 40 cm. The maximum stover yield was observed from BARI hybrid maize 7. On the other hand, the lowest stover yield was observed in Khoibhutta.

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (5 levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 =

above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (2 levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) showed the lowest stover yield (11.64 t ha^{-1}) whereas PSC-121 (V_2) showed the highest stover yield (12.06 t ha^{-1}).

Nizamuddin*et al.* (2010) conducted the experiment to find out the data on yield and yield components of five synthetic maize cultivars (EV-3001, Jalal, Kisan, Azam and Pahari) at Chilas Agriculture Farm, district Diamer, Northern Areas, Pakistan during 2005. Cultivars differed significantly for all parameters. The effect of cultivars on stalk yield significantly differed and check variety produced the lowest stalk yield (1.320 t/ha). Other cultivars were at par for stalk yield.

Msarmo and Mhango (2005) conducted a study at Bunda College during the 2003/04 crop season to assess the effect of fertilizer application practices on performance of maize with emphasis on improving the efficiency of using urea as a top dressing fertilizer. There were three maize varieties and three fertilizer application practices. The maize varieties included local maize, Masika (composite) and DK8031 (hybrid) and the fertilizer application practices were 100 kg ha^{-1} urea as basal and 100 kg ha^{-1} urea as top dressing (P_1), 100 kg ha^{-1} urea as basal and 75 kg ha^{-1} urea as top dressing (P_2) and 100 kg ha^{-1} as basal and 150 kgha^{-1} urea as top dressing (P_3). The result of the study revealed that, variety DK8031 showed the highest biomass yield (16131 kg ha^{-1}) followed by local maize (15114 kg ha^{-1}) and then Masika (12408 kg ha^{-1}).

2.3.3 Biological yield

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer

doses (5 levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (2 levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) showed the lowest biological yield (18.20 t ha^{-1}) whereas PSC-121 (V_2) showed the highest biological yield (18.92 t ha^{-1}).

Mannan (2018) conducted an experiment at the agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to examine the varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. The experiment comprised of two white maize varieties (PSC-121 and Yangnuo-3000) and six levels of weed control treatments, *viz.*, T_0 = No weeding, T_1 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 1.5 g/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_2 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 2.0 g/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_3 = Pendimethalin @ 2.0 l/ha (Panida 50EC), T_4 = Pendimethalin @ 3.0 l/ha (Panida 50EC) and T_5 = One Hand Weeding at 45 DAS. In the experiment, PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of biological yield (13.878 t ha^{-1}) over YANGNUO-3000.

Asghar*et al.* (2010) conducted a study by to investigate the effect of different NPK rates on growth and yield of maize cultivars, Golden and Sultan. The varieties V_1 (Golden) (14.46 t ha^{-1}) and V_2 (Sultan) (14.43 t ha^{-1}) did not show any significant differences in producing biological yield.

2.3.4 Harvest index

Akter (2018) conducted an experiment at agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to investigate the influence of weeding regimes on the performance of white maize varieties. The experiment comprised of two varieties *viz.* YANGNUO-3000 and PSC-121, designated as V_1 and V_2 respectively combined with four

weed control treatments *viz.* T_0 = No weeding, T_1= One hand weeding at 60 DAS, T_2= two hand weeding at 40 DAS and 60 DAS and T_3= Weed free after 40 DAS. PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of harvest index (55.58%) over YANGNUO-3000.

Mannan (2018) conducted an experiment at the agronomy farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during November 2017 to April 2018 to examine the varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. The experiment was laid out in Split Plot Design with three replications. The experiment comprised of two white maize varieties (PSC-121 and Yangnuo-3000) and six levels of weed control treatments, *viz.*, T_0 = No weeding, T_1 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 1.5 g/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_2 = Carfentrazone + Isoproturon 500g @ 2.0 g/ha (Affinity 50.75% WP), T_3 = Pendimethalin @ 2.0 g/ha (Panida 50EC), T_4 = Pendimethalin @ 3.0 g/ha (Panida 50EC) and T_5 = One Hand Weeding at 45 DAS. In the experiment, PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of harvest index (55.651%) over YANGNUO-3000.

Islam (2015) conducted an experiment at the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 to study the growth and yield of white maize varieties under fertilizer doses. The experiment consisted of two factors. Factor A: Fertilizer doses (5 levels); F_1 = Recommended dose (100%); F_2 = Below 25% of recommended dose (75%); F_3 = Below 50% of recommended dose (50%); F_4 = above 25% of recommended dose (125%) and F_5 = above 50% of recommended dose (150%) and factor B: Varieties (2 levels); V_1: KS-510 and V_2: PSC-121. Among the varieties, KS-510 (V_1) showed the lowest harvest index (36.26%) whereas PSC-121 (V_2) showed the highest harvest index (36.41%).

Aliu*et al.* (2010) carried out an experiment where aim of this study was to investigate some physiological traits and yield of different maize hybrids in

growth conditions of Kosovo. The field experiment was conducted in 2006 and 2007 in Kosovo, near Prishtina. For calculating and statistical analysis 10 plants per each plot were randomly chosen in the study, seven commercial maize hybrids belonging to different FAO groups (FAO 300, 400 and 600), originating from two breeding institutions: MaizeDept. of Bc Institute Rugvica - Croatia (Jumbo 48 [H-I], BC418 [H-2], BC408 [H-3], BC288 [H-4], BC394 [H-5]) and from Pioneer Hi-Bred Int. (Austria) (Pregia [H-6] and Colombo [H-7]) were included. The harvest index (HI) of the 1st year was of a higher value than the 2nd year. For HI, statistically significant differences were not obtained among the studied maize hybrids.

Asghar*et al.* (2010) conducted a study to investigate the effect of different NPK rates on growth and yield of maize cultivars, Golden and Sultan. The varieties V_1 (Golden) (34.19 %) and V_2 (Sultan) (33.75 %) did not show any differences for harvest index.

CHAPTER III

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This chapter presents a brief description about experimental period, site description, climatic condition, crop or planting materials, treatments, experimental design, crop growing procedure, intercultural operations, data collection and statistical analyses.

3.1 Experimental period

The experiment was conducted during the period from April to July 2019 in Kharif -I season.

3.2 Site description

3.2.1 Geographical location

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University (SAU). The experimental site is geographically situated at 23°77′ N latitude and 90°33′ E longitude at an altitude of 8.6 meter above sea level.

3.2.2 Agro-Ecological Zone

The experimental field belongs to the Agro-ecological zone (AEZ) of "The Modhupur Tract", AEZ-28. This was a region of complex relief and soils developed over the Modhupur clay, where floodplain sediments buried the dissected edges of the Modhupur Tract leaving small hillocks of red soils as 'islands' surrounded by floodplain. For better understanding about the experimental site has been shown in the Map of AEZ of Bangladesh in Appendix-I.

3.3 Climate

The climate of the experimental site was subtropical, characterized by the winter season from November to February and the pre-monsoon period or hot season from March to April and the monsoon period from May to October (Idris *et al.*, 1979). Meteorological data related to the temperature, relative humidity and rainfall during the experiment period of was collected from Bangladesh Meteorological Department (Climate division), Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka and has been presented in Appendix- III.

3.4 Soil

The soil of the experimental field belongs to the General soil type, Shallow Red Brown Terrace Soils under Tejgaon soil series. Soil pH ranges from 5.4–5.6. The land was above flood level and sufficient sunshine was available during the experimental period. Soil samples from 0–15 cm depths were collected from the experimental field. The soil analyses were done at Soil Resource and Development Institute (SRDI), Dhaka. The physicochemical properties of the soil are presented in Appendix II.

3.5 Planting materials

Eleven (11) maize varieties and one (1) maize line were used in this study.

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Source of collection
01	SAU Hybrid Vutta-1	SAU
02	SAU Hybrid Vutta-2	SAU
03	Pioneer-3355	ACI seed
04	Pacific-999	ACI seed
05	DK-Evarest	BRAC seed
06	Nyx-7055	Krishibid seed
07	Pacific-339	ACI seed
08	Pacific-224	ACI seed

09	Arize super	Nilshagor seed
10	A-0078	SAU
11	Kaveri-50	ACI seed
12	DK-971	BRAC seed

3.6 Experimental details

Planting Date:01April, 2019

Harvesting Date: 07July, 2019

3.7 Experimental design

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block design (RCBD) single factor with three replications. Total 36 unit plots were designed for the experiment with 12 treatments. The size of each unit plot was 2.50 m × 1.80 m. The distance maintained between the unit plots and blocks were 0.50 m and 1.0 m, respectively.

3.8 Detail of experimental preparation

3.8.1 Preparation of experimental land

A pre-sowing irrigation was given on 27 March, 2019. The land was opened with the help of a tractor drawn disc harrow on 31 March, 2019 and then ploughed with rotary plough twice followed by laddering to achieve a medium tilth required for the crop under consideration. All weeds and other plant residues of previous crop were removed from the field. Immediately after final land preparation, the field layout was made on 01 April, 2019 according to experimental specification. Individual plots were cleaned and finally the plots were prepared.

3.8.2 Fertilizer application

Doses of vermi-compostwas used @ 2 t ha^{-1} before final land preparation. The field was fertilized with nitrogen, phosphate,potash, sulphur, zinc and boron at the rate of 500-250-200-250-15-5 kg ha^{-1} ofurea, triple super phosphate,

muriate of potash, gypsum, zinc sulphate andboric acid, respectively (BARI, 2014). The whole amounts of fertilizers were applied as basal doses except Urea. Only one-third Urea was applied as basal doses and the rest amount was applied at 15 DAS interval for three instalments.

3.9 Intercultural operations

After raising seedlings, various intercultural operations such as irrigation, weeding, gap filling and thinning, drainage, pest and disease control etc. were accomplished for better growth and development of the maize seedlings.

3.9.1 Gap filling and thinning

Gap filling was done on 16April, 2019, which was 15 days after sowing (DAS). During plant growth period, one thinning operation was done on 21April, 2019, which was 20 days after sowing.

3.9.2 Weeding

The hand weeding was done as and when necessary to keep the plot free from weeds. During plant growth period two weeding were done. The weeding was done on 26April, 2019 and 16May, 2019, which was 25 and 45 days after sowing, respectively.

3.9.3 Earthing up

Earthing up was done on 02May, 2019 which was 30 days after sowing. It was done to protect the plant from lodging and for better nutrition uptake.

3.9.4 Application of irrigation water

Irrigation water was added to each plot, first irrigation was done as pre-sowing andother four were given at 20, 40, 65 and 85 days after sowing (DAS). First irrigation was given on 21April, 2019, which was 20 days after sowing. Second irrigation was given on 10May, 2019, which was 40 days after sowing. Third

irrigation was given on 05June, 2019, which was 65 days after sowing, and fourth irrigation was given on 25June, 2019, which was 85 days after sowing.

3.9.5 Drainage

There were heavy rainfalls during the experimental period. Drainage channels were properly prepared to easy and quick drained out of excess water.

3.9.6 Pest and disease control

Insecticides Diazinon 60 EC @ 2 ml litre^{-1} water was sprayed to control Stem borer on 30 April, 20 May and 25 June, 2019. Ripcord 10 EC @ 2 ml litre^{-1} water were sprayed to control earthworm toprotect the crop on 30 April and 25 June, 2019. On the other hand picking of infested leaves with caterpillar larvae was also done as a control measure. Diseased or off type plants were uprooted as and when required.

3.9.7 General observations of the experimental site

Regular observations were made to see the growth stages of the crop. In general, the plot looked nice with normal green plants, which were vigorous and luxuriant.

3.10 Harvesting, threshing and cleaning

The mature cobs were harvested when the husk cover was completely dried and black coloration was found in the grain base. The cobs of five randomly selected plants of each plot were separately harvested for recording yield attributes and other data. Harvesting was done at 7th July, 2019.

3.11 Drying

The harvested products were taken on the threshing floor and it was dried for about 3–4 days.

3.12 Crop sampling

Five plants were randomly selected and fixed in each plot from the inner row of the plot for recording data. Plant height and leaf area were recorded from selected plants at harvesting stage. Dry weight of plants were collected by harvesting five plants at different specific dates from the inner rows leaving border plants and harvest area for cob of white maize.

3.13 Collection of data

Data were collected on the following parameters-

1. Days to 80% germination
2. Plant height (cm)
3. Days to 80% flowering
4. Days to 80% cob formation
5. Germination (%)
6. Genetical purity (%)
7. Total dry matter (%)
8. Cob weight with husk (g)
9. Cob weight without husk (g)
10. Husk weight (g)
11. Cob length (cm)
12. Cob length without husk (cm)

13. Unfilled area of cob (cm)

14. Cob circumference (cm)

15. Number of rows cob^{-1}

16. Number of grains row^{-1}

17. Shelling (%)

18. 100-grains weight (g)

19. Grain yield (t ha^{-1})

20. Stover yield (t ha^{-1})

21. Biological yield (t ha^{-1})

22. Harvest index (%)

3.14 Procedure of recording data

A brief outline on data recording procedure followed during the study is givenbelow:

3.14.1 Days to 80% germination

After sowing, the maize seed was observed for germination twice in a day (morning and afternoon) until 80% germination.

3.14.2 Plant height (cm)

The height of plant was recorded in centimetre (cm) at harvest. Data were recorded as the average of five plants selected from the inner rows of each plot. The height was measured from the ground level to the tip of the plant.

3.14.3 Days to 80% flowering

Days to 80% flowering was considered when 80% of the plants within a plot were showed up with flowers (silks and tassels). The number of days to 80% flowering was recorded from the date of sowing.

3.14.4 Days to 80% cob formation

Days to 80% cob formation was considered when cobs in 80% of plants within a plot were showed up. The number of days to 80% cob formation was recorded from the date of sowing.

3.14.5 Germination (%)

After sowing, the maize seed was observed for germination twice in a day (morning and afternoon) until full germination.

3.14.6 Genetical purity (%)

Maize plants and cobs with homogenous appearance were counted within a single plot to measure genetical purity percentage. For measuring homogeneity of plant height in maize plants, dwarf plants and extra tall plants were counted. For measuring homogeneity in case of cob appearance, deformed / half-formed cob and cob with off-colour grain was counted and genetical purity percentage was measured accordingly. 94% purity percentage was considered as standard for maintaining genetical purity.

3.14.7 Total dry weight (g)

Total dry weight was measured at harvest. From each plot, five plants were uprooted randomly. Then the stem, leaves, cob and roots were separated. The all sample was sliced into very thin pieces and put into envelop and placed in oven maintaining 70°C for 72 hours. Then the entire sample was transferred into desiccators and allowed to cool down at room temperature.

3.14.8 Cob weight with husk (g)

Five randomly selected cobs from the five selected plants in each plot was taken. Then the average weight was recorded in gram.

3.14.9 Cob weight without husk (g)

Five randomly selected cobs from the five selected plants in each plot was taken and the husk was removed. Then the average weight was recorded in gram.

3.14.10 Husk weight (g)

Husk of five randomly selected cobs from the five selected plants in each plot was separated and the average weight of those husks was recorded in gram.

3.14.11 Cob length (cm)

Cob length with husk was measured in centimetre from the base to the tip of the unhusked cob of five corn from the five selected plants from each plot with the help of a centimetre scale then average data were recorded.

3.14.12 Cob length without husk (cm)

Two randomly selected cobs from each plot were taken and husk was removed to measure the length from the base to the tip of the cob. The average result was recorded in cm.

3.14.13 Unfilled area of cob (cm)

Five randomly selected cobs from the five selected plants from each plot was taken. The unfilled area of a complete cob, which was the above portion of the cob occupied by no grain of maize, was measured with the help of a measuring scale. The average data were recorded in centimetre.

3.14.14 Cob circumference (cm)

Two cobs were randomly selected from each plot and the circumference was taken from each commeasured with a measuring tape. Then average result was recorded in cm.

3.14.15 Number of rows cob^{-1}

Row number of five randomly selected cobs from the five selected plants from each plot were counted and mean result was recorded.

3.14.16 Number of grains row^{-1}

Five cobs from each plot were selected randomly and the number of grains per row was counted and then the average result was recorded.

3.14.17 Shelling (%)

Shelling percentage was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Shelling percentage} = \frac{\text{Grain weight}}{\text{Cob weight}} \times 100$$

3.14.18 100-grains weight (g)

One hundred clean and dried seeds were randomly taken from each plot and the weight was measured in an electrical balance. The average result was recorded.

3.14.19 Grain yield (t ha^{-1})

The collected grain from each plot was adjusted at 14% moisture level. The grain yield was measured according to the following formula:

$$\text{Grain yield (t ha}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Grain yield per plot (kg)} \times 10000}{\text{Area of plot in square meter} \times 1000}$$

3.14.20 Stover yield (t ha^{-1})

The stover yield was measured according to the following formula:

$$\text{Stover yield (t ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Stover yield per plot (kg)} \times 10000}{\text{Area of plot in square meter} \times 1000}$$

3.14.21 Biological yield (t ha^{-1})

Grain yield and stover yield together was regarded as biological yield and calculated with the following formula:

$$\text{Biological yield (t ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Grain yield (t ha}^{-1}\text{)} + \text{Stover yield (t ha}^{-1}\text{)}$$

3.14.22 Harvest index (%)

Harvest Index denotes the ratio of economic yield to biological yield. It was calculated with the following formula:

$$\text{Harvest Index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Economic Yield (Grain weight)}}{\text{Biological Yield (Total weight)}} \times 100$$

3.15 Statistical analysis

The collected data were analysed following the analysis of variance (ANOVA) techniques by Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) to find out the statistical significance of experimental results. The collected data were analysed by computer package program MSTAT-C software (Russell, 1986). The significant differences among the treatment means were compared by Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 5% levels of probability (Gomez and Gomez,1984).

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter comprises presentation and discussion of the results obtained from a study to investigate the evaluation of genetic purity, growth and yield performance of maize (*Zea mays*L.). The results of genetic purity and crop characters of the production of the crop as influenced by different maize varieties have been presented and discussed in this chapter. Data on different crop characters have been presented in Table 1-5 and Figure 1-2. The analyses of variance (ANOVA) on different parameters were calculated and presented in Appendices IV to VII.

4.1 Days to 80% germination

80% germination was significantly influenced by the different maize varieties (Table 1). Pacific-999 took the maximum days (6.67days) for 80% germination whereas, the minimum days (4.00 days) was taken by Nyx-7055.

4.2 Days to 80% flowering

80% flowering was significantly influenced by the different maize varieties (Table 1). Pacific-999 took the highest days (54.00 days) for 80% flowering of maize. SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 and DK-Evarest took the second highest days (53.00 days) for 80% flowering of maize whereas, the minimum days (49.00 days) was taken by Nyx-7055.

4.3 Days to 80% cob formation

80% cob formation was significantly influenced by the different maize varieties (Table 1). Pacific-999 took the maximum days (72.00days) for 80% cob formation whereas, the minimum days (59.00 days) was taken by Nyx-7055.

Table 1.Days to 80% emergence, 80% flowering and 80% cob formation of selected hybrid line and varieties of maize

Variety	Days to 80% emergence (DAS)	Days to 80% flowering (DAS)	Days to 80% Cob formation (DAS)
SAU Hybrid Vutta-1	5.00 d	52.33 c	65.00 cd
SAU Hybrid Vutta-2	5.33 c	53.00 b	64.33 c
Pioneer-3355	5.00 d	52.33 c	66.00 b
Pacific-999	6.67 a	54.00 a	72.00 a
DK-Evarest	5.00 d	53.00 b	65.00 cd
Nyx-7055	4.00 e	49.00 f	59.00 g
Pacific-339	5.00 d	51.33 d	64.00 de
Pacific-224	5.33 c	52.00 c	63.00 f
Arize super	5.00 d	52.33 c	63.33 ef
A-0078	6.00 b	50.33 e	65.67 bc
Kaveri-50	5.00 d	51.33 d	65.67 bc
DK-971	5.00 d	51.33 d	62.67 f
$LSD_{(0.05)}$	**0.18**	**0.53**	**0.84**
CV (%)	**3.28**	**4.03**	**3.96**

In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

4.4 Plant height

Significant difference was observed on the plant height at different growth stages of maize due to variety (Figure 1). At 15 DAS, Nyxon-7099 showed the tallest plant (39.23 cm) and SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the shortest plant (29.43 cm). Among the line, A-0078 showed the tallest plant (78.15, 106.53 and 234.67 cm at 30, 45 DAS and harvest, respectively) whereas, Pacific-999 showed the shortest plant (58.80 cm at 30 DAS) and SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the shortest plant (77.77 and 192.00 cm at 45 DAS and harvest, respectively). This finding can be thrust to that of Ullah*et al.* (2017) who reported very closer plant height in white maize variety Suvra. Akter (2018) and Mannan (2018) was reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of plant height over YANGNUO-3000. Hasan *et al.* (2018) was reported that the highest plant height was observed from BARI hybrid maize-7.



Figure 1.Plant height of selected hybrid line and varieties of maize (LSD value = 1.03, 3.29, 2.67 and 3.92 at 15, 30, 45 DAS and harvest, respectively)

4.5 Germination %

Germination percentage was significantly influenced by the different maize varieties (Table 2). Nyx-7055 took the maximum (99.00 %) for germination. On the other hand, the minimum germination (90.00 %) was taken by Pacific-224.

4.6 Genetical purity %

Maize varieties showed a significant variation on genetical purity of maize (Table 2). Nyx-7055 showed the highest genetical purity (100.00 %) which was statistically identical with Pacific-224 (100.00 %), Arize super (100.00 %), A-0078 (100.00 %) and Kaveri-50 (100.00 %); whereas SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the lowest genetic purity (97.22%).

4.7 Shelling %

Due to different maize varieties, the shelling percentage showed positively significant result (Table 2). The shelling percentage range from 62.14 % to 78.00 % among the varieties. The highest shelling (78.00%) was recorded in kaveri-50 variety and the lowest (62.14%) was recorded in Pacific-999 variety.

Table 2. Germination (%), genetical purity (%) and shelling (%) of selected hybrid line and varieties of maize

Variety	Germination (%)	Genetical purity (%)	Shelling (%)
SAU Hybrid Vutta-1	94.00 c	99.15 b	67.36 e
SAU Hybrid Vutta-2	91.67 d	97.22 d	74.76 bc
Pioneer-3355	96.33 b	99.07 b	71.68 cd
Pacific-999	92.33 d	98.15 c	62.14 f
DK-Evarest	92.00 d	98.15 c	68.96 e
Nyx-7055	99.00 a	100.00 a	75.02 b
Pacific-339	94.00 c	98.15 c	74.92 bc
Pacific-224	90.00 e	100.00 a	71.91 cd
Arize super	92.67 d	100.00 a	70.52 d
A-0078	96.00 b	100.00 a	75.77 b
Kaveri-50	93.67 c	100.00 a	78.00 a
DK-971	93.33 c	98.15 c	74.99 bc
$LSD_{(0.05)}$	**0.75**	**0.37**	**2.13**
CV (%)	**2.84**	**2.94**	**5.39**

In a column, means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability



Figure 2.Cob length and cob length without husk of selected hybrid line and varieties of maize (LSD value = 0.27 and 0.18, respectively)

4.8 Cob length

Effect of variety on cob length with husk is shown in the figure 2. A statistically significant difference between varieties was revealed regarding cob length with husk (Figure 2). The maximum cob length (30.51 cm) was reported from Pioneer-3355 over Pacific-224, which showed the cob length of about 19.57 cm. Almost similar figure of cob length with husk was reported by Kebede and Anbasa (2017). Akter (2018) who reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of cob length over YANGNUO-3000. Hasan *et al.* (2018) was reported that the longest cob was observed in BARI hybrid maize 7. Enujeke (2013 c) carried out a study to evaluate the effects of variety and spacing on yield indices of Open-pollinated maize and the results obtained indicated that variety BR9922-DMRSF$_2$ was outstanding with cob length of 27.7 cm and 26.7 cm in 2008 and 2009, respectively. Bhuiyan (2012) carried out that BARI Hybrid Maize-5 performed better among the four varieties tested in the experiment.

4.9 Cob length without husk

Figure 2 shows the effect of varieties on cob length without husk. From the experiment, it was revealed that Pacific-999 is the best treatment giving 22.20 cm long cob. The lowest length of cob (15.24 cm) was recorded from Arize super. The result was similar with that of Olabode and Sangodele (2015). Tuncay*et al.*(2005) was reported that the heaviest unhusked cob was obtained from Multi 610 as the main crop and from GH 2447 as the second crop.

4.10 Unfilled area of cob

Maize variety exhibited a significant difference in respect of unfilled area of cob (Table 3). Among the varieties, Pioneer-3355 showed the maximum unfilled area of cob (5.54 cm) and DK-Evarest showed the minimum area (1.27 cm). DK-971 showed the second maximum unfilled area of cob (3.67 cm) whereas, Kaveri-50 showed the second minimum area (1.70 cm) which was statistically identical with Nyx-7055 (1.73 cm) and A-0078 (1.75 cm).

4.11 Cob circumference

Cob circumference showed positive significant difference at different maize varieties (Table 3). Due to different maize variety, the range of cob circumference was found 11.97 cm to 17.73 cm. The highest cob circumference (17.73 cm) was recorded in DK-971, while the lowest ones (11.97 cm) was recorded in Arize super. Hasan *et al.* (2018) and Bhuiyan (2012) who reported that the maximum circumference of cob was observed in BARI hybrid maize 7.

Table 3. Unfilled area of cob (cm) and cob circumference (cm) of selected hybrid maizegenotypes

Variety	Unfilled area of cob (cm)	Cob circumference (cm)
SAU Hybrid Vutta-1	2.68 e	13.19 e
SAU Hybrid Vutta-2	2.55 f	12.93 f
Pioneer-3355	5.54 a	13.71 cd
Pacific-999	3.32 d	13.58 cd
DK-Evarest	1.27 i	13.41 de
Nyx-7055	1.73 h	13.80 c
Pacific-339	2.36 g	13.22 e
Pacific-224	3.42 c	13.42 de
Arize super	2.27 g	11.97 g
A-0078	1.75 h	13.51 cd
Kaveri-50	1.70 h	15.08 b
DK-971	3.67 b	17.73 a
$LSD_{(0.05)}$	0.09	0.31
CV (%)	8.51	6.62

In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability



Figure 3.Cob weight with husk, cob weight without husk and husk weight of selected maize genotypes (LSD value = 8.36, 6.82 and 2.51, respectively)

4.12 Cob weight with husk

Significant variation was recorded in case of full cob weight for different maize varieties (Figure 3). The full grain weight ranged from 117.78 g to 252.13 g due to different maize varieties. The height full cob weight (252.13 g) was recorded from Kaveri-50 variety. On the other hand, the lowest full cob weight (117.78 g) was recorded fromArize super variety. Esiyok*et al.* (2004) who reported that the greatest cob weights were recorded for GH 2547 and ACX 232 under Menemen conditions.

4.13 Cob weight without husk

Maize variety exhibited a significant difference in respect of cob weight without husk (Figure 3). Among the varieties, Kaveri-50 showed the maximum cob weight (222.69 g) whereas, Arize super showed the minimum cob weight (96.36 g). Akter (2018) who reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of weight of grains cob^{-1} over YANGNUO-3000.

4.14 Husk weight

Maize variety exhibited a significant difference in respect of husk weight (Figure 3). Among the varieties, DK-Evarest showed the maximum husk weight (32.77 g) and A-0078 line showed the minimum husk weight (16.81 g) which was statistically identical with Pacific-339 (16.87 g), SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 (17.83 g) and statistically similar with DK-971 (19.07 g), Pacific-224 (19.10 g).

4.15 Number of rows cob^{-1}

Maize variety exhibited significant difference in respect of the no. of rows cob^{-1} (Table 4). Among the varieties, Nyx-7055 maize variety showed the maximum no. of rows cob^{-1} (16.00). On the other hand, Arize super maize variety showed the minimum no. of rows cob^{-1} (10.67). This is similar to the findings of Asghar*et al*. (2010) who found that the varieties did not differ significantly for number of rows cob^{-1}. Akter (2018) who reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of number of grain row cob^{-1} over YANGNUO-3000. Islam (2015) conducted that KS-510 and PSC-121 both showed the similar no. of grain rows cob^{-1}.

4.16 Number of grains row $^{-1}$

Maize variety exhibited significant difference in respect of the no. of grains row^{-1} (Table 4). Among the varieties, Nyx-7055 showed the minimum no. of grains row^{-1} (35.33) whereas, Arize super showed the maximum no. of grains row^{-1} (19.33). This finding was similar to the findings of Mukhtar *et al*. (2011) and Asghar*et al*. (2010). However, Enujeke (2013) and Athar *et al.* (2012) found the different findings who found that the maize variety exhibited non-significant difference in respect of the no. of grains row^{-1}.

Table 4.Number of rows cob^{-1}, number of grains row^{-1}, 100-seed weight (g) and total dry matter (%) of selected hybrid line and maize varieties

Variety	No. of rows cob^{-1}	No. of grains row^{-1}	100-seed weight (g)	Total dry matter (%)
SAU Hybrid Vutta-1	12.67 f	26.00 f	34.77 ef	84.50 bc
SAU Hybrid Vutta-2	13.00 e	28.67 d	31.67 g	85.00 b
Pioneer-3355	14.00 cd	27.33 e	36.33 d	84.67 bc
Pacific-999	13.67 de	27.33 e	41.90 a	83.63 cd
DK-Evarest	14.00 cd	30.00 c	33.77 ef	83.00 d
Nyx-7055	16.00 a	35.33 a	39.47 b	81.83 e
Pacific-339	13.33 e	27.33 e	37.77 c	85.17 b
Pacific-224	13.67 de	26.00 f	33.10 f	84.30 bcd
Arize super	10.67 g	19.33 g	35.00 e	84.83 bc
A-0078	14.00 cd	28.67 d	33.23 f	84.37 bc
Kaveri-50	14.33 c	31.67 b	38.43 bc	86.50 a
DK-971	15.00 b	29.67 c	34.00 ef	84.83 bc
$LSD_{(0.05)}$	**0.45**	**0.78**	**1.26**	**1.31**
CV (%)	**5.37**	**6.23**	**7.34**	**5.34**

In a column, means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

4.17 100-seed weight

100-seed weight in maize has a direct impact on the crop yield (Table 4). A statistically significant difference between varieties was found regarding the weight of grains per cob. Among the varieties, the maximum 100-seed weight (41.90 g) was found from pacific-999 variety. SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 Variety showed the minimum 100-seed weight (31.67 g). The result was in line with that of Akbar *et al.* (2016). Mukhtar *et al*. (2011) and Asghar*et al*. (2010) found the different findings as they found that the varieties did not show any difference in producing 100-grain weight. Akter (2018) who reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of 100 seed weight over YANGNUO-3000. Hasan *et al.* (2018) conducted that the highest 100-grain weight was observed in BARI hybrid maize 7. Ullah*et al*. (2016) was reported that the lowest 100-seed weight was recorded from Yangnuo-7 (24.33 g, other varieties showed 31.83–34.67 g).

4.18 Total dry matter

Maize variety exhibited significant difference on total dry matter (%) (Table 4). Among the varieties, Kaveri-50 showed the highest total dry matter (86.50 %). On the other hand, Nyx-7055 showed the lowest total dry matter (81.83 %). This is similar to the findings of Asaduzzaman*et al.* (2014) who reported that hybrid maize varieties produced the higher dry matter than others did.Islam (2015) who reported that KS-510 showed the highest dry weight plant^{-1} and PSC-121 showed the lowest dry weight plant^{-1}. Asaduzzaman*et al.* (2014) reported that Hybrid baby corn-271 produced the highest dry matter plant^{-1} whereas the Khoibhutta had the lowest dry matter accumulation plant^{-1}.

4.19 Grain yield

Maize variety exhibited significant difference in respect of grain yield (Table 5). Among the varieties, Nyx-7055 showed the highest grain yield (13.96 t ha^{-1}). On the other hand, Arize super showed the lowest grain yield (9.04 t ha^{-1}).

This finding was at par with that of Akbar *et al* (2016) and Nazreen*et al.* (2018). Other varieties and lines were showed intermediate result. Akter (2018) who reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of grain yield over YANGNUO-3000. Hasan *et al.* (2018) observed that the maximum grain yield was observed from BARI hybrid maize-7. Khan *et al.* (2016) was reported that among three hybrid maize varieties, grain yield was maximum in maize hybrid P-3025. Ishaq*et al.* (2015) conducted that the highest values for grain yield was recorded for Jalal-2003. Rahaman (2015) who reported that the maximum grain yield was recorded in the genotype of DEKALB-9120, whereas the minimum grain yield was from the genotype of BHM-7.

4.20 Stover yield

Table 5 represents the effect of variety on stover yield. In case of stover yield, a significant difference among the varieties was found (Table 5).Nyx-7055 maize variety showed the highest stover yield (15.98 t ha^{-1}), whereas Arize super showed the lowest stover yield (10.20 t ha^{-1}). Nizamuddin*et al.* (2010) who reported that the effect of cultivars on stalk yield significantly differed and check variety produced the lowest stalk yield. Msarmo and Mhango (2005) reported that variety DK8031 showed the highest biomass yield followed by local maize and then Masika.

4.21 Biological yield

Maize variety exhibited significant difference in respect of biological yield (Table 5). Among the varieties and lines, Nyx-7055 showed the highest biological yield (29.94 t ha^{-1}) and Arize super maize variety showed the lowest biological yield (19.24 t ha^{-1}). Asghar*et al.* (2010) found the different findings as the varieties did not show any difference in producing the biological yield.Mannan (2018) who reported that PSC-121 showed the superior performance in terms of biological yield over YANGNUO-3000.

Table 5.Grain yield (t ha^{-1}), stoveryield (t ha^{-1}), biological yield (t ha^{-1}) and harvest index (%) of selected hybrid line and maize varieties

Variety	Grain yield (t ha^{-1})	Stover yield (t ha^{-1})	Biological yield (t ha^{-1})	Harvest index (%)
SAU Hybrid Vutta-1	10.43 f	11.87 g	22.30 i	46.77 c
SAU Hybrid Vutta-2	11.46 e	12.70 f	24.16 h	47.22 ab
Pioneer-3355	11.88 d	13.41 d	25.29 f	46.98 bc
Pacific-999	12.46 c	13.98 c	26.44 c	47.13 b
DK-Evarest	11.38 e	12.65 f	24.03 h	47.36 a
Nyx-7055	13.96 a	15.98 a	29.94 a	46.63 c
Pacific-339	12.30 c	13.98 c	26.28 d	46.80 c
Pacific-224	10.15 g	11.29 h	21.44 j	47.34 a
Arize super	9.04 h	10.20 i	19.24 k	46.99 bc
A-0078	11.49 e	13.09 e	24.58 g	46.75 c
Kaveri-50	13.08 b	15.17 b	28.25 b	46.30 d
DK-971	11.99 d	13.86 c	25.85 e	46.38 d
$LSD_{(0.05)}$	**0.25**	**0.13**	**0.14**	**0.17**
CV (%)	**8.59**	**6.39**	**5.47**	**7.24**

In a column, means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

4.22 Harvest index

Effect of variety on harvest index is shown in the Table 5. The experiment revealed that there was significant statistical difference between varieties regarding harvest index (Table 5). DK-Evarest maize variety showed the maximum harvest index (47.36 %) which was statistically identical with Pacific-224 (47.34 %) and statistically identical with SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 (47.22 %). On the other hand, Kaveri-50 maize variety showed the minimum harvest index (46.30 %) which was statistically identical with DK-971 (46.38 %). This is similar to the findings of Asghar*et al*. (2010) who found that the varieties did not show any difference in producing harvest index. This finding was at par with that of Mannan (2018) who also reported the maximum harvest index from PSC-121 (V2). Islam (2015) who reported that KS-510 showed the lowest harvest index whereas PSC-121 showed the highest harvest index. Asghar*et al.* (2010) reported that the varieties Golden (34.19 %) and Sultan (33.75 %) did not show any differences for harvest index.

Table 6: Correlation among different parameters of maize plant

Germination (%)	Plant height	80% Cob formation	80% flowering	80% germination	
−0.427	−0.064	0.863	0.569	1.000	**80% germination**
−0.724	−0.471	0.714	1.000		**80% flowering**
−0.326	−0.035	1.000			**80% Cob formation**
0.533	1.000				**Plant height**
1.000					**Germination**
					Genetic purity
					Shelling
					Cob length
					Cob length without husk
					Unfilled area of cob
					Cob circumference
					Cob wt. with husk
					Cob weight without husk
					Husk weight (kg)
					No. of rows cob^{-1}
					No. of grains row^{-1}
					100−seed weight
					Total dry matter
					Grain yield
					Stover yield
					Biological yield
					Harvest Index

Unfilled area of cob (cm)	Cob length without husk (cm)	Cob length (cm)	Shelling (%)	Genetic purity (%)	
0.153	0.361	0.078	−0.487	−0.237	**80% germination**
0.303	0.240	0.240	−0.704	−0.556	**80% flowering**
0.235	0.454	0.418	−0.613	−0.307	**80% Cob formation**
−0.456	0.097	−0.101	−0.048	0.336	**Plant height**
−0.020	0.236	0.324	0.314	0.350	**Germination**
−0.156	−0.392	−0.296	0.236	1.000	**Genetic purity**
−0.228	−0.516	−0.099	1.000		**Shelling**
0.189	0.508	1.000			**Cob length**
0.486	1.000				**Cob length without husk**
1.000					**Unfilled area of cob**
					Cob circumference
					Cob wt. with husk
					Cob weight without husk
					Husk weight (kg)
					No. of rows cob^{-1}
					No. of grains row^{-1}
					100−seed weight
					Total dry matter
					Grain yield
					Stover yield
					Biological yield
					Harvest Index

No. of rows cob^{-1}	Husk weight (kg)	Cob weight without husk (gm)	Cob wt. with husk	Cob circumference (cm)	
−0.248	−0.004	0.034	0.047	−0.105	**80% germination**
−0.538	0.389	−0.153	−0.066	−0.249	**80% flowering**
−0.238	0.245	0.285	0.331	−0.110	**80% Cob formation**
0.317	0.285	0.285	0.297	−0.108	**Plant height**
0.491	−0.226	0.410	0.346	0.086	**Germination**
0.018	−0.254	−0.143	−0.173	−0.133	**Genetic purity**
0.338	−0.440	0.110	0.007	0.335	**Shelling**
0.185	0.234	0.505	0.522	0.132	**Cob length**
0.442	0.286	0.355	0.411	0.261	**Cob length without husk**
0.003	−0.153	−0.074	−0.053	0.208	**Unfilled area of cob**
0.624	−0.017	0.366	0.346	1.000	**Cob circumference**
0.644	0.364	0.987	1.000		**Cob wt. with husk**
0.641	0.223	1.000			**Cob weight without husk**
0.204	1.000				**Husk weight (kg)**
1.000					**No. of rows cob^{-1}**
					No. of grains row^{-1}
					100−seed weight
					Total dry matter
					Grain yield
					Stover yield
					Biological yield
					Harvest Index

Stover yield (ton/ ha)	Grain yield (ton/ha)	Total dry matter (%)	100−seed weight (g)	No. of grains row^{-1}	
−0.206	−0.175	0.176	0.080	−0.315	**80% germination**
−0.486	−0.433	0.203	−0.068	−0.514	**80% flowering**
−0.057	−0.026	0.232	0.328	−0.248	**80% Cob formation**
0.335	0.322	−0.466	0.370	0.308	**Plant height**
0.601	0.571	−0.348	0.372	0.490	**Germination**
−0.056	−0.113	−0.029	0.115	−0.060	**Genetic purity**
0.352	0.308	0.364	−0.283	0.403	**Shelling**
0.372	0.384	0.102	0.180	0.323	**Cob length**
0.322	0.362	−0.438	0.281	0.312	**Cob length without husk**
−0.112	−0.104	0.167	0.027	−0.255	**Unfilled area of cob**
0.485	0.433	0.180	0.042	0.472	**Cob circumference**
0.869	0.885	−0.124	0.739	0.701	**Cob wt. with husk**
0.908	0.916	−0.072	0.745	0.703	**Cob weight without husk**
0.039	0.088	−0.385	0.070	0.238	**Husk weight (kg)**
0.826	0.829	−0.388	0.278	0.923	**No. of rows cob^{-1}**
0.872	0.882	−0.342	0.264	1.000	**No. of grains row^{-1}**
0.615	0.611	−0.191	1.000		**100−seed weight**
−0.167	−0.216	1.000			**Total dry matter**
0.994	1.000				**Grain yield**
1.000					**Stover yield**
					Biological yield
					Harvest Index

	80% germination	80% flowering	80% Cob formation	Plant height	Germination	Genetic purity	Shelling	Cob length	Cob length without husk	Unfilled area of cob	Cob circumference	Cob wt. with husk	Cob weight without husk	Husk weight (kg)	No. of rows cob^{-1}	No. of grains row^{-1}	100–seed weight	Total dry matter	Grain yield	Stover yield	Biological yield	Harvest Index
Biological yield (ton/ha)	–0.192	–0.462	–0.043	0.329	0.589	–0.082	0.332	0.378	0.341	–0.108	0.462	0.878	0.913	0.061	0.829	0.878	0.614	–0.189	0.998	0.999	1.000	
Harvest Index %	0.319	0.616	0.246	–0.186	–0.529	–0.295	–0.545	–0.179	0.107	0.107	–0.639	–0.315	–0.405	0.414	–0.384	–0.381	–0.291	–0.334	–0.478	–0.571	–0.530	1.000

From the Table 6 above, it can be seen that 80% cob formation had strong and positive relationship with 80% germination (0.863), cob weight with husk and cob weight without husk (0.987), No. of rows cob^{-1} and No. of grains row^{-1} (0.923). Grain yield had strong and positive correlation with cob weight with husk (0.885), cob weight without husk (0.916), No. of rows cob^{-1} (0.829)and No. of grains row^{-1} (0.882). Stover yield showed positive correlation with cob weight without husk (0.908) and No. of grains row^{-1} (0.872). Biological yield showed strong correlation with cob weight without husk (0.913).



Figure 4. Relationship between the grain yield (t ha^{-1})and Cob wt. with husk (g) of maize at harvest

Regression analysis revealed that, increase in cob weight with husk was correlated with corresponding increase in grain yield of maize. The R-squared for the regression model is 0.7839, which means 78% of the variance in the response variable can be explained by the explanatory variables. The remaining 22% can be attributed to unknown, lurking variables or inherent variability.



Figure 5. Relationship between Cob weight without husk (gm) and 100 seed weight of maize at harvest

Increase in 100-seeds weight was correlated with corresponding increase in cob weight without husk of maize. The R-squared for the regression model is 0.5543, means 55% of the variance in the response variable can be explained by the explanatory variables. The remaining 45% can be attributed to unknown, lurking variables or inherent variability.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The experiment was conducted at the farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from April to July, 2019 in Kharif I season to study the evaluation of genetic purity, growth and yield performance of maize (*Zea mays*). The experimental field belongs to the Agro-ecological zone (AEZ) of "The Modhupur Tract", AEZ-28. The soil of the experimental field belongs to the General soil type, Shallow Red Brown Terrace Soils under Tejgaon soil series.

The planting materials wereeleven (11) maize varieties and one (1) maize line. It was the ten (10) yellow maize and two (2) white maize. The SAU hybrid Vutta- 1 and SAU hybrid Vutta- 2 were collected from the Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh. One (1) maize line*viz.* A-0078 was collected from Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University. Nyx-7055 maize variety was collected from the Krishibid seed (Co.) Ltd. DK-Evarest and DK-971 maize variety were collected from the BRAC Seed (Co.) Ltd. Pioneer-3355, Pacific-339, Pacific-224, Pacific-999 and Kaveri 50 maize variety were collected from the ACI Seed Co. Ltd. Arize super maize variety were collected from the Nilshagor Seed Co. (Pvt.) Ltd.

01April, 2019 was planting date and 07July, 2019 was harvesting date. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) single factor with three replications. Total 36 unit pots will be made for the experiment with 12 treatments. The size of each unit plot was 4.50 m^2(2.50 m × 1.80 m). Vermi-compostwas used @ 2 t ha^{-1} before final land preparation. The field was fertilized with nitrogen, phosphate,potash, sulphur, zinc and boron at the rate of 500-250-200-250-15-5 kg ha^{-1} ofurea, triple super phosphate, muriate of potash, gypsum, zinc sulphate andboric acid, respectively.

Data were collected on the following parameters-days to 80% germination (DAS), 80% flowering (DAS) and 80% cob formation (DAS), plant height (cm), germination (%), genetic purity (%), total dry matter (%), cob weight (g), husk weight (g), cob weight without husk (g), cob length (cm), cob length without husk (cm), unfilled area of cob (cm), cob circumference (cm), rows cob^{-1} (no.), grains row^{-1} (no.), Shelling (%), 100-grain weight (g), grain yield (t ha^{-1}), stover yield (t ha^{-1}), biological yield (t ha^{-1}) and harvest index (%). The collected data were analysed by computer package program MSTAT-C software. The significant differences among the treatment means were compared by Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 5% levels of probability.

Different hybrids maize varieties and maize line showed significant results for the days to 80% germination, 80% flowering and 80% cob formation, plant height, germination, genetic purity, total dry matter, cob weight, husk weight, cob weight without husk, cob length, cob length without husk, unfilled area of cob, number of rows cob^{-1}, number of grains row^{-1}, cob circumference, shelling, 100 grains weight, grain yield, stover yield, biological yield and harvest index for harvesting stages.

Pacific-999 took the maximum days for 80% germination, 80% flowering and 80% cob formation (6.67, 54.00 and 72.00 days, respectively) of maize whereas, the minimum days (4.00, 49.00 and 59.00 days, respectively) was taken by Nyx-7055. A-0078 maize line showed the tallest plant (78.15, 106.53 and 234.67 cm at 30, 45 DAS and harvest, respectively), whereas Pacific-999 showed the shortest plant (58.80 cm at 30 DAS) and SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the shortest plant (77.77 and 192.00 cm at 45 DAS and harvest, respectively). Nyx-7055 took the maximum (99.00 %) for germination and the minimum ones (90.00 %) was taken by Pacific-224. Nyxon-7099 showed the highest genetic purity (100.00 %) whereas, SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 showed the lowest genetic purity (97.22%) which is above standard level. The highest shelling (78.00%) was recorded in kaveri-50 variety and lowest (62.14%) was recorded in pacific-999 variety. The maximum cob length (30.51 cm) was

reported from Pioneer-3355. The lowest length of cob (15.24 cm) was recorded from Arize super. Pioneer-3355 showed the maximum unfilled area of cob (5.54 cm) and DK-Evarest showed the minimum area (1.27 cm). The highest cob circumference (17.73 cm) was recorded in DK-971 while the lowest ones (11.97 cm) was recorded in Arize super. The height full cob weight (252.13 g) was recorded from Kaveri-50 variety whereas, the lowest ones (117.78 g) was recorded fromArize super variety. Kaveri-50 showed the maximum cob weight (222.69 g), whereas Arize super showed the minimum cob weight (96.36 g). DK-Evarest showed the maximum husk weight (32.77 g) and A-0078 line showed the minimum husk weight (16.81 g). Nyx-7055 maize variety showed the maximum no. of rows cob^{-1} and no. of grains row^{-1} (16.00 and 35.33, respectively) whereas, Arize super maize variety showed the minimum values (10.67 and 19.33, respectively). The maximum 100-seed weight (41.90 g) was found from Pacific-999variety and SAU Hybrid Vutta-2 variety showed the minimum 100-seed weight (31.67 g). Among the varieties, Kaveri-50 showed the highest total dry matter (86.50 %) and Nyx-7055 showed the lowest total dry matter (81.83 %). Among the varieties, Nyx-7055 showed the highest grain yield, stover yield and biological yield (13.96, 15.98 and 29.94 t ha^{-1}, respectively). On the other hand, Arize super showed the lowest grain yield, stover yield and biological yield (9.04, 10.20 and 19.24 t ha^{-1}, respectively). DK-Evarest maize variety showed the maximum harvest index (47.36 %) whereas, Kaveri-50 maize variety showed the minimum harvest index (46.30 %). The results obtained from all other treatments showed intermediate results compared to the highest and the lowest value of all growth and yield parameters.

Conclusion

Among the 12 maize varieties, Nyx-7055 performed better in respect of grain yield (13.96 t ha^{-1}), stover yield (15.98 t ha^{-1}) and biological yield (29.94 t ha^{-1}) compared to other hybrid varieties.

Recommendations

The present experiment was conducted only one season even in a single location. So, it is difficult to recommend this finding without further study. By considering the results of the present experiment, further studies in the following areas are suggested below:

1. Studies of similar nature could be carried out in different Agro Ecological Zones (AEZ) in different seasons of Bangladesh for the evaluation of zonal adaptability.
2. In this study, minimum four varieties and lines of yellow, white maize and baby corn was used to get accurate result.

REFERENCES

Ahamed, K. U. (2010). Efficacy of indigenous mulches on maize-pulse association. A. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Crop Botany, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.1–202.

Ahmad, M., Mian, M. A. and Chowdhury, M. A. (2011). Correlation study in maize. *J. Agric. Res*. **16**: 399–405.

Ahmed, M., Khaliq, A., Ahmad, R. and Ranjha, A. M. (2010). Allometery and productivity of autumn planted maize hybrids under narrow row spacing. *Intl. J. Agril. Biol.* **12**(5): 661–667.

Ahmmed, M. B. (2009). Influence of phosphorus fertilization on Different hybrid maize varieties. M. S. Thesis, Department of Soil Science, Sher-e Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Akbar, M. A., Siddique, M. A., Marma, M. S. and Ullah, J. M. (2016). Planting arrangement, population density and fertilizer application rate for white maize production in Bandarban Valley. *Agric. For. Fish.* **5**(6): 215.

Akter, M. S. (2018). Influence of weeding on the performance of white maize varieties. M. S. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Ali, M. A., Sarwar, A. K. M. G. and Prodhan, A. K. M. A. (1999). Effect of water stresses on growth features of different maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars. *Pak. J. Bot.* **31**(2): 455–460.

Ali, M. Y, Waddington, S. R, Timsina, J. D. Hodson, D.and Dixon, J. (2010). Maize-rice cropping systems in Bangladesh: Status and research needs. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol*. **3**(6): 35–53.

Aliu. S., Fetahu, S. and Rozman, L. (2010). Variation of physiological traits and yield components of some maize hybrid (*Zea mays* L.) in agro ecological conditions of *Kosovo. ActaAgriculturae Slovenia.* **95**(1):35–41.

Arellano Vazquez, J. L., Gamez Vazquez, A. J. and Avila Perche, M. A. (2010). Agronomic potential of Cacahuacintle maize landraces at Toluca Valley. *RevistaFitotecnia Mexicana*. **33**(4): 37–41.

Asaduzzaman, M., Biswas, M., Islam, M. N., Rahman, M. M., Begum, R., Sarkar, M. A. R. and Asaduzzaman, M. (2014). Variety and N-Fertilizer Rate Influence the Growth, Yield and Yield Parameters of Baby Corn (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Agril. Sci.* **6**(3): 118–131.

Asghar, A., Ali, A., Syed, W. H., Asif, M., Khaliq, T. and Abid, A. A. (2010). Growth and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Cultivars Affected by NPK Application in Different Proportion. *Pakistan J. Sci.* **62**(4):211–216.

Athar, M., Bashir, N., Malik, S. A. S., Hassan, M. and Athar, H. R. (2012). Influence of urea application on growth, yield and mineral uptake in two corn (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars. *African J. Biotechnol.* **11**(46): 10494–10503.

Aziz, M. A., Rahman, A. K. M. M., Chakma, R., Ahamed, M. and Ahsan, A. F. M. S. (2011). Performance of BARI hybrid maize varieties in the hilly areas of Bangladesh. *J. Expt. Biosci.***2**(2): 25–28.

BARI (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute). (2014). 'KrishiProjuktiHathboi'. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur,Gazipur. p. 54.

BARI, (1990). A Decade of agronomic research (1978–88). Div. Agron. Bangladesh Agril. Res. Inst., Gazipur. pp. 29–34.

BARI. (1987). Annual Report. Plant Breeding Division, Maize Section. Bangladesh Agril. Res. Inst. Joydebpur. Gazipur.

BBS. (2014). Annual Agricultural Statistics 2013–14. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistic Division, Ministry of Planning, Government People ’s Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, p. 37.

BBS. (2016). Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Yearbook of agricultural statistics 2015. Ministry of Planning, Government of Bangladesh, Dhaka.

Begum, S. N. and Roy, A. K. (1987). Proc. 12"‘Bangladesh Sci. Conf. Sec. l. p. 58.

Bhuiyan, M. S. (2012). Agronomic and genetic performance of hybrid Maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties influenced by three levels of irrigation. M. S. Thesis, Department of genetics and plant breeding, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Bozokalfa, M. K., Esiyok, D. and Ugur, A. (2004). Determination of yield, quality and plant characteristic of some sweetcorn (*Zea mays* L. var. *saccharata*)varieties as main and second crops in Ege Region. *Ege Univ. ZiraatFakultesiDekanlg.* **41**(l): 11–19.

Dowswell, C.D., Paliwal, R. L. and Cantrell, R. P. (1996). Maize in the third world Boulder, Co, USA.

Efferson, K. J. (1982). Comparing farmers and breeders rankings in varietal selection for 1ow-input environments: a case study of rainfed rice in eastern India. *Euphytica*. **122**(3): 537–550.

Enujeke, E. C. (2013 c). Effects of variety and spacing on yield indices of open-pollinated maize in Asaba Area of Delta State. *Sus. Agric. Res.* **2**(4): 1–11.

Esiyok, D., Bozokalfa, M. K. and Ugur, A. (2004). Determination of yield, quality and some plant characteristic of some sweetcorn (*Zea mays* L. var. *saccharata*) varieties in different locations. *Ege Univ. ZiraatFakultesiDergisi.* Bornova Turkey. **41**(l): 1–9.

Evans, L.T. (1975). The physiological basis of crop yield. In: Crop Physiology. Camb. Univ. Press. Cambridge. pp. 327–355.

Fan, Y. S., Huang, Z. H. and Liu, J. Z. (2010). A comparative study of some corn varieties in Xincheng county of Guangxi. *Guangxi Agril. Sci.* **41**(8):780–782.

FAO-CIMMYT (1997). White maize, a traditional food grain in developing countries. Joint publication of the basic foodstuff service, FAO commodities and trade division, FAO, Rome and the Economics Program, International Wheat and Mize Improvement Centre, Mexico.

Fetahu, S. H., Aliu, S. and Kaçiu, S. (2005). Variability and genetic erosion of white landrace of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Kosova. Eucarpia, Proceedings of the XVII Eucarpia Genetic Resources Section Meeting. Plant Genetics Resources of Geographical and "other" Island. (Conservation, evaluation and use for plant breeding. pp. 265–269.

Frigeri. T., Hanashiro, R. K., Fiorentin, C. F. and Fornasieri, F. D. (2010). Agronomic performance of maize cultivars in normal season crop in Jaboticabal, State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. *RevistaAgriculturaPiracicaha.* **85**(2): 135–145.

Gomez, M. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and sons. New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto. pp. 97–129, 207–215.

Hasan, M. R., Rahman, M. R., Hasan, A. K., Paul, S. K. and Alam, A. H. M. J. (2018). Effect of variety and spacing on the yield performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in old Brahmaputra floodplain area of Bangladesh. *Arch. Agric. Environ. Sci.* **3**(3): 270–274.

Hoisington, D., Khairallah, M., Reeves, T. and Ribaut, J. M. (1999). Plant genetic resources: what can they contribute toward increased crop productivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **96**: 5937–5943.

Idris, M., Veeves, F. A. K. and Matin, M. A. (1979). Response of four exotic strains of *aman*rice to urea. *Bangladesh J. Agric. Assoc*. **118**: 48-61.

Iqbal, J. (2001). Exploiting potentials of maize in Bangladesh. National workshop on "Evolving Maize Industry Development in Bangladesh" held on 9 June. 2001 at BARC, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Ishaq, M., Rahman, H., Hassan, G., Iqbal, M., Khalil, I. A., Khan, S.A., Khan, S.A., Rafiullah, M. and Hussain, J. (2015). Genetic potential, variability and heritability of various morphological and yield traits among maize synthetics. *Elect. J. Bio.* **11**(4): 187–191.

Islam, A. K. M. A. and Mian, M. A. K. (2004). Comparative performance of ten hybrid Maize *(Zea mays* L.). *Bangladesh J. Plant. Breed Genet.* **17**(2): 09–14.

Islam, M. R. (2015). Growth and yield of white maize varieties under varying fertilizer doses. M. S. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Jaliya, A. M., Falaki, A. M., Mahmud, M. and Sani, Y. A. (2008). Effects of sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate on yield and yield components of quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). *ARPN J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* **2**: 23–29.

Kenny. S.T. (2005). Photosynthetic measurements in Hop (Humulus). *ActaHorticulturae*. **668***:* 241–248.

Khan, I. A., Hassan, G., Malik, N., Khan, R., Khan, H., and Khan, S. A. (2016). Effect of herbicides on yield and yield components of hybrid maize (*Zea mays*). *Planta Daninha*. **34**(4): 729–736.

Kossou, D.K., Mareck, J.H. and Bosque-Pérez N.A. (1993). Comparison of improved and local maize varieties in the Republic of Benin with emphasis on susceptibility to Sitophilus zeamaisMotschulsky. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* **29**(4): 333–343.

Lee, E. and Tollenbar, M. (2007). Physiological basis of successful breeding strategies for maize grain yield. *Crop Sci.* **47**(3): 202–215.

Li Rong Dan, Tang Xin Lian and Xu Huan Ming. (2010). Comparative analysis of newly introduced hybrid maize varieties. *Guangxi Agril. Sci.* **41**(8): 775–777.

Macharia, C. N., Njeru, C. M., Ombakho, G. A. and Shiluli, M. S. (2010). Comparative performance of advanced generations of maize hybrids with a local maize variety: Agronomic and financial implications for smallholder farmers. *J. Animal and Plant Sci*. **7**(2): 801–809.

Malik, H. N, Ara, I., Naeem, M., Hussain, M., Munawwar, M. H and Yousaf, M. (2010). Comparison of open pollinated varieties and newly developed hybrids for yield and yield contributing traits in maize. *Pakistan J. Agril. Res.* **23**(1/2): 37–41.

Malik, T., Khan, M. A., Abbas, S. J., Abbas, Z., Malik, M. and Malik, K. (2011). Genotypic and phenotypic relationship among maturity and yield traits in maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). *Intl. Res. J. Agril. Sci. Soil Sci.***1**(8): 339–343.

Mannan, M. A. (2018). Varietal performances of white maize as influenced by different level of herbicides. Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Matsuoka, Y., Vigouriux, Y., Goodman, M.M., Sanchez, G.J. Buckler, E. and Doebley, J. (2002). A single domestication for maize shown by multilocus microsatellite genotyping. Proceeding of the national academy of sciences.**99**: 6080–6084.

Mohiuddin, M. (2003). Efficiency and sustainability of maize cultivation in an area of Bangladesh. Department of Agricultural Economics, BAU, Mymensingh. pp. 1–7.

Moniruzzaman, M., Karim, R., Alam, Q. M. and Rahman. M. S. (2007). Technical efficiency of maize growers in some selected areas of Bangladesh. Project Report 2006–07. Economics division, BARI. Gazipur-1701. pp. 1–3.

Msarmo, K. R. and Mhango, W. G. (2005). Yield of maize as affected by fertilizer application practices. Bunda College of Agriculture, Crop Science Department, P.O. Box 219, Lilongwe. pp. 47–52.

Mukhtar, T., Arif, M., Hussain, S., Tariq, M. and Mehmood, K. (2011). Effect of Different Rates of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Maize. *J. Agric. Res*. **49**(3): 333–339.

Nizamuddin, Qasim, M. and Hussain. M. (2010). Comparative study of agronomic parameters in synthetic maize varieties. *J. Agril. Res.*, Lahore. **48**(1): 53–57.

Quayyum, M. A. and Haque. K. R. (1995). Agronomic management of maize cultivation in different agro-ecological zones of Bangladesh. Proc. National Maize Promotion Workshop. June 26–27, 1995. Dhaka, Bangladesh, pp. 17–31.

Rahaman, M. A. (2015). Genetic diversity, correlation and path co-efficient analysis for yield of commercial maize varieties (*Zea mays* L.). M. S. Thesis, Department of genetics and plant breeding, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Russell,O. F. (1986). MSTAT-C package programme. Crop and Soil Science Department, Michigan State University, USA.

Salillari, A., Hyso, M., Faslia, N and Rusinovci, I. (2007). ResursetGjenetike. *ESHFF*, .Tiranë. pp. 269–276.

Santos, R. D., Pereira, L. G. R., Neves, A. L. A., Azeve, J. A. G., Moracs, S. A. and Costa, C. T. F. (2010). Agronomic characteristics of maize varieties for silage production in the sub Medio Sao Francisco river valley. *Ada Scientiarwn Anim. Sci.* Maringa, Brazil. **32**(4): 367–373.

Shaw, R. H. (1988). Climate requirement. In: Sprague G.F., Dudly J.W eds. Corn and Corn Improvement. 3rd ed. Madism, WI: ASA p. 609.

Timsina, J., Jat, M. L.and Majumdar, K. (2010). Rice-maize systems of South Asia: current status, future prospects and research priorities for nutrient management. *Plant Soil*. **335**: 65–82.

Tuncay, O., Bozokalfa, M. K. and Esiyok, D. (2005). Determination of ear characteristic and technological properties of some sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. var. *saccharata*) varieties as main and second crop. *Ege Univ. ZiraatFakultesiDergisi*. Bornova, Turkey. **42**(1): 47–58.

Ullah, M. J., Islam, M. M., Fatema, K., Mahmud, M. S. and Rahman, J. (2017). Comparing modern varieties of white maize with land races in Bangladesh: Phenotypic traits and plant characters. *J. Expt. Biosci*. **8**(1): 27–40.

Ullah, M. J., Islam, M. M., Fatima, K., Mahmud, M. S. and Rahman, J. (2016). Evaluating yield and yield performance of transplanted white maize varieties under varying planting geometry. *J. Expt. Biosci*. **7**(2): 21–30.

APPENDICES

Appendix I. (A) Map showing the experimental sites under study



The experimental site under study

Appendix I (B). Map showing the general soil sites under study



Appendix II. Characteristics of Agronomy Farm soil is analysed by Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI), Khamarbari, Farmgate, Dhaka

A. Morphological characteristics of the experimental field

Morphological features	Characteristics
Location	Agronomy Farm, SAU, Dhaka
AEZ	Madhupur Tract (28)
General Soil Type	Shallow red brown terrace soil
Land type	High land
Soil series	Tejgaon
Topography	Fairly leveled
Flood level	Above flood level
Drainage	Well drained
Cropping Pattern	Potato-Aman rice-Maize

B. Physical properties of the initial soil

Characteristics	Value
%Sand	27
%Silt	43
%clay	30

C. Chemical properties of the initial soil

Characteristics	Value
Textural class	Silty-clay
pH	5.6
Organic carbon (%)	0.45
Organic matter (%)	0.78
Total N (%)	0.077
Available P (ppm)	20.00
Exchangeable K (mel 1 00 g soil)	0.10
Available S (ppm)	45

Source: Soil Resource Development Institute (SRDI), 2018.

Appendix III. Monthly average temperature, relative humidity and total rainfall of the experimental site during the period from November 2019 to April 2020

Month	Air temperature (^{0}C)		Relative Humidity (%)	Total rainfall (mm)
	Maximum	Minimum		
November, 2019	34.20	18.25	77	35
December, 2019	28.42	15.50	69	8
January, 2020	23.60	11.42	65	5
February, 2020	22.26	9.30	68	9
March, 2020	24.39	16.39	81	56
April, 2020	31.29	24.39	87	125

Source: Bangladesh Metrological Department (Climate and weather division) Agargaon, Dhaka.

Appendix IV. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data on the days to 80% germination, 80% flowering and 80% cob formation as influenced by hybrid maize varieties and maize line

Source of variation	Df	80% germination	80% flowering	80% cob formation
Replication	2	8.181	21.348	26.485
Variety	11	5.373*	45.132*	60.325*
Error	22	1.396	3.802	4.594

*Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability; df = Degrees of freedom

Appendix V. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data on the plant height at different days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest as influenced by hybrid maize varieties and maize line

Source of variation	df	Plant height			
		15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Harvest
Replication	2	7.809	6.057	12.835	9.273
Variety	11	22.255*	104.095*	197.382*	243.380*
Error	22	0.843	0.302	3.293	0.402

*Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability; df = Degrees of freedom

Appendix VI. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data on the germination %, genetic purity and shelling % as influenced by hybrid maize varieties and maize line

Source of variation	df	Germination %	Genetic purity	Shelling %
Replication	2	0.005	0.012	0.524
Variety	11	19.264*	24.620*	18.680*
Error	22	0.022	0.001	0.051

*Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability; df = Degrees of freedom

Appendix VII. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data on the cob length, cob length without husk, unfilled area of cob and cob circumference as influenced by hybrid maize varieties and maize line

Source of variation	df	Cob length	Cob length without husk	Unfilled area of cob	Cob circumference
Replication	2	0.362	0.017	0.005	0.834
Variety	11	7.458*	7.180*	10.067*	8.272*
Error	22	0.009	0.013	0.001	0.027

*Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability; df = Degrees of freedom

Appendix VIII. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data on the cob weight with husk, cob weight without husk, husk weight, number of grain rows $^{-1}$, number of grains row^{-1} and 100-seed weight as influenced by hybrid maize varieties and maize line

Source of variation	df	Cob weight with husk	Cob weight without husk	Husk weight	No. of rows cob^{-1}	No. of grains rows^{-1}	100-seed weight
Replication	2	0.002	0.037	0.509	0.030	0.214	0.282
Variety	11	36.721*	50.104*	0.038**	9.912*	721.926*	32.292*
Error	22	0.036	0.020	0.001	0.107	1.717	0.926

*Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability; df = Degrees of freedom

Appendix IX. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data on the total dry matter, grain yield, stover yield, biological yield and harvest index as influenced by hybrid maize varieties and maize line

Source of variation	df	Total dry matter	Grain yield	Stover yield	Biological yield	Harvest index
Replication	2	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.031	3.023
Variety	11	0.362**	0.166**	0.092**	113.866*	37.951*
Error	22	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.357	1.351

*Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability; df = Degrees of freedom

Photo 1. Cob of DK-971

Photo 2. Cob of A-0078



Photo 3. Cob of Kaveri-50

Photo 4. Cob of SAU Hybrid Vutta-1

Photo 5. Cob of SAU Hybrid Vutta-2

Photo 6. Cob of Pacific-224

Photo 7. Cob of Pioneer-3355

Photo 8. Cob of Pacific-999



Photo 9. Cob of DK-evarest

Photo 10. Cob of Nyx-7055

Photo 11. Cross section of Nyx-7055

Photo 12. Cob of Pacific-339

Photo 13. Cross section of Arize super