MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF DIFFERENT PUMPKIN (*Cucurbita moschata*) GENOTYPES

HABIB ABDUR RAHMAN

Registration no- 17-08211

HABIB ABDUR RAHMAN

Registration no- 17-08211

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE

SHER-E-BANGLA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, DHAKA-1207

June: 2018

MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF DIFFERENT PUMPKIN (*Cucurbita moschata*) GENOTYPES

By

HABIB ABDUR RAHMAN

REGISTRATION NO: 17-08211

A Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture,
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka,
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

HORTICULTURE

SEMESTER: JANUARY-JUNE 2018

Approved by

<table>
<tr><td>**Prof. Dr. Md. Nazrul Islam**</td><td>**Prof. Md. Hasanuzzaman Akand**</td></tr>
<tr><td>Department of Horticulture</td><td>Department of Horticulture</td></tr>
<tr><td>Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University,</td><td>Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University,</td></tr>
<tr><td>Dhaka, 1207</td><td>Dhaka, 1207</td></tr>
<tr><td>Supervisor</td><td>Co-Supervisor</td></tr>
</table>

Prof. Dr. Md. Humayun Kabir

Chairman

Examination Committee

Memo No: SAU/HORT/…………   Date: ……………..

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that thesis entitled, "**MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF DIFFERENT PUMPKIN (*Cucurbita moschata*) GENOTYPES** submitted to theFaculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE in **HORTICULTURE**, embodies the result of a piece of bona field research work carried out by **HABIB ABDUR RAHMAN,** Registration No: **17-08211,** under my supervision and guidance. No part of the thesis has been submitted for any other degree or diploma.

I further certify that such help or source of information, received during the course of this investigation has duly been acknowledged.

Dated: June , 2018   **Prof. Dr. Md. Nazrul Islam**

Dhaka, Bangladesh   Department of Horticulture

Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University,

Dhaka, 1207

Supervisor

DEDICATED TO
MY
BELOVED PARENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF DIFFERENT PUMPKIN (*Cucurbita moschata*) GENOTYPES

BY

HABIB ABDUR RAHMAN

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at the field of Shabgram village unumder Bogra district with 16 genotypes of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) during the period from 16 september 2017 to 16 September 2018. The genotypes were, G1 *BD 4587; G2 *BD 2203; G3 BD264; G4 BD 2214; G5 BD309; G6 BD 204; G7 BD249; G8 BD 245; G9 BD 9492; G10 BD 258; G10 BD 258; G11 BD 246; G12 BD 2236; G13 BD 2205; G14 BD 223; G15 BD 242; and G16 BD251. The experiment was laid out Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications and the genotypes were randomly assigned into the pits of each replications with the spacing of 3m X 3m. Number of male and female flowers, pedicel length of male and female flowers, length of pedicel, days to first flower, leaf breadth and length, fruit weight and yield per plant were studied. Considering yield contributing characters and yield genotype G14 (BD246), G6 (BD204), G12 BD2236) and G11 (BD246) were found better in compare to other genotypes. So these genotypes may be considered for future breeding program.

Abbreviations of Technical Symbols and Terms

FULL NAME	ABBREVIATION
Agro-Ecological Zone	AEZ
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute	BARI
Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics	BBS
Co-efficient of variation	CV
Days after sowing	DAS
Degrees of freedom	d.f
Food and Agriculture Organization	FAO
Genetic advance	GA
Genotypic co-efficient of variation	GCV
Genotypic variance	$\delta 2$
Heritability in broad sense	h^2b
Mean sum of square	MSS
Murate of potash	MP
Phenotypic co-efficient of variation	PCV
Phenotypic variance	$\delta 2$
Randomized complete block design	RCBD
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University	SAU
Standard error	SE
Square meter	m2
Triple super phosphate	TSP
Unites Nations Development Program	UNDP

LIST OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS (Continued)

CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duchesne ex Poir.) or sweet gourd is a very well-liked and familiar vegetable in Bangladesh. It is grown-up commonly from homestead to profitable field and marketed all over the country. It is very healthful due to high content of vitamin A and can play a crucial role in meeting the vegetable scarcity and nutritional crisis. *Cucurbita moschata* is medium-sized and broadly grown in the tropics and subtropics, its fruit color is mainly regularly dark green, light yellow or pale orange (Paris, 2010). Pumpkin grows well all over the total tropical and subtropical area of the world. It is well grown in Mediterranean countries, Turkey, Italy and Egypt which meet up one-third of world production of pumpkin (Paris, 1996).

The genus *Cucurbita* originates from Central and South America. The wild ancestor of *Cucurbita moschata* is still unidentified, but up to date research of the phylogenetic affiliations among uncultivated and cultivated *Cucurbita* taxa, mostly supported on DNA data, proposed that it will perhaps be found in low land northern South America. Archaeological verification for the organization of cultivated *Cucurbita* through man dates back to 5000 years BC. After the finding of the new world, the cultivated cucurbits were initiated into the old world (Grubben, 2004).

The cucurbitaceae family consists of about 120 genera and 800 species. Pumpkin belongs to the cucurbitaceae family. All species of *Cucurbita* have 20 pairs of chromosomes (Rhodes *et al.*1968). Pumpkin also include 20 pairs of chromosome (2n=40). There are male (staminate) and female (pistillate) flowers (unisexual flowers) on a single plant (monoecious), and these grow singly, emerging from the leaf axils. Flowers have five fused yellow to orange petals (the corolla) and a green bell-shaped calyx. Male flowers in cucurbitaceous generally have five stamens, but in *cucurbita* there are only three, and their anthers are joined together so that there appears to be one (Mabberley, 2008). Female flowers have thick pedicels, and an inferior ovary with 3–5 stigmas that each has two lobes (Luand Jeffery, 2015). The calyx of *Cucurbita moschata* male flowers is

relatively short (Saade *et al.,* 2013). Pumpkin is a store house of various anti-oxidant vitamins such as vitamin-A, vitamin-C and vitamin-E. It is affluent in nutritional filament, anti-oxidants, natural resources, vitamins. It is one of the extremely low calorie vegetables. 100 g fruit supplies presently 26 calories and holds no saturated fats or cholesterol. Every 100 gram edible portion of matured fruits is full of 1.4g protein, 100 mg calcium, 30 mg phosphorus, 50 micro gm beta carotene and 2g vitamin C. This vegetable is one of the food items suggested by dieticians in cholesterol controlling and weight decrease programs. It is also an exceptional source of a lot of ordinary polyphenolic flavonoid compounds such as, ß carotenes, cryptoxanthin, lute in and zea-xanthin. The fruit is a good supplier of B-complex group of vitamins like folates, niacin, vitamin B-6 (pyridoxine), thiamin and pantothenic acid. It is also affluent resources of minerals approximating copper, calcium, potassium and phosphorus. Pumpkin seeds certainly are a tremendous source of nutritional fiber and monounsaturated fatty acids, which are superior for heart health.

Pumpkins contain anti-diabetic, antioxidant, anti-carcinogenic, and inflammatory pharmacological properties (Yadav *et al* 2010). Pumpkin seeds have high levels of crude protein, calcium, iron, potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, zinc, (Mansour *et al* 1993) and beta-carotene.

Pumpkin can be utilized in mixture of luscious recipes either baked, stew- fried; however, it is eaten finest after steam-cooking in order to get utmost nutrients. In China, babyish tender pumpkin leaves eaten as cooked greens or in soups. In the Indian subcontinent where it is accepted as *"kadduorsitaphal"*, pumpkin is used in the preparation of "sabzee", sweet dishes (halwa), desserts, soups, curries etc. The fruit is used in the preparations of pies, pancakes, custard, and ravioli etc. In Europe and USA. Golden nugget pumpkins are used to make fantastic, filling, soups etc. Roasted Pumpkin seeds (Pepita) can be consumed as snacks.

The production rate of vegetable in Bangladesh is dreadfully low to convene the require of people but there is substantial potential of growing vegetables in Bangladesh. Farmers

who are connected in the production of vegetables regularly earn superior profits than those engaged in the growing of cereal crops alone (Weinberger and Lumpkin, 2005). Vegetables similar to eggplant, radish, cabbage, cauliflower, and pumpkin provide to return at least three times higher than rice (Ateng, 1998). Vegetable production like pumpkin is able to reasonable agribusiness for Bangladesh.

Pumpkins are an ideal crop for growing for the reason that they are tremendously nutritious, last for up to a year and fetch good money at market. It can be grown all year round. It has the best natural storability with all cucurbits. The well-developed fruits can be stored for 2 to 4 months (Yawalkar, 1985). In Bangladesh many pumpkin genotypes containing various distinctiveness are grown in different parts of the country.

Importance of Morphological Characterization: **Morphology** is a branch of biology dealing with the study of the form and structure of organisms and their specific structural features. **Characterization** is the description of plant germplasm. It determines the expression of highly heritable characters ranging from **morphological** or agronomical features to seed proteins or molecular markers.

Genotypes obtainable in the market do not have regularity or consistency in classification. Moreover, no information on morphological and agronomical characteristics is accessible which can be used as delineating and standardizing special accessions. Good information of genetic resources may facilitate in classifying attractive cultivars for marketable farming.

Therefore, the present research was beneath taken with the following objectives:
☐ To study the morphology of the different pumpkin varieties,
☐ To document the yield and yield contributing characters of different pumpkin varieties,
☐ To screen out suitable pumpkin varieties for future breeding program,
☐ To select promising pumpkin varieties considering high yield.

CHAPTER II
REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sweet gourd is a member of the family cucurbitaceae. It is an essential summer vegetables in this country. Sweet gourd is a yearly monoecious, climbing type herbaceous crop. Really a few works have been done for the progress of this crop in Bangladesh and other countries in the world. Though, research efforts on the genetic resources, diversity on genetic and molecular level, correlation, path co-efficient analysis, heritability and genetic advance seem to be insufficient. However, material obtainable in these features of sweet gourd and some other cucurbit crops have been studied and presented in this division.

2.1 Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance:

Banik (2003) conducted a field experiment to study the nature and extent of combining ability of parents and crosses and the mode of gene action in controlling the individual characters in 6×6 all diel including reciprocals in snake gourd. The significant mean sum of squares due to general and specific combining ability (GCA and SCA) for these characters indicated both additive and as well as non-additive type of gene actions were involved for the expression of these characters. The experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Salna, Gazipur, Bangladesh during May 2001 to September 2002.

Narayanankutty *et al.* (2006) estimated genetic parameters of 36 snake gourd (*Trichosanthes angeina*) genotypes indicated a good amount of genetic variation in the germplasm collections. Characters such as fruit yield, fruit weight and seeds per fruit exhibited high values of heritability and genetic gain indicating additive gene effects are important in determining these characters. The character association analysis revealed that yield was strongly correlated with fruit weight, fruits per plant, fruit girth, fruit length, days to first harvest, flesh thickness and days to first female flower opening. Fruit weight and fruits per plant have the maximum positive direct effects on yield and the

indirect contribution of other characters was mainly through days to first harvest, seeds per fruit and 100 seed weight.

Mathew and Khader (1999) conducted an experiment on genetic studies in snake gourd *Trichosanthes angeina* and observed the genetic variability and heritability of 12 traits in 34 *Trichosan thenanguina* in Kerela, India and reported that the genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were almost equal for all characters. The highest GCV and PCV were recorded for mean fruit weight, seed per fruit, fruit yield per plant and fruit length. High heritability was observed for mean fruit weight, seeds per fruit, fruit length, days to first male flower and fruit yield per plant.

Chowdhury and Sarma (2002) studied genetic variation, heritability, genetic advance, and correlation for yield and yield components (vine length, number of nodes, node on which the first flower appeared, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit girth, and fruit weight) were studied in 12 *Luffa acutangula* cultivars (AAUJ-1, AAUJ-2, AAUJ-3, Mangaldoi, Tezpeu, Tihu, Mirza Short, Rangamati Long, Borpeta Long, Tiniali Long, Pusa Nazder, and HRS C-2)grown in Gwuahati, Assam, India. The genetic coefficient of variation (GCV) was higher than the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for all characters. High values of heritability, PCV, GCV, and genetic advance were recorded for vine length, yield per hectare, and fruit weight, indicating that these traits were characterized by additive gene effects. The correlation coefficients revealed that yield per hectare can be improved through selection for greater fruit number per plant, fruit length and girth, and individual fruit weight.

Narayan *et al.* (1996) studied genetic variability, heritability in broad sense, genetic advance in 25 diverse populations of bottle gourd. Wide range of variation was observed in most of the characters. The high value of GCV and heritability estimates associated with greater genetic advance was observed for number of primary branches per plant and yield per plant indicated that these two characters had additive gene effect and, therefore, they are more reliable for effective selection.

Masud *et al.* (2006) conducted a field experiment with seven inbred lines and their twenty-one hybrids of bottle gourd. Result showed significant variation in seven characters of the twenty eight populations. Variability's were high in all seven characters indicating the possibilities of improvement through selection. Specific combining ability variance were significant for all characters while general combining estimates were significant for days to anthesis, fruit length, fruit diameter and yield per plant which indicated the presence of dominance for all the characters but additively is for only few characters. Parent-two showed good GCA for earliness and fruit length, Parent-five showed good GCA for fruit length only and parent-seven showed good GCA for fruit diameter and fruit yield per plant. The cross involving parent-three and parent five, which is the best for earliness, fruit length (53.5%) and; fruit yield per plant (106.8%).

Abusaleha and Dutta (1990) carried out a study with 65 genetic stocks to assess the genetic variation and heritability in ridge gourd. Significant variability was observed for all the characters at phenotypic as well as genotypic level with a very wide range of values.

Sharma *et al.* (2000) evaluated ten cucumber lines and testers under different environmental conditions and reported that day to first female flower, nodal position of fruits per plant, marketable yield per plant, fruit length and fruit diameter had wide range of variation.

Mangal *et al.* (1981) noticed that in bitter gourd significant variation for fruit length and diameter present and high heritability in bitter gourd for vine length.

Rumaran *et al.* (1997) conducted 30 pumpkin genotypes in a field trial and reported that genotypic co-efficient of variation was smaller than phenotypic coefficient of variation for most of the traits studied. However, GCV was high for mean fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, yield per plant and fruit, total soluble solids content. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance were observed for vine

length, mean fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, fruit yield per plant and total soluble solids content of fruits.

Naik *et al.* (2012) conducted a field experiment to study of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for fruit quality characters in Teasel gourd. Higher phenotypic coefficients of variation were observed for all the characters except fruit length at marketable stage. Total in mesocarp, total sugar inexocarp, reducing sugar in mesocarp, ascorbic acid in exocarp, ascorbic acid inmesocarp, total soluble solids (TSS) in excerpt, β-carotene in exocarp, acidity in mesocarp, β-carotene in mesocarp, TSS in mesocarp, acidity in exocarp showed high heritability coupled with high genetic advance indicating that these traits were under the additive gene control and simple selection can be used for further improvement in these traits of teasel gourd. The experiment was carried out at the research field of All India Coordinated Project on Vegetable Crops situated at C Block farm, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia during 2007 to 2008.

Rajkumar (2007) *et al.* conducted a field experiment in Tamil Nadu, India, from 2003 to 2005, to determine the genetic variation including the mean, genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV), heritability and genetic advance with 30 genotypes of snake gourd (*Trichosanthes angeina*). Significant differences among genotypes for all the characters were noted. All the characters exhibited less difference between GCV and PCV values. The characters flesh thickness, fruits per plant, days to fruit maturity and 100-seed weight showed equal GCV and PCV values indicating less influence of environment in their expression. The heritability estimate was high for all the characters except days to first female flower. The maximum heritability was observed for ascorbic acid content of the fruit, followed by the crude fiber content and nodes for first female flower. The genetic advance as a percentage of mean was high for fruits per plant and fruit length. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for fruits per plant and fruit length. They are governed by additive genes and could be effectively improved through selection.

Banik (2003) conducted an experiment on variability and genetic advance of 26 genotypes of snake gourd with respect of 15 quantitative yield contributing characters and found significant difference among the characters like vine length at harvest (2.197 to 3.87 m), number of primary branches (5.23 to11.88), days to first male flowering (41.67 to 68.67 days), days to first female flowering (48.67 to 71.33 days), node number of first male flower (6.33 to17.67 days), fruit length (20.67 to 71.17 cm), seeds per fruit (39.03 to 69.50).Bank also found that significant differences in first female flower, node number (mean value 19.28) and fruits per plant. The highest phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed for fruiting node on main vine, fruit yielder plant, fruit length and first male flower node. The PCV was lowest for days to maturity, 100 seed weight and days to first male flower opening. The GCV along with heritability was high for the above characters. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was noticed for fruit yield per plant (GCV and PCV 30.75 and 30.96; h_2b 98.64%), fruit length (GCV and PCV 29.92, and30.04; h2b 99.19%) and first female flower node number (GCV and PCV 25.87and 26.59; h2b 94.63%) and number of fruits per plant (GCV and PCV 19.82and 20.59; h2b 92.67%).

Dora *et al.* (2003) eleven pointed gourd (*T. dioica*) selections were assessed to estimate genetic variability and correlation for yield and its attributes. High genetic coefficient of variation (GCV) estimate was observed for the characters such as node at which first female flower appears, length of vine, number of nodes per plant, and number of fruits per plant. The heritability estimate was high for all the characters. The characters having high GCV also exhibited high genetic advance. Yield per plant had a significant positive correlation with number of fruits per plant, fruit set and fruit retention.

Quamruzzaman *et al.* (2009) studied heterosis in bottle gourd in a set of 13 F, with 26 parents. Results indicated highly significant differences for all the character among the materials studied. Heterosis was higher for yield per plant, number of fruits per plant and individual fruit weight, medium in fruit length and fruit diameter, and lower in days to 1st

harvest. Hybrids Fl10 x 17 and 19x 26 manifested highest heterocyst over mid parent (73.1%) and better parent (61.8%), respectively, for yield per plant.

Bharathi *et al.* (2006) assessed genetic variability for 10 characters (days to flowering, vine length, number of nodes on which first flower appears, internode length, fruit length, girth, weight and volume, number of fruits, and yield per plant) in 32 genotypes of spine gourd in Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes studied. Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) ranged from 15.26% for fruit girth to 34.28% for fruit weight, while genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) ranged from 14.38% for fruit girth to33.52% for fruit weight. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance were recorded for fruit weight, fruit volume and number of fruits per plant, indicating the preponderance of additive gene effects for these characters and their potential use in selection programmers to improve spine gourd productivity.

Rahman *et al.* (1991) reported that male flower were earlier than female flower in several genotypes of bottle gourd, ribbed gourd and sweet gourd. They reported significant variations for that character among the genotypes of bitter gourd, sweet gourd, ribbed gourd and bottle gourd. Significant variation for fruit length and diameter were also observed. They also reported that bitter gourd, sweet gourd, ribbed gourd and bottle gourd genotypes differed significantly for fruit breadth and weight per fruit.

Miah *et al.* (2000) studied 30 genotypes of bitter gourd and observed the highest genotypic as well as phenotypic co-efficient of variation were found for fruit length followed by days to female flowering, fruit yield per plant, fruit weight and nodes per vine.

Saha *et al.* (1992) studied the variability, character association and path analysis of pumpkin and reported that phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV). High genotypic variance and phenotypic

variance were found for fruit length (30.34and 3176), fruit weight (39.55 and 41.00) and low for fruit diameter (8.87 and10.23) among the pumpkin genotypes. They also reported high heritability estimate, for both length (91.27) and diameter (75.07) of fruits indicating effectiveness of selection based on good phenotypic performances in pumpkin.

Saha *et al.* (1992) studied the variability, character association and path analysis of pumpkin and reported that phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV). High genotypic variance and phenotypic variance were found for fruit length (30.34and 3176), fruit weight (39.55 and 41.00) and low for fruit diameter (8.87 and10.23) among the pumpkin genotypes. They also reported high heritability estimate, for both length (91.27) and diameter (75.07) of fruits indicating effectiveness of selection based on good phenotypic performances in pumpkin.

Mondal *et al.* (1989) studied the genetic variability of 31 water melon genotypes and observed a wide range of variability for days to first fruit harvest, fruit length, and fruit diameter, number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant.

2.1.1 Leaf Length (cm)

Asmaul Husna (2009) conducted an experiment with thirty one genotypes of bottle gourd in Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University. She found that the phenotypic variance (14.18) was appeared to be higher than the genotypic variance (14.14). The GCV (22.63) and PCV (22.67) were close to each other. Heritability (99.69%) estimates for this trait was very high, genetic advance (9.91) and genetic advance in percent of mean (59.65) were found moderately high indicating this trait was governed by the additive gene.

Ahamed *et al.* (2011) conducted an experiment to assess morphological and yield attributes of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) in northern area of Bangladesh during kharif season. The range of variability was distinct for leaf length ranged from 30.6-47.2 cm in different genotypes.

Gaffar (2008) conducted an experiment with fifteen genotypes of sponge gourd in Sher-e Bangla Agricultural University. He found that the genotypic and phenotypic variances of leaf length were 24.13 and 25.55, respectively. The GCV (20%) was slightly lower than PCV (20.5 8%). Heritability for this trait was 97% with moderate genetic advance (9.83) and genetic advance in percent of mean (40.03) was considerable for this trait indicating apparent variation was due to genotypes.

2.1.2 Leaf breadth

Asmaul Husna (2009) found GCV (22.87) was lower than PCV (23.04) for this character in bottle gourd. Gaffar (2008) observed GCV (20.94%) was slightly lower than the PCV (23.31%) heritability in broad sense was high (94%) with moderate genetic advance (7.81) for this character in sponge gourd.

2.1.3 Days to first flowering

Banik *et al.* (2003) found in his experiment the parent P4 was the best general combiner for fruits per plant, first male and female flower.

Quamruzzaman *et al.* (2009) conducted experiment the genetic diver genceamong thirty genotypes of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) at the farm of Olericulture Division, HRC and in different RARS, BAR] during the summer season of 2005. The genotype RGNO5, RGNO6, RGNO7, RGNO8, RGN 13,RGN 17, RGN 18, RGN27, RGN29 recorded highest cluster mean values for days to 1st male flower open (56.0 days) and single fruit weight (141.0 g) andRGNO3, RGN 12 lowest mean values for days to 1st female flower open (27.0days) and single fruit weight (85.0 g). The role of days to 1st male flower open, days to l female flower open, fruit diameter, single fruit weight and fruit number in PCA indicates their importance in genetic divergence.

Ahamed *et al.* (2011) found the range of first flowering among twenty genotypes of pumpkin was at 52.0-73.7 days.

Rajkumar (2007) *et al.* found significant differences among genotype for all the characters in snake gourd. The heritable estimate was high for all the characters except days to first female flower.

Suresh babu (1989) studied 50 genotypes of pumpkin and observed considerable variability for days to first male flower anthesis (41.0-73.0 days) and days to first female flower opening (41.0-84.5 days). Lowest PCV was observed for days to first male flower anthesis (13 .08).In Bitter gourd, Mannan (1992) recorded considerable variability among eight lines for days to first male flower (66.7-81.6 days) and female flower (72.80-85.67 days) opening. Ramchandran and Gopalkrishnan (1979) also reported significant variability among 25 diverse genotypes of bitter gourd.

2.1.4 Number of male and female flowers per plant

Akter *et al* (2013) conducted experiment the genetic divergence among thirty accessions of pumpkin at the Research Farm of the Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Salna, Gazipur, during the growing season 2011-12. High genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) 14 and high heritability coupled with high genetic advance in percent of mean were observed for beta-carotene followed by non-reducing sugar, number of male flowers per plant and number of female flowers per plant which indicated that these characters were under additive gene control and selection for geneticimprovement for these traits might be effective.

2.1.5 Pedicel length of flower (cm)

Rashid (1993) reported that in bottle gourd, male flower pedicel length is longer than female flower pedicel length.

2.1.6 Fruit length and breadth (cm)

Banik (2003) found high heritability coupled with high genetic advance for fruit length (GCV and PCV 29'.92, and 30.04; h2b 99.19%) in snake gourd.

Significant variation for fruit length and diameter were noticed in bitter gourd (Mangal *et al.,* 1981) sponge gourds (Arora *et at.,* 1983; Prosad and Singh, 1990), ribbed gourd and bottle gourd (Rahman *et al.,* 1991).

Saha *et al.* (1992) observed high GCV and PCV for fruit length (30.34 and31.76) and low for fruit diameter (8.87 and 10.23) in pumpkin. They estimated high h2b for both length (11.27 %) and diameter (75.07 %). They also found high genetic advance for fruit length (59.72) but low for fruit diameter (15.82).

Mathew and Khader (1999) recorded the highest GCV and PCV for fruit length in snake gourd.
Asmaul Husna (2009) found GCV (16.49) and PCV (17.50) in male flower and GCV (15.84) and PCV (17.39) in female flower of bottle gourd plant.

Rahman *et at.* (1991) indicated high GCV and PCV for both length (31.73 and33.75) and diameter (39.23 and 41.96) of fruits in bottle gourd. They also observed minimum difference between GCV and PCV. Characters having high GCV indicate high potentiality for effective selection (Burton and de Vane, 1953).

2.1.7 Fruit weight (Kg)

Mathew and Khader (1991) recorded the highest GCV and PCV were for mean fruit weight. They observed high heritability for mean fruit weight in snake gourd. High GCV and PCV were reported (39.55 and 41.00) by Saha *et al.* (1992) ;(30.2 and 36.4) by Doijode and Sullad math (1986) for fruit weight in pumpkin.

Mannan (1990) reported narrow difference between GCV and PCV for this trait in bitter gourd indicating less environmental influence on this character.

Prasad and Singh (1992) also obtained similar results for this trait in snake gourd and cucumber. On the other hand, low heritability (45.1%) and very high genetic advance (133.05) was recorded for this trait in ribbed gourd by thakur and Choudhury (1965).

Correlation studies revealed that highest significant association of yield per plant with reproductive characters number of fruit per plant followed by fruit weight at genotypic and phenotypic level. Path co-efficient analysis revealed maximum direct contribution towards yield per plant with of number of fruit per plant followed by fruit weight.

Rana *et al.* (1986) also obtained high value for this trait in pumpkin.

High h2 coupled with genetic advance for average fruit weight was noticed in pumpkin (82.9% and 49.6) by Doijode and Sulladmath (1986); (93.03% and 78.58) by Saha *et al.* (1992).

Vashistha *et al.* (1983) and Vijay (1987) noted low GCV and PCV for fruit weight in water melon (028and 0.41) and musk melon (0.01 and 0.02), respectively, whereas Mangal *et al.* (1981) found high value (291.89 and 318.47) in bitter gourd.

2.1.8 Number of fruits per plant

Mathew and Khader (1999) recorded the highest GCV and PCV were for fruit yield per plant and fruit length. High heritability was observed for fruit yield per plant in their experiment.

Rahman *et al.* (1991) noted the value of genotypic and phenotypic variances for number of fruits per vine per plant in bottle gourd (1.43 and 3.10), whereas Prasad and Singh (1989), Abusaleha and Dutta (1990), Mangal *et al.* (1981) reported the value in ribbed

gourd (202.26 and 475.98), muskmelon (1.71 and1.90), cucumber (1:15 and 1.24) and bitter gourd (9.02 and 10.45).

Akter *et al.* found that (2013) correlation co-efficient between yield per plant with number of fruits per plant and single fruit weight was positive and highly significant. Path coefficient analysis revealed that the maximum direct contribution towards yield was obtained through number of fruits per plant followed by days to first female flower and single fruit weight indicated that these traits should be considered as primary components of yield.

Banik (2003) also found that significant differences in fruits per plant. The highest phenotypic co-efficient of variation was observed for fruit yield per plant. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was noticed for number of fruits per plant (GCV and PCV 19.82 and 20.59; h2b 92.67%).

2.1.9 Yield per plant (kg)

Banik (2003) also found that significant differences in fruits per plant. The highest phenotypic co-efficient of variation was observed for fruiting node on main vine, fruit yield per plant, fruit length and first male flower node. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was noticed for fruit yield per plant (GCV and PCV 30.75 and 30.96; h2b 98.64%).

Mangal *et al.* (1981) found high value (47759.63and 55149.80) in bitter gourd while, low GCV and PCV were recorded for this character in water melon (0.44 and 1.15) and musk melon (0.04 and 0.07) by Vashistha *et al.* (1983) and Vijay (1987).

High associated with high genetic advance for yield per plant was reported by Saha *et al.* (1992).

Husna *et al.* (2011) studied variability, correlation and path analysis among different characters of thirty one bottle gourd genotype. High genotypic coefficient of variation

(GCV) was observed for yield per plant, fruit weight whereas low genotypic co-efficient of variation was observed fruit breadth. Path co-efficient analysis resulted maximum direct contribution towards yield per plant with number of fruit per plant followed by fruit weight.

The variation for yield per plant was recorded in bottle gourd (Rahman *et at.* 1991), water melon (Chezhiyan, 1984), musk melon (Swamy*et al.,* 1984) and pumpkin (*Rana et al.,* 1986; Shaha*et al.,* 1992).

2.2 Correlation Co-efficient:

Kumaresan *et al.* (2006) conducted field, experiments in Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India, during the 2000 rabi season, to determine correlations among different economic parameters and their direct and indirect effects on fruit yield in 6snake gourd (*Trichosanthes angeina*) cultivars and their 30 hybrids. Yield18per vine in snake gourd was positively associated with main vine length, number of fruits per vine, fruit weight, and number of seeds per fruit, seed weight per fruit and ascorbic acid content of the fruits. However, negative association was observed with days to first female flower opening, days to first male flower opening, fruit length, fruit girth and acid content of the fruit. This indicated that the selection for the characters would simultaneously result in improving the yield per vine.

Hazra *et al.* (2003) studied sixty-eight diverse female clones of pointed gourd . These were grown at the Horticultural Research Station, Mondouri, West Bengal, India to evaluate growth, morphological, yield and quality characters and their relationship through correlation and path analysis. The magnitude of genotypic correlation coefficients was higher than phenotypic correlation coefficients for all the pairs of characters, and in most cases, a wide gap was recorded between the two estimates of correlation coefficients, indicating the influence of environment on the correlated response of the pair of characters. Most of the character pairs showed negligible or insignificant correlation that might have resulted due to simultaneous vegetative and reproductive growths in the plant. Only fruit number per plant had significant positive

correlation with yield, whereas fruit weight showed highest positive direct effect on yield. However, from the overall study most of the fruit characters, viz. fruit weight, pulp content of fruit, fruit number per plant and fruit volume, and growth traits, such as leaves per plant and leaf length, were identified as important yield contributors.

Badade *et al.* (2001) conducted an experiment to study the correlation of 20 bottle gourd (*Lagenaria vulgaris*) genotypes. Yield was found significantly and positively correlated with number of branch per vine, number of fruits per vine and significantly and negatively correlated with days to first male and female flower appearance and weight of deformed fruits per vine at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. Fruit length showed positive but insignificant correlation with fruit yield.

Singh and Ram (2003) conducted an experiment on 28 musk melon genotypes to determine the correlation among fruit characters. The simple correlation among fruit traits showed that polar diameter, latitudinal diameter, flesh thickness and seed cavity size were positively correlated with fruit weight.

Singh *et al.* (2002) carried out 98 hybrids of cucumber derived from crosses involving fourteen male and seven female parents and found that fruit weight, fruit girth and fruit length had high correlations with fruit yield. Genotypic correlation coefficient were higher than phenotypic co-efficient which indicated strong association among these traits.

Sarker *et al.* (1999) studied correlation and path co-efficient of 16 diver gencetypes of pointed gourd indicated that fruit weight, fruit diameter and number of primary branches per plant were positively and significantly correlated with yield per plant at genotypic and phenotypic levels.

Kumaran *et al.* (1998) carried out an experiment on correlation and path analysis studies in pumpkin. They found that positive and significant correlation of vine, length, mean fruit weight, number of fruit per plant and number of seeds per fruit with fruit yield per plant.

Mandal (1987) conducted a study on 30 diverse cucumber genotypes and found high positive correlation at the genotypic and phenotypic levels between yield per plant with number of fruits and female flowers per plant, fruit length and weight.

Reddy and Rao (1984) observed negative and non-significant correlation between male flower pedicel length, female flower pedicel length traits (r =00.222) in ribbed gourd.

Khule *et al* (2011) conducted field experiments to determine correlation and path coefficient analysis in sponge gourd *Luffa cylindrica* (Linn.) at Vegetable Research Station Jagudan, (Gujarat) with thirty sponge gourd genotypes. He found genotypic correlation coefficients were higher than the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficients suggesting that the environmental influence reduces the relationship between yield and yield contributing characters of sponge gourd. Path coefficient analysis showed that number of fruits per plant, days to appear first female flower, fruit length, fruit diameter, and number of seeds per fruit had direct positive effects on marketable fruit yield per plant which indicates that this character was the major contributor to fruit yield.

Kumar *et al*. (2007) conducted an experiment to study the correlation coefficient of 20 bottle gourd (*Lagenaria vulgaris*) genotypes. Fruit yield per vine in bottle gourd is .the result of interaction of number of inter-related characters. Therefore, selection should be based on these components character after assessing their correlation with fruit yield per vine. The fruit yield per vine showed positive and significant correlation with number of branches per vine length, nodes number of first male flower, nodes number of first female flower, length of edible fruits, and number of fruits per vine, number of seeds per fruits and 100-seed weight at genotypic and phenotypic levels. This indicated that fruit yield can be improved by making selection on the basis of no. of branches per vive, vine length, nodes no. of first female flower, length of edible fruit and no. of fruit per vine.

Prasana *et al.* (2002) studied the correlation between the yield and yield components of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) in Bangalore, Karnataka, India, during the Rabi season of 1999. Fruit yield per hectare was positively associated with vine length at 90 days after sowing (DAS), number of leaves at90 DAS, number of female flowers, total dry weight of plant, number of fruits, and fruit girth and weight.

Narayan *et al.* (1996) studied correlation analysis in 25 diverse populations of bottle gourd. Correlation coefficient revealed that fruit yield per plant can be successfully improved by making selection or greater fruit number, higher fruit weight, greater number of primary branches and genotypes with lesser number of days to anthesis of first male flower.

Shah and Kale (2002) conducted an experiment on correlation co-efficient analysis of yield components of 55 genotypes of ridge gourd. The fruit weightier vine was positively and significantly correlated with number of fruits per vine, average fruit weight, number of female flower per vine and vine length, indicating the close association and dependency of yield these characters. The fruit length was negatively correlated with fruit diameter and fruit number per vine, while it was positively correlated with average fruit weight.

Miah *et al.* (2000) noted that fruit yield in bitter gourd showed significant positive association with average fruit weight, fruit breadth and number of nodes per vine in genotypic and phenotypic correlation with days to male flowering.

Li *et al.* (1997) noted that number of fruits per plant, average fruit per plant, average fruit weight, fruiting rate and leaf area of cucumber genotypes were positively correlated to yield. Days to flowering and vine length were negatively correlated.

Abusaleha and Dutta (1989) found that the yield of cucumber is positively correlated with vine length (r 0.35), branches per vine (r = 0.29), fruits per vine(r = 0.48), fruit

length (r = 0.60) and fruit girth (r = 0.43). Days to first male and female flowering, nodal position female flower, percentage of miss happen fruits and non-marketable yield were negatively correlated with yield.

According to Singh *et al.* (1986) yield was positively and significantly correlated with fruits per plant (r = 0.60) and days to flowering, days to fruit set and days to ripeness were negatively correlated with all the other characters with the exception of a positive correlation between days to flowering and fruit weight in pointed gourd.

2.3 Path Co-efficient:

Kumar *et al.* (2007) conducted an experiment to study the path coefficient of 20 bottle gourd (*Lagenaria vulgaris*) genotypes. Path analysis revealed that number of branches per vine, vine length, nodes number of first female flower and number of fruit per vine had positive direct effect on fruit yield per vine.

Rahman *et al.* (1991) studied variability, correlation and path coefficients in four lines of bottle gourd. Path coefficient analysis revealed that fruit diameter and fruit length had high positive direct effect on fruit weight per plant.

Rao *et al.* (2000) conducted an experiment on the segregating population of ridge gourd for correlation and path coefficient analysis. Path analysis revealed that yield improvement could be achieved by direct selection for days to 50% flowering, girth of fruit, fruits per plant or vine, fruit per branch and length of the vine of ridge gourd.

Sarker *et al*. (1999) studied path co-efficient of 16 divergence types of pointed gourd. The path analysis revealed that fruit volume followed by fruit weight and fruit diameter had maximum positive direct effects on yield.

Mondal *et al*. (1989) studied path co-efficient in 31 genotypes of water melon and observed that the number of fruits per plant and fruit diameter affected fruit yield

directly. Path co-efficient analysis revealed that for increasing fruit yield selection should be based on plant having more number of fruits with larger diameter.

Abusaleha and Dutta (1989) carried out an experiment on correlation and path analysis studies in cucumber. Path coefficient analysis revealed that fruits per vine and fruit length had the greatest direct effects on yield.

Parhi *et al.* (1995) studied correlation and path co-efficient of thirteen genotypes of bitter gourd. Path analysis revealed that fruit breadth, days to opening of first male and female flower, vine length and number of seeds per fruit had the maximum positive direct effect on yield in bitter gourd The characters like fruit weight and fruit length though have significant positive correlation with yield, exhibited low direct effect. Besides direct selection for yield, indirect selection through number of seeds per fruit and fruit weight would prove worth for further improvement in yield of bitter gourd.

Umamaheswarappa *et al.* (2004) conducted an experiment on the effect of various rates of nitrogen (0, 60 and 120 kg/ha), phosphorus (0, 50 and 100kg/ha) and potassium (0, 30 and 60 kg/ha) on bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*), conducted in Bangalore, Karnataka, India, in 1999 showed that fruit yield/ha had strong positive association with vine length, number of leaves per vine, number of female flowers per vine, number of branches per vine, vine girth, total chlorophyll content in leaf, total dry weight of plant, number of fruits per vine, fruit weight, fruit length and fruit girth. Path coefficient analysis25revealed that number of fruits per vine had maximum direct effect on fruit yield followed by fruit weight.

Kumaresan *et al.* (2006) conducted field experiments in Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India, during the 2000 rabi season, to determine correlations among different economic parameters and their direct and indirect effects on fruit yiel4 in 6 snake gourd (*Trichosanthes angeina*) cultivars and their 30 hybrids. Path coefficient analysis revealed

that it would be highly rewarding to lay emphasis22on the number of fruits per vine and fruit weight to increase the yield per vine directly.

Narayan *et al.* (1996) studied path-coefficient analysis in 25 diverse populations of bottle gourd. Path coefficient analysis revealed that maximum weight age should be given primarily to days to first harvest followed by average weight of edible fruit, number of fruits per plant and days to anthesis of first female flower while formulating selection indices for improvement of yield in bottle gourd.

Number of fruits per plant also had considerable positive direct effect on fruit weight per plant. Singh *et al.* (2002) were carried out 98 hybrids of cucumber derived from crosses involving fourteen male and seven female parents. Path coefficient analysis indicated that fruit weight had the highest direct effect on fruit yield.

Miah *et al.* (2000) conducted an experiment on bitter gourd for correlation and path coefficient analysis. Path analysis revealed that average fruit weight, 23numbers of fruits per plant, days to male flowering and fruit length had positive direct effect on fruit yield.

 Li *et al.* (1997) conducted an experiment on cucumber genotypes. From path analysis, they concluded that fruits per plant and average fruit weight affected the yield directly.

Kumaran *et al.* (1998) carried out an experiment on correlation and path analysis studies in pumpkin. They found that number of fruit per plant exhibited the highest direct effect on yield. High positive indirect effects were exerted by number of fruit per plant and mean fruit weight.

Chaudhury and Mandal (1987) conducted a study on 30 diverse cucumber genotypes and Path co-efficient analysis revealed that the number of fruits, female flowers per plant, fruit length, fruit weight and fruit diameter were the most important characters determining yield.

Prasanna *et al.* (2002) studied the correlation between the yield and yield components of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) in Bangalore, Karnataka, India, during the rabi of 1999. Fruit yield per hectare was positively associated with vine length at 90 days after sowing (DAS), number of leaves at 90 DAS, number of female flowers, total dry weight of plant, number of fruits, and fruit girth and weight. Path coefficient analysis showed that vine length at 90 DAS, number of female flowers per vine, number of branches per vine, number of fruits per vine, fruit girth, and fruit weight had direct positive effects on fruit yield, whereas the number of leaves at 90 DAS, total dry weight of the plant, and fruit length had negative direct effects on fruit yield. The fruit yield of ridge gourd can be enhanced through the improvement of vine length at 90DAS, number of female flowers, number of branches, and number of fruits per vine, fruit girth, and fruit weight.

2.4 Genetic Diversity:

Genetic diversity is one of the important tools to quantify variability in both self and cross-pollinated crops (Griffingand Lidstorm, 1954; Murty and Arunachalam, 1966; Guar *et al.* 1978).

The quantification of genetic diversity through biometrical procedure made it possible to choose genetically diverse plants for a successful hybridization programme (Rao, 1952). D2 analysis (originally outlined by Mahalanobis, 1936and extended by Rao, 1952) is one of potential methods of estimating the degree of genetic diversity. The wide diversity of genotypes can be shown by cluster analysis from the same geographical regions. To understand the usable variability, grouping or classification of genotypes based on suitable scale. Multivariate analysis formulated by Mahalanobis (1936) is a powerful tool in quantifying the degree of divergence among biological population based on multiple characters. Studies on genetic diversity in bottle gourd carried out so far arc presented as follows:

Banik (2003) studied 26 genotypes of snake gourd were tested using multivariate analysis and the genotypes were grouped into seven distinct cluster. No relationship was found between genetic divergence and geographical distribution of genotypes. The highest inter genotypes distance was observed between genotypes SO 026 and SO 010 (1.897). The inter cluster

distance was maximum between cluster II and IV (17.74). Main vine length, first female flower node number, nodes on main vine, fruit length and number of seeds per fruit had the highest contribution towards the divergence. BARI annual report 2008-09 revealed that Genetic divergence among 30 snake gourd genotypes was estimated using Mahalanobis's D2staistic. Cluster V contained the highest number of genotypes (13) and cluster Ill &IV contained the lowest (3). The highest intra- cluster distance was observed in cluster III (1.665) and the lowest in cluster V (0.430). The highest inter- cluster distance was observed between cluster I and III (26.954) and the lowest in cluster II and I (5.693).

Preeti *et al.* (2010) observed wide range of genetic diversity among twenty three germplasm lines of ash gourd collected from different parts of U.P. and Uttarakhand. Genotypes PAG-50, Pant Petha-1, PAG-64, PAG-12, PAG-14and PAG-09 were high yielding lines while considering both the season's summer and kharif 2006. Based on Mahalanobis D2 analysis all germplasm lines were grouped into 5 clusters. The clustering pattern indicated that geographical distribution need not necessarily be related to the genetic diversity. Cluster I was very large containing 14 genotypes (summer) and 10genotypes (kharif) season. The commercially released cultivar Pant Petha-1was grouped in cluster II along with other genotypes in both the seasons. The inter-cluster distance was found maximum between cluster III and cluster IV (summer) and cluster II and cluster V in Kharif seasons. The genotypes in these clusters may possibly be utilized in hybrid breeding programme for successful exploitation of hybrid vigor in ash gourd.

Cluster III (0.220). The highest inter-cluster distance was observed between cluster I and II (15.045) whereas the lowest was observed between clusters IV and V (3.402).

Khan *et al.* (2008) assessed the genetic diversity among 64 pointed gourd genotypes through multivariate analysis from an experiment conducted in Regional Agricultural Research Station, Ishurdi, Pabna during the growing season 2002-2003. The genotypes were grouped into twelve clusters. The cluster V consisted of highest number of genotypes and it was nine, the cluster VI and cluster VIII contained the lowest number of genotypes and it was two ineach. The clustering pattern of the genotypes under this study revealed that the genotypes collected from the same location were grouped into different clusters. The genotypes of Jessore were distributed in different clusters. The highest inter genotype distance as 366.3 observed between the genotypesP0022 and P0007 and the lowest 2.6 as observed between the genotypes P0043and P0044. Cluster V had the highest cluster mean value for internodes length, fruit weight per plant and yield. The highest inter-cluster distance was noticed between cluster III and II (45.71) and the lowest between cluster VII and VI (3.33). The highest intra cluster distance was computed for cluster III and that was lowest for the cluster II. The first five axes accounted for 77.65% of the total variation among the 13 characters describing 64 pointed gourd genotypes Fruit weight, seeds per fruit and fruit weight per plant contributed maximum to the total divergence.

Bharathi *et al.* (2005) The genetic divergence among 32 genotypes of spine gourd (*Momordica dioica*) for 12 traits (vine length, number of days to flowering, node on which the first flower appeared, internode length, mature leaf size, pedicel length, petiole length, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit girth, number of fruits per plant, and yield per plant) was evaluated in Orissa, India. The analysis of variance revealed significant variation among the genotypes for all traits. The genotypes were grouped into 7 clusters based on D2 values. Cluster III had the highest number of genotypes (11), followed by clusters IV (9) and VI (4). The intra cluster distance ranged from 30.34 (cluster I) to371.56 (cluster III). The inter cluster distance was greatest between clusters VI and VII (864.75). Genotypes included in cluster II were characterized by early flowering, and presence of the longest vines and internodes. Cluster VI recorded the greatest number of

fruits, pedicel length and yield. Cluster VII was superior with regard to the node on which the first flower appeared. Cluster III had the greatest fruit weight, fruit length and fruit girth. Yield per plant, number of fruits, fruit weight, internode length, fruit length and pedicel length accounted for 93.5 5% of the diversity. Thus, selection for divergent parents based on these traits is recommended.

Genetic divergence using Mahalanobis D2 statistics was studied for seven quantitative characters including yield per vine in a collection of twenty diverse cultivars of bottle gourd by Badade *et al.* (2001).

Karuppaiah *et al.* (2005) evaluated genetic divergence in 12 genotypes of bitter gourd (*Momordica. charantia*) grown in Annamalai, Tamil Nadu, India, during June-July 2001. Using Mahalanobis D2 technique, the genotypes were grouped into clusters I (4 genotypes), II (one genotype), III (3 genotypes) and IV (four genotypes). Among the four clusters, cluster IV (LA-7, LA-9, LA- 10 and LA-12) registered the highest mean values for vine length (6.2 m), number of male flowers per plant (79.3), number of female flowers per plant (23.2), yield per plant (5.2 kg), single fruit weight (242.2 g), fruit length (29.4 cm), number of fruits per plant (24.1), number of seeds per fruit (52.3), fruit size index (173.2),and 100-seed weight (18.6 g). Hence, it is desirable to involve LA-7, LA-9, La-10 and LA- 12 of cluster IV in breeding programmes.

Islam (2004) estimated genetic divergence among 42 bottle gourd (*L. siceraria*) accessions from Bangladesh was estimated in Japan during 2000 using D2 and canonical analysis. The accessions were grouped into five clusters. No clear relationship was observed between geographic origin and genetic diversity. The maximum inter cluster distance was between clusters I and cluster II, and the minimum was between cluster III and cluster IV. Primary branches per plant, fruit length and weight, number of fruits and yield per plant contributed the most to the total genetic divergence. The results obtained by D2 analysis were also confirmed by canonical analysis. The accessions included in the most divergent clusters I and II, are promising parents for a hybridization programme for obtaining high heterosis and thus, better segregants in bottle gourd.

Masud *et al.* (1995) carried out an experiment to study the genetic divergence among 27 genotypes of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) collected from eight districts of Bangladesh was group into seven cluster. No relationship was found between genetic divergence and geographic distribution of the genotypes. Maximum inter cluster distance was observed between cluster II and VII and was minimum between V and VI. Number of fruits per plant and yield per plant showed maximum contribution to the total divergence. The results obtained by D2 analysis were confirmed by principal component analysis.

Khatun *et al.* (2010) conducted at the field and laboratory of the Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, and Mymensingh during the period from April 2004 to September 2004 to study the nature and magnitude of genetic diversity of 38 snake gourd genotypes collected from different regions of the country. Based on D^2 analysis, the genotypes were grouped into four different clusters, where the cluster I possessed maximum number (21) of genotypes followed by the cluster 11(8), III (7), and IV (2). Clustering pattern revealed that geographical diversity was not associated with genetic diversity i.e., genotypes collected from same location were grouped into different clusters. The maximum inter-cluster distance was observed between the clusters III and IV and that of minimum in between the clusters I and II. In case26of intra-cluster distance, the maximum distance was observed in the cluster IV and that of minimum was observed in the cluster III. Considering cluster mean, the genotypes of cluster IV could be selected for yield per plant and other yield contributing characters.

Islam *et al.* (2010) studied genetic divergence of twenty bitter gourd genotypes through Moahalanobis's D^2 and principal component analysis in Pakistan. The genotypes under study fall into four clusters. The cluster I contained the highest number of genotypes and it was 10. Cluster IV contained the lowest number of genotypes. Cluster II produced the highest mean value for weight per fruit. The inter cluster distances were much higher than the intra cluster distances. Cluster I exhibit the highest intra cluster distance while the lowest distance was observed in cluster III. The highest inter cluster distance was observed between I and H while the lowest distance was observed between the cluster II

and IV.27The highest intra cluster means for weight per fruit and five important yield contributing characters were obtained from cluster II. Therefore, more emphasis should be given on the cluster for selecting genotypes as parents for crossing with the genotypes of cluster II which may produce new recombination with desired traits. Considering all the characters the 01(Shaparan), G5, (Rampaligaj), G9 (Nabil), G12 (Nandita) G14 (Eureca), G16 (Tia) and G19 (Maharaj) were selected for future breeding programme.

Quamruzzaman *et al.* (2008) studied the genetic divergence among thirty genotypes of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) using D^2 and principal component analysis. The genotypes were grouped into six clusters. The highest intra cluster distance was noticed for the cluster II (0.882) and the lowest.

Gaffar (2008) conducted an experiment with 15 sponge gourd genotypes at the experimental farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, during April, 2007 to October 2007. The genotypes were grouped into five clusters. The highest intra cluster distance was noticed for the cluster III (0.999) and the lowest for the cluster IV (0.43 9). The highest inter-cluster distance was observed between cluster IV and V (7.163) whereas the lowest was observed between cluster I and IV (2.258).

Kabir (2007) reported that genetic divergence studied 24 accessions of pointed gourd. The accessions were grouped into five clusters. The cluster I and III had the highest number of accessions (6) followed by cluster V (5), cluster 11(4) &29Cluster IV (3).The highest intra cluster distance was computed for cluster IV(35.80) followed by cluster I (28.12) and Cluster V (26.63). The minimum intra cluster distance was found in III (18.87).

The cultivars differed significantly for almost all of the characters and were grouped into 10 clusters based on the similarities of D^2 value. Considerable diversity within and between clusters was noted and it was observed for the characters viz. vine length, number of branches, fruit per vine, length and diameter of fruit and yield per vine.

Harshawardhan and Ram (2003) conducted an experiment on severity germplasms of musk melon lines to elucidate genetic divergence using a nonhierarchical Euclidean cluster analysis for yield and its components. The genotypes were grouped into 11 clusters irrespective of geographic and genetic diversity. Group VIII contained the largest number of 11 genotypes. The maximum genetic distance occurred between cluster II and X.

Dora (2001) studied eleven genotypes of *Trihosan thesdioica* and the genotypes were grouped into four clusters based on Mahalanobis's D^2 statistics and found that inter cluster distances were greater than intra cluster distances, indicating considerable genetic diversity among genotypes. The highest D_2 value (984.3) was recorded between cluster II and IV.

CHAPTER III
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the field of village of Shabgram, bograsadar district of Bogra, Bangladesh during the period from 16 September 2017 to 16 September, 2018. Brief descriptions about the experiment are presented as follows:

3.1. Experimental site

The experimental site was situated at 24.8481° N latitude and 89.3730° E longitude at an altitude of 8.6 meter above the sea level (Anon., 2004). The Agro-ecological zone is Tista Meander Floodplain, AEZ-3. This was a region of complex relief and soils developed over the sandy clay, where floodplain sediments buried the dissected edges of the Tista Meander Floodplain leaving whitish sandy soils.

3.2 Climate

The climate has subtropical, characterized by high temperature (34°C), high relative humidity (60% Humidity), wind speed moderate (Wind E at 8 km/h) and heavy rainfall in Kharif season (April-September) and scanty 33 rainfall associated with moderately low temperature during the Kharif season (April-September).

3.3 Soil

Soil of the experimental site belongs to the general soil type, Sandy whitish Brown Terrace Soils under Tejgaon Series. Top soils were clay loam in texture, olive-gray with common fine to medium distinct dark yellowish brown mottles. Soil pH ranged from 4.7 to 4.93, organic matter 1.16%. Soil samples from 0-15 cm depths were collected from experimental field. The analyses were done by Soil Resource and Development Institute (SRDI), Dhaka. Physicochemical properties of the soil are presented in (Appendix III).

3.4 Planting materials

Sixteen genotypes of pumpkin were used for this research work (Table 1). The purity and germination percentage were around 100 and 80, respectively. The genetically pure and physically healthy seeds of these genotypes were collected from Plant Genetic Resources Centre (PGRC) of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) Gazipur.

3.5 Experimental design

The experiment was laid out Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The genotypes were distributed into the pit of each block of the prepared layout of the experiment. The sixteen genotypes were assigned into pits of each replication randomly. The distance was 3x3 m.

Table 1. Origin and name of sweet gourd genotypes used for experiment

Sl. NO.	Genotype	BARI ACC Number	Origin
1	G_1	(BD 4587)	
2	G_2	(BD 2203)	
3	G_3	(BD 264)	
4	G_4	(BD 2212)	
5	G_5	(BD 309)	
6	G_6	(BD 204)	
7	G_7	(BD 249)	PGRC, BARI
8	G_8	(BD 245)	
9	G_9	(BD 9492)	
10	G_{10}	(BD 258)	
11	G_{11}	(BD 246)	
12	G_{12}	(BD 2236)	
13	G13	(BD 2205)	
14	G14	(BD 223)	
15	G15	(BD 242)	
16	G16	(BD 251)	

Here, PGRC = Plant Genetic Resources Centre, BARI = Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute

3.6 Poly bag preparation and raising seedling

The seeds were dibbled in poly bag for higher germination percentage and to get healthy seedlings due to uncertain rainfall. The 18 days old seedlings were transplanted in the main field in each pit. Seeds were sown 16th September, 2017. The seeds were treated with Bavistin for one minute before sowing.

3.7 Land preparation

The experiment plot was prepared by several ploughing and cross ploughing followed by laddering and harrowing with tractor and power tiller to bring about good tilth in the first

week of August, 2017. Weeds and other stables were removed carefully from the experimental plot and leveled properly.

3.8 Pit preparation

After final land preparation, 15 september 2017pits of 55 cm x 55 cm x 50 cm were prepared in each block with spacing of 3 m x 3 m. Pits were kept open in the sun for 7 days to kill harmful insect and microorganisms. To control field cricket 5 mg Furadan was also mixed with the soils of each pit before making it ready for dibbling.

3.9 Fertilizer application

Total cow dung, half of TSP and one third MoP were applied in the field during final land preparation Remaining TSP and one third MoP and whole gypsum and zinc oxide and one third of urea were applied in pit one week prior to transplantation Remaining urea and MoP were applied as top dressing in four installments at 20, 40, 60 and 75 days after transplanting Doses of manure and 11 fertilizers used in the study are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Recommended doses of fertilizers used in this research work

Sl No.	Fertilizers/Manures	Dose
1	Cowdung	10 ton/ha
2	Urea	125 kg/ha
3	TSP	125 kg/ha
4	MOP	150 kg/ha
5	Gypsum	75 kg/ha
6	Zinc Oxide	10 kg/ha

SOURCE: BARI recommended dose

3.10 Transplanting of seedlings

Seed were sown in each pits on 23rd September 2017. The germation os seed were completed after 10 days of sowing

3.10.1 Thinning and gap filling

Only one healthy seedling was kept per pit for the proper development and for avoiding crowd environment. For this whenever need thinning and gap filling was done.

3.10.2 Weeding and mulching

Several weeding and mulching were done as per requirement. At the very first stage, weeding was done for ease of aeration and less competition seedling growth and mulch was provided after an irrigation to prevent crust formation and facilitate good aeration.

3.10.3 Irrigation

In the early stage irrigation was done twice daily by water cane. In mature stage flood irrigation was done when ever it's necessary.

3.10.4 Pesticide application

Malathyon and ripcord was sprayed for controlling red pumpkin beetle in the seedling stage. In mature stage cucurbit fruit fly caused, severe damage to the fruit. For a protection from fruit fly, MSGT, (Mashed Sweet Gourd Trap) and Pheromone bait was used along with ripcord, sevin powders.

3.11 Harvesting

The fruit takes about 7-10 days from setting to reach marketable stage. Fruits were picked on the basis of horticultural maturity, size, color and age being determined for the purpose of consumption as the fruit grew rapidly and soon get beyond the marketable stage, frequent picking was done throughout the harvesting period. Fruits were picked with sharp knife and care was taken to avoid injury of the vine.

3.12 Data recording

Data were recorded on following parameters from the studied plants during the experiment. The details of data recording are given below on individual plant basis.

3.12.1 Morphological characteristics
3.12.1.1 Leaf length (cm)

Leaf length was measured in three to five leaves in each germplasm in cm and average data was recorded.

3.12.1.2 Leaf breadth (cm)

Leaf breath was measured in three to five leaves in each germplasm in cm and average data was recorded.

3.12.1.3 Internodes distance (cm)

Internodes distance was measured in three to five Internodes in each germplasm in cm and average data was recorded.

3.12.2 Inflorescences characteristics

3.12.2.1 Days to first male flowering

The number of days required for first male flower flowering was counted for three replications separately and average data was recorded.

3.12.2.2 Days to first female flowering

The number of days required for first female flower flowering was counted for three replications separately and average data was recorded.

3.12.2.3 Pedicel length of male flower (cm)

Pedicel length of male flower was measured in three to five flowers in each germplasm in cm and average data was recorded.

3.12.2.4 Pedicel length of female flower (cm)

Pedicel length of female flower was measured in three to five flowers in each germplasm in cm and average data was recorded.

3.12.3 Fruit characteristics

3.12.3.1 Fruit length (cm)

Fruit length was measured in three to five fruits in each germplasm in cm and average data was recorded during fruit harvest for vegetable use.

3.12.3.2 Fruit breadth (cm)

Fruit diameter was measured in three to five fruits in each germplasm in cm, then the data was divided by two and average data was recorded during fruit harvest for vegetable use.

3.12.3.3 Fruit weight (Kg)

Weight of three to five fruits in each germplasm during harvest for vegetable use was measured in kilogram.

3.12.3.4 Fruit yield per plant (Kg)

Weight of edible fruits of selected plants from each accession was weighed in kilogram (kg).

3.13.1 Statistical analysis

Mean data of the characters were subjected to multivariate analysis. Univariate analysis of the individual character was done for all characters under study using the mean values (Singli and Chaudhury, 1985) and was estimated using MSTAT-C computer program. Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was 42 performed for all the characters to test the differences between the means of the genotypes. Mean, range and co-efficient of variation (CV %) were also estimated using MSTAT-C. Multivariate analysis was done by computer using GENSTAT 5.13 and Microsoft Excel 2000 software through four V techniques viz., Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCO), Cluster Analysis (CA) and Canonical Vector Analysis (CVA).

3.13.1.1 Estimation of genotypic and phenotypic variances

Genotypic and phenotypic variances were estimated according to the formula given by Johnson *et al*. (1995).

Genotypic variance $(\sigma^2 g) = \frac{GMS - EMS}{r}$

Where,

GMS = Genotypic mean sum of squares

EMS = Error mean sum of square

r = number of replications

Phenotypic variance $(\sigma^2 p) = \sigma^2_g + EMS$

Where, $\sigma^2 g$ = Genotypic variance

EMS = Error mean sum of square

3. 13.1.2 Estimation of genotypic and phenotypic correlation co-efficient

For calculating the genotypic and phenotypic correlation co-efficient for all possible combinations the formula suggested by Miller *et al.* (1958), Johnson *et al.* (1955) and Hanson *et al.* (1956) were adopted. The genotypic co-variance component between two traits and have the phenotypic covariance component were derived in the same way as for the corresponding variance components. The co-variance components were used to compute genotypic and phenotypic correlation between the pairs of characters as follows:

Genotypic correlation (rgxy)= $\dfrac{\sigma gxy}{\sqrt{\sigma 2gx\sigma 2gy}}$

Where,

rgxy= Genotypic co-variance between the traits x and y

σ^2gx = Genotypic variance of the trait x

σ^2gy = Genotypic variance of the trait y

Phenotypic correlation (rgxy)= $\dfrac{\sigma pxy}{\sqrt{\sigma 2px\sigma 2py}}$

Where,

r_{pxy}= Phenotypic covariance between the traits x and y

σ^2px = Phenotypic variance of the trait x

σ^2py = Phenotypic variance of the trait y

3.13.1.3 Estimation of genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation

Genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation were calculated by the formula suggested by Burton (1952) Genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV %) = $\sqrt{\dfrac{\sigma 2g}{X}}\times 100$

Where,

σ^2g = Genotypic variance

x = Population mean similarly,

The phenotypic co-efficient of variation was calculated from the following formula.

Phenotypic co-efficient variation (PCV) =$\sqrt{\dfrac{p2g}{X}}\times 100$

Where,

σ^2ph= Phenotypic variance

x = Population mean

3.13.1.4 Estimation of heritability

Broad sense heritability was estimated (Lush, 1943) by the following formula, suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

$$h^2 \ b\% \ = \frac{\sigma 2g}{\sigma 2 \sigma 2 ph} \times 100$$

Where,

h^2b = Heritability in broad sense

σ^2g = Genotypic variance

σ^2ph= Phenotypic variance

3.13.1.5 Estimation of genetic advance

The expected genetic advance for different characters under selection was estimated using the formula suggested by Lush (1943) and Johnson *et al.* (1955).

Genetic advance (GA) = K. h^2b. σ^2ph

$$GA=K.\frac{\sigma 2g}{\sigma 2 \sigma 2 ph} . \sigma^2 ph$$

Where,

K = Selection intensity, the value which is 2.06 at 5% selection intensity

σph= Phenotypic standard deviation

h^2b = Heritability in broad sense

σ^2g = Genotypic variance

σ^2ph = Phenotypic variance

3.13.1.6 Estimation of genetic advance mean's percentage

Genetic advance as percentage of mean was calculated from the following formula as proposed by Comstoek and Robinson (1952):

$$\text{Genetic advance (\% of mean)} = \frac{Genetic \ advance \ (GA)}{Population \ Mean \ (X)} \times 100$$

3.13.1.7 Estimation of path co-efficient

Path coefficient analysis was done according to the procedure employed by Dewey and Lu (1959) quoted in Singh and Chaudhary (1985), using phenotypic correlation coefficient value. In path analysis, correlation coefficients between yield and yield contributing characters were partitioned into direct and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on grain yield per hectare. In order to estimate direct and indirect effects of the correlated characters, i. e. 1, 2, 3…………………… and 13 on yield y, a set of simultaneous equations (eight equations in this example) is required to be formulated as shown in below:

r1.y = P1.y + r1.2 P2.y + r1.3 + P3.y + r1.4 P4.y + r1.5 P5.y + r1.6 P6.y + r1.7 P7.y + r1.8 P8.y + r1.9 P9.y + r1.10 P10.y + r1.11 P11.y + r1.12 P12.y

r2.y = r1.2 P1.y + P2.y + r2.3 P3.y + r2.4 P4.y + r2.5 P5.y + r2.6 P6.y + r2.7 P7.y + r2.8 P8.y +r2.9 P9.y + r2.10 P10.y + r2.11 P11.y + r2.12 P12.y

r3.y = r1.3 P1.y + r2.3 P2.y + P3.y + r3.4 P4.y + r3.5 P5.y + r3.6 P6.y + r3.7 P7.y + r3.8 P8.y + r3.9 P9.y + r3.10 P10.y + r3.11 P11.y + r3.12 P12.y

r4.y = r1.4 P1.y + r2.4 P2.y + r3.4 P3.y + P4.y + r41.5 P5.y + r4.6 P6.y + r4.7 P7.y + r4.8 P8.y + r4.9 P9.y + r4.10 P10.y + r4.11 P11.y + r4.12 P12.y

r5.y = r1.5 P1.y + r2.5 P2.y + r3.5 P3.y + r4.5 P4.y + P5.y + r5.6 P6.y + r5.7 P7.y + r5.8 P8.y + r5.9 P9.y + r5.10 P10.y + r5.11 P11.y + r5.12 P12.y

r6.y = r1.6 P1.y + r2.6 P2.y + r3.6 P3.y + r4.6 P4.y + r5.6 P5.y + P6.y + r6.7 P7.y + r6.8 P8.y + r6.9 P9.y + r6.10 P10.y + r6.11 P11.y + r6.12 P12.y

r7.y = r1.7 P1.y + r2.7 P2.y + r3.7 P3.y + r4.7 P4.y + r5.7 P5.y + r6.7 P6.y + P7.y + r7.8 P8.y + r7.9 P9.y + r7.10 P10.y + r7.11 P11.y + r7.12 P12.y 46

r8.y = r1.8 P1.y + r2.8 P2.y + r3.8 P3.y + r4.8 P4.y + r5.8 P5.y + r6.8 P6.y + r7.8 P7.y + P8.y +r8.9 P9.y + r8.10 P10.y + r8.11 P11.y + r8.12 P12.y

r9.y = r1.9 P1.y + r2.9 P2.y + r3.9 P3.y + r4.9 P4.y + r5.9 P5.y + r6.9 P6.y + r7.9 P7.y + r8.9 P8.y + P9.y + r9.10 P10.y + r9.11 P11.y + r9.12 P12.y

r10.y = r1.10 P1.y + r2.10 P2.y + r3.10 P3.y + r4.10 P4.y + r5.10 P5.y + r6.10 P6.y + r7.10 P7.y + r8.10 P8.y + r9.10 P9.y + P10.y + r10.11 P11.y + r10.12 P12.y

r11.y = r1.11 P1.y + r2.11 P2.y + r3.11 P3.y + r4.11 P4.y + r5.11 P5.y + r6.11 P6.y + r7.11 P7.y +r8.11 P8.y + r9.11 P9.y + r10.11 P10.y + P11.y + r11.12 P12.y

r12.y = r1.12 P1.y + r2.12 P2.y + r3.12 P3.y + r4.12 P4.y + r5.12 P5.y + r6.12 P6.y + r7.12 P7.y +r8.12 P8.y + r9.12 P9.y + r10.12 + P10.y + r11.12 P11.y + P12.y

Where,

rIy =Genotypic correlation coefficients between y and I th character (y = Grain yield)

PIy= Path coefficient due to I th character (i= 1, 2, 3… 13)

1 = Days to first male flowering

2 = Days to first female flowering

3 = Leaf length (cm)

4 = Leaf breadth (cm)

5 = Internode distance (cm)

6 = Pedicel length of male flower (cm)

7 = Pedicel length of female flower (cm)

8 = Number of male flower per plant

9 = Number of female flower per plant

10 = Fruit weight (kg) 47

11 = Fruit length (cm)

12 = Fruit breadth (cm)

Total correlation, say between 1 and y 1. e., r1 is thus mentioned as follows:

P1.y = the direct effect of 1 on y

r1.2 P2.y = indirect effect of 1 via 2 on y

r1.3 P3.y = indirect effect of I via 3 on y

r1.4 P4.y = indirect effect of 1 via 4 on y

r1.5 P5.y = indirect effect of I via 5 on y

r1.6 P6.y = indirect effect of 1 via 6 on y

r1.7 P7.y = indirect effect of 1 via 7 on y

r1.8 P8.y = indirect effect of 1 via 8 on y

r1.9 P9.y = indirect effect of I via 9 on y

r1.10 P10.y = indirect effect of 1 via 10 on y

r1.11 P11.y = indirect effect of 1via 11on y

r1.12 P12.y = indirect effect of 1 via 12 on y

Where,

P1.y P2.y, P3.y……….. P8.y = Path coefficient of the independent variables 1, 2, 3… 12 on the dependent variable y, respectively.

r1.y, r2.y, r3.y... r12.y Correlation coefficient of 1, 2, 3… 12 with y, respectively.

After calculating the direct and indirect effect of the characters, residual effect (R) was calculated by using the formula given below (Singh and Chaudhary, 1985)

P^2RY = 1- (r1.y + r2.y P2.y + …………………. + r12.y P12.y)

Where,

$P^2RY = R^2$

Hence residual effect,

$R = (P2RY)^{1/2}$

P1.y = Direct effect of the 1st character on yield y.

r1.y = Correlation of the 1st character with yield y.

3.13.2 Multivariate analysis

The genetic diversity among the genotypes was assessed by Mahalanobisr's (1936) general distance (D^2) statistic and its auxiliary analyses. The parent's selection in hybridization programme based on Mahalanobis's D^2statistic is more reliable as requisite knowledge of parents in respect of a mass of characteristics is available prior to crossing. Rao (1952) suggested that the quantification of genetic diversity through biometrical procedures had made it possible to choose genetically diverse parents for a hybridization programme. Multivariate analysis viz. Principal Component analysis, Principal Component analysis, Cluster analysis and Canonical Vector analysis (CVA), which

quantify the differences among several quantitative traits, are efficient method of evaluating genetic diversity. These are as follows:

3.13.2.1 Principal component analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis, one of the multivariate techniques, is used to examine the inter-relationships among several characters and can be done from the sum of squares and products matrix for the characters. Thus, PCA finds linear combinations of a set variety that maximize the variation contained within them, thereby displaying most of the original variability in a smaller

number of dimensions. Therefore, principles components were computed from the correlation matrix and genotypes scores obtained for first components (which has the property of accounting for maximum variance) and succeeding components with latent roots greater than unity. Contribution of the different morphological characters towards divergence is discussed from the latent vectors of the first two principal components.

3.13.2.2 Cluster analysis (CA)

Cluster analysis divides the genotypes of a data set into some number of mutually exclusive groups. Clustering was done using non-hierarchical classification. In Genstat, the algorithm is used to search for optimal values of chosen criterion proceeds as follows. Starting from some initial classification 49 of the genotypes into required number of groups, the algorithm repeatedly transferred genotypes from one group to another so long as such transfer improved the value of the criterion. When no further transfer can be found to improve the criterion, the algorithm switches to a second stage, which examines the effect of swooping two genotypes of different classes and so on.

3.13.2.3 Canonical vector analysis (CVA)

Canonical vector analysis (CVA) finds linear combination of original variability's that maximize the ratio of between group to within group variation, thereby giving functions of the original variables that can be used to discriminate between the groups. Thus, in this analysis a series of orthogonal transformations sequentially maximizing of the ratio of among groups, to the within group variations. The canonical vector are based upon the

roots and vectors of WB, where W is the pooled within groups covariance matrix and B is the among groups covariance matrix.

3.13.2.4 Calculation of D^2 values

The Mahalanobls's distance (D^2) values were calculated from transformed uncorrelated means of characters according to Rao (1952), and Singh and Chaudhury (1985). The D^2 values were estimated for all possible combinations between genotypes.

In simpler form D^2 statistic is defined by the formula

$$D^2 = \sum_1^x d_l^2 = \sum_1^x (Yi^j - Y_j^k) (J \neq K)$$

Where,

Y = Uncorrelated variable (character) which varies from i = 1------ to x

x = Number of characters.

3.13.2.5 Computation of average intra-cluster distances

Average intra-cluster distances were calculated by the following formula as suggested by Singh and Chuadhury (1985).

Average intra-cluster distance $= \dfrac{\sum_i^{D2}}{n}$

$D^2_l =$ the sum of distances between all possible combinations (n) of genotypes included in a cluster. n = Number of all possible combinations between the populations in cluster.

3.13.2.6 Computation of average inter-cluster distances

Average inter-cluster distances were calculated by the following formula as suggested by Singh and Chuadhury (1985).

Average inter-cluster distance $= \dfrac{\sum_{ij}^{D2}}{ni \; x \; nj}$

$\sum D_{ij}^2$ = The sum of distances between all possible combinations of the populations in clusters i and j.

Ni = Number of populations in cluster i.

Nj = Number of populations in cluster j.

3.13.2.7 Cluster diagram

Using the values of intra and inter-cluster distances ($D = \sqrt{D^2}$), a cluster diagram was drawn as suggested by Singh and Chuadhury (1985). It gives a brief idea of the pattern of diversity among the genotypes included in a cluster.

3.13.2.8 Selection of varieties for future hybridization programme

Divergence analysis is usually performed to identi1' the diverse genotypes for hybridization purposes. The genotypes grouped together are less divergent among themselves than those, which fall into different clusters. Clusters separated by largest statistical distance (D^2) express the maximum divergence among the genotypes included into these different clusters. Variety (s) or line(s) were selected for efficient hybridization program according to Singh and Chaudhury (1985). According to them the following points should be considered while selecting genotypes for hybridization program:

i. Choice of cluster from which genotypes are selected for use as parent(s)

ii. Selection of particular genotype(s) from the selected cluster(s)

iii. Relative contribution of the characters to the total divergence

iv. Other important characters of the genotypes performance

CHAPTER-IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was conducted to study the genetic variability, correlation, path coefficient analysis and genetic diversity of 16 sweet gourd accessions. The data on different yield and yield contributing characters of pumpkin were computed and statistically analyzed. The results of the present study have been presented and discussed in this chapter under the following heading.

4.1. Genetic variability

The analysis of variance indicated that the existence of highly significant variation among the genotype studied. The mean, range, mean sum of square, variance components, genotypic and phenotypic co efficient of variance, heritability, genetic advance, and genetic advance in percent of mean are presented in Table 3.

4.1.1. Leaf length without petiole (cm)

Considerable variations were observed among 16 genotypes of pumpkin for leaf length. Significant Mean sum of square for leaf length (14.452) indicated considerable variation was present among genotype studied (Table 3). The maximum leaf length was observed 22.37 in G_{12} (BD 2236) and minimum was 13.20 recorded in G_8 (BD 245) with mean value 16.59 (Appendix V). The phenotypic variance (6.65) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (3.44) suggested that less influence of environment on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation (15.40 %) and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (13.22 %) were close to each other. Asmaul Husna (2009) found 14.14 genotypic variance in bottle gourd. The GCV 15.40 and PCV 13.22 were close to each other respectively. This character showed high heritability (93.57) and moderate genetic advance in percent of mean (26.80) which indicated that the character is controlled by additive genes and that selection based on this character would be effective. Gaffar (2008) found high heritability and moderate genetic advance in sponge gourd. Fayeun *et al.* (2012) also found high heritability and moderate genetic advance in fluted pumpkiN

Table 3. Estimation of genetic variability for yield contributing characters related to yield of pumpkin

1	Leaf length without petiole (cm)	13.20-22.37	17.54	14.452**	6.65	3.44	13.22	15.40	93.57	3.84	26.80
2	Leaf breadth (cm)	17.44-24.77	18.67	13.732**	4.12	3.36	10.42	12.17	90.85	3.56	22.42
3	Internode distance	11.34-16.25	11.58	15.764**	7.24	4.98	16.75	16.45	94.78	5.68	36.43
4	Days to first male flowering	65.53-74.57	67.45	28.875**	12.46	8.87	5.58	4.63	68.85	4.78	8.33
5	Days to first female flowering	66.00-81.00	72.42	68.235**	27.54	18.44	7.84	5.96	77.86	7.98	11.38
6	Pedicel length of male flower (cm)	7.77-22.35	15.27	68.483**	24.55	21.98	31.21	30.40	91.86	8.84	63.88
7	Pedicel Length of female flower (cm)	2.58-6.73	4.22	4.487**	1.88	1.28	28.22	27.61	94.66	2.22	54.18
8	Number of male flower per plant	4.27-15.45	8.58	21.883**	7.72	7.89	32.43	30.17	88.78	5.19	55.85
9	Number of female flower per plant	3.88-10.97	5.24	11.360**	3.64	3.51	31.52	29.88	88.22	3.38	56.66
10	Fruit length (cm)	23.87-42.47	29.58	86.182**	33.77	28.44	17.82	15.55	79.22	8.78	31.98
11	Fruit Breadth (cm)	40.48-75.88	58.62	288.92**	106.85	91.46	18.20	18.27	86.44	17.61	32.42
12	Fruit weight (kg)	1.82-3.44	2.59	1.143**	0.43	0.35	21.22	22.36	93.29	1.28	41.88
13	Fruit Yield per plant(kg)	2.28-17.34	9.33	58.654**	18.85	18.56	46.58	45.66	98.76	8.45	98.53

4.1.2. Leaf breadth (cm)

Significant mean sum of square for leaf breadth (13.732) indicated considerable variation presented among genotypes studied (Table 3). The maximum leaf breadth was observed 24.77 in G7 (BD 249) and minimum was 17.44 which was recorded in G1 (BD 4587) with mean value 18.67 (Appendix V). The phenotypic variance (4.12) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (3.36) suggested that less influence of environment on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation (12.17 %) and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (10.42 %) were close to each other. Husna (2009) found GCV (22.87) was lower than PCV (23.04) for this character in bottle gourd. This character showed high heritability (90.85) and moderate genetic advance (3.56) and genetic advance in percent of mean (22.42) which indicated character was controlled by additive genes. Therefore the selection based on this character would be effective. Gaffar (2008) observed in broad sense heritability was high (94%) with moderate genetic advance (7.81) for this character in sponge gourd.

4.1.3 Internode distance (cm)

Significant difference for internode distance observed among the pumpkin genotype studied (Table 3). Mean sum of square was significant (15.764). The maximum internode distance was observed 16.25 cm in G14 (BD 223) and minimum was 11.34 cm which was recorded in G1 (BD 4587) with mean value 11.58 (appendix V). The difference between phenotypic variance (7.24) and genotypic variance (4.98) was slightly higher indicating less influence of environment on this character. The genotypic co-efficient of variation was 16.45 %, and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was 16.75 % respectively (Table 3). Heritability showed high (94.78) and moderate genetic advance (5.68) and genetic advance in percent of mean (36.43 %) revealed that character was controlled by additive genes the selection based on this character would be effective. Fayeun*et al.* (2012) also found high heritability and moderate genetic advance in percent of mean.

4.1.4 Days to first male flowering

Days to first male flowering showed significant variation among genotype mean square (28.875). The maximum duration was observed 74.57 in G7 (BD 249) and the minimum duration was 65.53 in G1 (BD 4567) with mean value 69.37 (Table 3). The difference between phenotypic variance (12.46) and genotypic variance (8.87) was with large environmental influence (Table 3). The genotypic co-efficient of variation (4.63 %) and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (5.58 %) respectively. Singh and Lal (2005) in their study reported similar result. Heritability showed high (68.85) with low genetic advance in percent of mean (4.78) revealed that which indicated character was controlled by non additive genes the selection based on this character would not be effective. Samsun Nahar (2009) estimated heritability for this trait was high (84.54%) and genetic advance in percent of mean (8.33%) revealed that the character was governed by non additive gene.

4.1.5 Days to first female flowering

Significant difference was observed among days to first female flowering in pumpkin genotypes studied (Table 3). Mean sum of square was significant (68.235). The maximum duration was observed 81.00 in G7 (BD 306) and the minimum duration was 66.00 in G6 (BD 309) with mean value 75.22. The difference between phenotypic variance (27.54) and genotypic variance (18.44) was with large environmental influence. The genotypic co-efficient of variation and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was 5.96 % and 7.84 % respectively (Table 3). Heritability showed high (77.86) with low genetic advance in percent of mean (11.38) revealed that character was controlled by non additive gene so the selection based on this character would not be effective. Singh and Lal (2005) also found similar result in their study.

4.1.6 Pedicel length of male flower (cm)

Mean sum of square for pedicel length was significant (68.483) in genotypes of pumpkin (Table 3). The maximum pedicel length was observed 22.35 in G15 (BD 242) and minimum was 7.77recorded in G10 (BD 258) with mean value 15.27 (Appendix V). The phenotypic variance (24.55) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (21.98) suggested that less influence of environment on the expression of this gene

controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation (30.40 %) and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (31.21 %) were close to each other (Table 3). Heritability showed high (91.86) and moderate genetic advance (8.84) and genetic advance in percent of mean (63.88) revealed that character was controlled by additive gene and the selection based on this character would be effective. Asmaul Husna (2009) also found high heritability (99.55%) and genetic advance for this trait in bottle gourd.

4.1.7 Pedicel length of female flower

Mean sum of square for pedicel length was significant (4.487) in genotypes of pumpkin. The maximum pedicel length was observed 6.73 in G2 (BD 2203) and minimum was 2.58 recorded in G5 (BD 309) with mean value 4.22 (Appendix V). The phenotypic variance (1.88) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (1.28) suggested that less influence of environment on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation (27.61%) and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (28.22%) were close to each other (Table 3). Asmaul Husna (2009) found similar result in bottle gourd. Heritability showed high (94.66%) and high genetic advance in percent of mean (54.18) revealed that character is controlled by additive genes and the selection based on this character would be effective.

4.1.8 Number of male flowers per plant

Significant difference observed among number of male flowering in pumpkin genotypes studied (Table 3). Mean sum of square was significant (21.883). The maximum number of male flower was 15.45 observed in G14 (BD 223) and the minimum number was 4.27 in G3 (BD 264) with mean value 8.58. The phenotypic variance (7.72) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (7.89) suggested that less influence of environment on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation was 30.17% and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was 32.43%, respectively. Husna *et al* (2011) found GCV 30.17% and PCV 32.43% which was similar to the present study. Heritability showed high (88.78) and with high genetic advance in percent of mean (55.85) revealed that character was controlled by additive gene so the selection based on this character would be effective.

4.1.9 Number of female flowers per plant

Significant difference was observed among number of female flowering in pumpkin genotypes studied (Table 3). Mean sum of square was significant (11.360). The maximum number of female flower was 10.97 observed in G14 (BD 223) and the minimum number was 3.88 in G2 (BD 2203) with mean value 5.24. The phenotypic variance (3.64) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (3.51) suggested that less influence of environment acted on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation was 29.88% and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was 31.52%, respectively. Husna *et al* (2011) found GCV 35.14% and PCV 38.08% which was similar to the present study. High heritabilty showed (88.22) with genetic advance in percent of mean (56.66) revealed that character was controlled by additive gene so the selection based on the character would be effective. Husna *et al.* (2011) also found high heritability (88.15) with high genetic advance in percent of mean (85.6).

4.1.10 Fruit Length (cm)

Mean sum of square of fruit length was significant (86.182). The maximum fruit length was found 42.47 in G7 (BD 249) and the minimum number was 23.87 in G6 (BD 204) with mean value 29.58. The phenotypic variance (33.77) appeared to be moderately higher than genotypic variance (28.44) suggested that moderate influence of environment acted on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation were observed 15.55% and 17.82%, respectively. Banik (2003) found the highest phenotypic co efficient of variation for fruit length. Mathew and Khader (1999) also reported high heritability for fruit length in snake gourd. Rahman *et al* indicated minimum differences between GCV and PCV in bottle gourd for fruit length. High heritability found (79.22) with moderately high genetic advance in percent of mean (31.98) revealed that character was controlled by additive gene so the selection based on this character would be effective. Devi and Maria pan (2013) found high heritability (99.99) with high genetic advance (97.13) which also revealed that the character was controlled by additive gene.

4.1.11 Fruit breadth (cm)

Significant mean sum of square of fruit breadth was found (288.92). The maximum fruit breadth was found 75.88 in G11 (BD 246) and the minimum number was 40.48 in G16 (BD 251) with mean value 58.62. The phenotypic variance (106.85) appeared to be higher than genotypic variance (91.46) suggested that influence of environment acted on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation was 18.27% and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was 18.20% respectively. Devi and Maria pan (2013) found GCV Phenotypic co efficient of variation 24.67 was slightly higher than genotypic co efficient of variation 24.66.Heritability found high (86.44) with moderately high genetic advance in percent of mean (32.42) revealed that character is controlled by additive gene so the selection based on this character would be effective. Asmaul Husna (2009) reported GCV and PCV were 15.84 and 17.39 respectively in bottle gourd and heritability (82.93%) estimates for this trait was high along with moderately high genetic advance in percent of mean (38.08).

4.1.12 Fruit weight (kg)

Significant mean sum of square of fruit weight was found (1.143). The maximum fruit weight found 3.44 kg in G12 (BD 2236) and the minimum fruit weight was 1.82 kg found in G16 (BD 251) with mean value 2.59. The phenotypic variance (0.43) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (0.35) suggested that less influence of environment acted on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation was 22.36% and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was 21.22%, respectively. Saha *et al* (1992) found similar GCV and PCV for the fruit weight in pumpkin. Kumaran *et al* (1997) reported similar types of result which confirmed the present findings. Heritability found high (93.29) with moderately high genetic advance in percent of mean (41.88) revealed that the character was controlled by additive gene so the selection based on this character would be effective. Rahman *et al.* (1986) also found the similar result in bottle gourd.

4.1.13 Fruit yield per plant (kg)

Mean sum of square of fruit weight was found was significant (58.654). The maximum fruit yield per plant found 17.34 kg in G14 (BD 223) and the minimum fruit yield per plant was 2.28 kg found in G6 (BD 204) with mean value 9.33. The phenotypic variance (18.85) appeared to be slightly higher than genotypic variance (18.56) suggested that less influence of environment acted on the expression of this gene controlling this trait. The genotypic co-efficient of variation was observed 45.66% and phenotypic co-efficient of variation was observed 46.58% respectively. Husna *et al* (2011) found GCV (52.02 %) and PCV (54.35) which confirmed the result of present study. High heritability (98.76) with very high genetic advance in percent of mean (98.53) revealed that character was controlled by additive gene so the selection based on this character would be highly effective. Narayankutty *et al.* (2006) fruit yield exhibited high values of heritability and genetic gain indicating additive gene effects are important in determining the character.

4.2 Correlation co-efficient

Yield is a complex product being influenced by several inter-dependable quantitative characters. Thus selection for yield may not be effective unless the other yield components influence it directly or indirectly are taken in to consideration. When selection pressure is exercised for improvement of any character highly associated with yield, it simultaneously affects a number of other correlated characters. Hence knowledge regarding association of character with yield and among themselves provides guideline to the plant breeder for making improvement through selection provide a clear understanding about the contribution in respect of establishing the association by genetic and non-genetic factors (Dewey and Lu 1959). Result of genotypic and phenotypic correlation co-efficient analysis of thirteen yield and yield contributing characters of pumpkin were estimated separately as vegetative character and reproductive character with yield shown in Table 4 which discussed character wise below:

4.2.1 Leaf length without petiole (cm)

Leaf length showed highly significant positive correlation with leaf breadth and internode distance at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicated that if leaf length increased these parameters will also be increased (Table 4). Insignificant and negative correlation was found with pedicel length of male flower, pedicel length of female flower and fruit

breadth. Positive but insignificant correlation was found in days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, number of male flower per plant, number of female flower per plant, fruit length and fruit weight and fruit yield per plant. Husna *et al*. (2014) also reported leaf length was positively insignificant correlated with fruit yield per plant. Li *et al* (1997) also found similar result in cucumber for this trait.

4.2.2 Leaf breadth (cm)

Highly significant and positive correlation was found between leaf breadth and internode distance (Table 4). Insignificant and negative correlation was found with pedicel length of male flower, pedicel length of female flower and fruit breadth at both genotypic and phenotypic level. Positive but insignificant correlation was found in days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, number of male flower per plant, number of female flower per plant, fruit length and fruit weight and fruit yield per plant. Husna *et al*. (2014) also found leaf breadth has positive insignificant correlation with fruit yield per plant.

4.2.3 Internode distance (cm)

Positive and insignificant correlation was found in days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, number of male flower per plant, number of female flower per plant, fruit length and fruit weight and fruit yield per plant indicating that association among these traits is largely influenced by environment (Table 4). Insignificant and negative correlation was found environment (Table 4). Insignificant and negative correlation was found with pedicel length of male flower, pedicel length of female flower and fruit breadth at both genotypic and phenotypic level. Positive and insignificant correlation between yield and internode distance showed the selection of genotypes with higher internode distance are expected to yield better.

Characters		Leaf breadth (cm)	Internode distance	Days to first Male flowering	Days to First female flowering	Pedicel length of Male flower (cm)	Pedicel Length of female flower (cm)	Number of male Flower per plant	Number of female flower per plant	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit Breadth (cm	Fruit weight (kg)	Fruit Yield Per plant(kg)
Leaf length without petiole (cm)	r p	0.731**	0.802**	0.254	0.378	-0.129	-0.268	0.160	0.285	0.234	-0.268	0.278	0.228
	r g	0.732**	0.807**	0.274	0.386	-0.141	-0.274	0.165	0.284	0.244	-0.268	0.277	0.218
Leaf breadth (cm)	r p		0.844**	0.206	0.293	0.016	-0.211	0.281	0.200	0.058	-0.181	0.123	0.161
	r g		0.842**	0.168	0.264	0.018	-0.213	0.291	0.201	0.073	-0.176	0.121	0.167
Internode distance	r p			0.124	0.128	-0.258	-0.217	0.135	0.171	0.067	-0.179	0.185	0.183
	r g			0.095	0.107	-0.261	-0.220	0.137	0.179	0.070	-0.178	0.186	0.185
Days to first male flowering	r p				0.911**	0.237	0.296	0.061	-0.125	0.615**	0.092	0.434	0.325
	r g				0.863**	0.238	0.304	0.061	-0.136	0.654**	0.107	0.449*	0.341
Days to first female flowering	r p					0.078	0.277	0.036	-0.128	0.651**	0.106	0.551**	0.404
	r g					0.073	0.283	0.041	-0.132	0.677**	0.103	0.563**	0.428
Pedicel length of male flower (cm)	r p						0.215	0.042	0.132	-0.074	-0.166	-0.484*	-0.233
	r g						0.218	0.036	0.138	-0.070	-0.167	-0.478*	-0.234
Pedicel Length of female flower (cm)	r p							-0.098	-0.345	0.141	0.142	0.107	0.163
	r g							-0.097	-0.355	0.142	0.146	0.109	0.164
Number of male flower per plant	r p								0.467*	-0.353	-0.440	-0.025	0.132
	r g								0.461*	-0.351	-0.440	-0.022	0.135
Number of female flower per plant	r p									-0.263	-0.757**	-0.162	0.181
	r g									-0.271	-0.769**	-0.164	0.183
Fruit length (cm)	r p										0.386	0.592**	0.575**
	r g										0.348	0.611**	0.581**
Fruit Breadth (cm)	r p											0.468*	0.131
	r g											0.474*	0.132
Fruit weight (kg)	r p												0.698**
	r g												0.699**

Table 4- Coefficient 0f phenotypic and genotypic correlation among different yield component of 16 genotypes

4.2.4 Days to first male flowering

The character showed highly significant and positive correlation with days to first female flowering and fruit length at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicated that the traits were governed by same gene and simultaneous improvement would be effective (Table 4). Fruit weight was significant and positively correlated at genotypic level indicating correlation between days to first male flowering and fruit weight had less influence of environment. Negative correlation but insignificant was found with number of female flower per plant which suggest if days to first male flowering increases number of female flower decreased. Positive correlation was found with pedicel length of male flower, pedicel length of female flower, number of male flower per plant, fruit breadth, fruit weight and fruit yield per plant at genotypic level.

4.2.5 Days to first female flowering

Days to first female flowering showed highly significant and positive correlation with and fruit length and fruit breadth at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicated that if days to first female flowering increases fruit length and breadth would be highly increased (Table 4). Negative correlation was found with number of female flower per plant which suggested that delayed of first female flowering increases the number of male flower. Positive association was found with pedicel length of male flower, pedicel length of female flower, number of male flower per plant, fruit breadth, and fruit yield per plant. Khan *et al* (2009) reported the similar result.

4.2.6 Pedicel length of male flower (cm)

Pedicel length of male flower showed positive but in sign cant correlation with pedicel length of female flower, number of male flower per plant, number of female flower per plant which indicated the traits were governed by same gene and improvement would be effective. Highly negative correlation was found with fruit weight indicates increased length of pedicel will be decreased the weight of fruit. Negative association was found with fruit length, fruit breadth and fruit yield per plant. Husna *et al*. (2014) also found that pedicel length of male flower negative but insignificant correlation with fruit yield per plant.

4.2.7 Pedicel length of female flower (cm)

The character showed negative but insignificant relation with number of male flower per plant and number of female flower per plant indicating decreased pedicel length would increase the number of male and female flower. Positive but insignificant relation was found with fruit length, fruit breadth, fruit weight and fruit yield per plant indicating if pedicel length increased fruit length, breadth, weight and yield would also be increased.

4.2.8 Number of male flowers per plant

Number of male flower per plant showed highly significant positive correlation with number of female flower per plant and positive but insignificant correlation with fruit yield per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic level. It indicated if number of male flower increased number of female flower would be highly increased and fruit yield would also be increased. The character found negative association with fruit length, fruit breadth and fruit weight indicating increased number of female flower would decrease fruit length, fruit breadth and fruit weight. Khan *et al* (2009) also found Number of male flower per plant has highly significant positive correlation with number of female flower per plant.

4.2.9 Number of female flowers per plant

This character showed highly significant negative correlation with fruit breadth and negative but insignificant correlation with length and fruit weight at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicating if number of female flower increased fruit breadth would be decreased highly and fruit length and weight would also be decreased. Number of female flower had positive correlation with fruit yield per plant indicating that if the number of female flower increased number of fruit also increased. Mohanty (2001) reported similar trend of relationship.

4.2. 10 Fruit length (cm)

Fruit length showed positive but insignificant correlation with fruit breadth at both genotypic and phenotypic level (Table 4). Fruit length was highly significant with fruit weight and fruit yield per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicating if fruit length increased fruit weight and fruit yield per plant would be highly increased.

[56]Narayankutty *et al*. (2006) reported that yield is strongly correlated with fruit length in snake gourd. Chowdhury and Sarma (2002) studied *Luffa acutangula* cultivars and observed that yield per hectare can be improved through selection of fruit length.

4.2.11 Fruit breadth (cm)

Positive and highly significant correlation was found with fruit weight and fruit length at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicating if fruit breadth may increase fruit weight and fruit length may also increase. Narayankutty *et al.* (2006) reported that yield is strongly correlated with fruit breadth in snake gourd. Khan *et al* (2009) found fruit breadth is positively correlated with fruit weight.

4.2.12 Fruit weight (kg)

Fruit weight showed highly significant positive correlation with fruit yield per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic level (Table 4) indicated that if fruit weight increased, then the fruit yield and number of fruit also increased. Narayankutty *et al.* (2006) reported that yield is strongly correlated with fruit weight in snake gourd. Khan *et al* (2009) also found fruit weight has positive high correlation with yield. Husna *et al*. (2014) also found similar result in bottle gourd. Chowdhury and Sarma (2002) studied *Luffa acutangula* cultivars and observed that yield per hectare can be improved through selection of individual fruit weight. Prasana *et al*. (2002) found in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) fruit yield per hectare was positively associated with fruit weight. Kumaresan *et al*. (2006) yield per vine in snake gourd was positively associated with fruit weight.

4.3 Path analysis

Association of character determined by correlation co-efficient may not provide an exact picture of the relative importance of direct and indirect influence of each of yield components. In order to find out a clear picture of the inter relationship between yield per plant and other yield attributes, direct and indirect effects were worked out using path analysis at phenotypic level which also measured the relative importance of each component. Estimation of direct and indirect effect of path co-efficient analysis for pumpkin presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Path coefficient analysis of sixteen (16) genotypes showing direct and indirect effects of different characters on yield of pumpkin.

Characters	LLWP	LB	ID	DFMF	DFFF	PLMF	PLFF	NMF	NFF	FL	FB	FW	FY
LLWP	-1.404	0.633	0.544	0.361	-0.201	0.0235	-0.0122	-0.0543	0.1612	-0.0366	0.0501	0.251	0.223
LB	-1.66	0.754	0.5001	0.1691	-0.1351	-0.0029	-0.0093	-0.1018	0.1183	-0.0133	0.0348	0.1122	0.158
ID	-1.267	0.6232	0.603	0.0877	-0.0553	0.0451	-0.0096	-0.0482	0.1054	-0.0108	0.0348	0.1773	0.188
DFMF	-0.461	0.1314	0.0545	0.963	-0.4311	-0.0429	0.0133	-0.0215	-0.087	-0.0868	-0.0209	0.4288	0.344
DFFF	-0.5951	0.2013	0.0657	0.8235	-0.505	-0.0124	0.0122	-0.0143	-0.0755	-0.0152	-0.0205	0.5564	0.412
PLMF	0.2131	0.0126	-0.1557	0.2393	-0.0363	-0.173	0.0095	-0.0132	0.0813	0.0123	0.0307	-0.4572	-0.244
PLFF	0.4252	-0.1585	-0.1325	0.2941	-0.1418	-0.0375	0.0439	0.0345	-0.2165	-0.0226	-0.0283	0.1017	0.166
NMF	-0.2344	0.2168	0.0821	0.0578	-0.0202	-0.0064	-0.0043	-0.355	0.2718	0.0547	0.086	-0.0208	0.132
NFF	-0.4125	0.1503	0.1074	-0.1427	0.0651	-0.0238	-0.0161	-0.1626	0.587	0.0433	0.1503	-0.1655	0.183
FL	-0.3503	0.0633	0.0423	0.5351	-0.0490	0.0122	0.0063	0.1235	-0.1588	-0.156	-0.0684	0.5843	0.588**
FB	0.385	-0.144	-0.1065	0.1022	-0.0531	0.0272	0.0062	0.1548	-0.4522	-0.0548	-0.195	0.4507	0.133
FW	-0.4014	0.0882	0.1114	0.4344	-0.2942	0.0827	0.0045	0.0078	-0.1025	-0.0961	-0.0925	0.953	0.698**

Residual effect =0.3274 **correlation is significant at the 0.01 level 8 correlation at the 0.05 level.

Diagonally bold figures indicate the direct effect

LLWP = Leaf length without petiole (cm), LB= Leaf breadth (cm), ID = Internode distance (cm), DFMF = Days after first male flowering,

DFFF = Days after first female flowering, PLMF = Pedicel length of first male flowering, PLFF = Pedicel length of first female flowering,

NMF = Number of male flower per plant, NFF = Number of female flower per plant, FL = Fruit length (cm), FB =

Fruit breadth (cm), FW = Fruit weight (kg), FY = Fruit yield per plant (kg)

4.3.1 Leaf length without petiole (cm)

Leaf length without petiole showed negatively direct effect (-1.404) on yield (Table 5) .This character showed highest positive indirect effect through leaf breadth (0.633) followed by internode distance (0.544), days to first male flowering (0.361), fruit weight (0.251), number of female flower per plant (0.1612), fruit breadth (0.0501), and pedicel length of male flower (0.0235). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield via days to first female flowering -0.201), pedicel length of female flower *(-0.0122)*, number of male flower per plant (-0.0543), fruit length *(-0.0366)* which were contributed to result insignificant positive genotypic correlation with yield per plant (0.223). Lie et al. (1997) also found similar result in cucumber for their trait. Shamima Sultana (2011) also found negative direct effect (-0.041) on yield.

4.3.2 Leaf breadth

Leaf breadth showed a positive direct effect (0.754) on yield (Table 5). This character showed highest positive indirect effect through internode distance (0.5001), days to first male flowering (0.1691), number of female flower per plant (0.1183), fruit weight (0.1122) and fruit breadth (0.0348). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield via leaf length without petiole (-1.66), days to first female flowering (-0.1351), pedicel length of male flower (-0.0029), pedicel length of female flower (-0.0093), number of male flower per plant (-0.1018), and fruit length (-0.0133) which finally made insignificant positive correlation between leaf breadth and yield per plant (0.158).

4.3.3 Internode distance (cm)

Internode distance showed a positive direct effect (0.603) on yield (Table 5). This character showed highest positive indirect effect through leaf breadth (0.6232) followed by fruit weight (0.1773), number of female flower per plant (0.1054), days to first male flowering (0.0877), pedicel length of male flower (0.0451), fruit breadth (0.0348). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield via leaf length without petiole (-1.267), days to first female flowering (-0.0553), pedicel length of female flower (-0.0096), number of male flower per plant

(-0.0482) and fruit length (-0.0108) which finally made insignificant positive correlation between internode distance and yield per plant (0.188).

4.3.4 Days to first male flowering

Days to first male flowering showed a positive direct effect (0.963) on yield (Table 5). This character showed highest positive indirect effect through fruit weight (0.4288), leaf breadth (0.1314), and internode distance (0.0545), pedicel length of female flower (0.0133). The negative indirect characters via leaf length without petiole (-0.461), days to first female flowering (-0.4311), pedicel length of male flower (-0.0429), Number of male flower per plant (-0.0215), and Fruit breadth (-0.0209) which finally contributed to insignificant positive genotypic correlation with (0.344).

4.3.5 Days to first female flowering

The character showed a negative direct effect *(-0.505)* on yield (Table 5). Days to first female flowering showed highest positive indirect effect on days to first male flowering (0.8235) followed by fruit weight (0.5564), leaf breadth (0.2013), internode distance (0.0657), pedicel length of female flower (0.0122). The negative indirect character via leaf length without petiole (-0.5951), pedicel length of male flower (-0.0124), number of male flower per plant (-0.0143), number of female flower per plant (-0.0755), fruit length (-0.0152) and fruit breadth (-0.0205). The cumulative effect produced a positive insignificant correlation with yield (0.412).

4.3.6 Pedicel length of male flower (cm)

Male flower pedicel length showed a negative direct effect *(-0.173)* on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect on days to first male flowering (0.2393), leaf length without petiole (0.2131) followed by number of female flower per plant (0.0813), fruit breadth (0.0307), fruit length (0.0123). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through internode distance (-0.1557), days to first female flowering (-0.0363), number of male flower per plant (-0.0132), and fruit weight (-0.4572) which finally produced negative insignificant correlation with yield (-0.244).

4.3.7 Pedicel length of female flower (cm)

Pedicel length of female flower showed a positive direct effect (0.0439) on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect leaf length without petiole

(0.4252), followed by days to first male flowering (0.2941), fruit weight (0.1017), number of male flower plant (0.0345). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through leaf breadth (-0.1585), internode distance (-0.1557), days to first female flowering (-0.1418), pedicel length of male flower (-0.0375), number of female flower per plant (-0.2165), fruit length (-0.0226), and fruit breadth (-0.0283) which finally contributed positive insignificant correlation with yield (0.166). Asmaul Husna (2009) found negative correlation with fruit yield per plant regarding these characters.

4.3.8 Number of male flowers per plant

Number of male flower per plant showed negative direct effect (*-0.355*) on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect via number of female flower per plant (0.2718) followed by leaf breadth (0.2168), internode distance (0.0821), days to first male flowering (0.0578), fruit length (0.0547). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through leaf length without petiole (-0.2344), days to first female flowering (-0.0202), pedicel length of male flower (-0.0064), pedicel length of female flower (-0.0043) and fruit weight (-0.0208). The cumulative effect produced a positive insignificant correlation with yield (0.132). Husnaet al (2011) also found negative direct effect of number of male flower on yield.

4.3.9 Number of female flowers per plant

Number of female flower per plant showed positive direct effect (**0.587**) on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect via leaf breadth (0.1503), followed by fruit breadth (0.1503), internode distance (0.1074), days to first female flowering (0.0651), fruit length (0.0433). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through leaf length without petiole (-0.4125), days to first male flowering (-0.1427), pedicel length of male flower (-0.0238), pedicel length of female flower (-0.0161), number of male flower per plant (-0.1626) and fruit weight (-0.1655) which finally produced a positive insignificant yield (0.183). Shamima Sultana (2011) found similar result in sweet gourd.

4.3.10 Fruit length (cm)

Fruit length showed negative direct effect (*-0.156*) on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect via fruit weight (0.5843), followed by days to first male flowering (0.5351), number of male flower per plant (0.1235), leaf breadth (0.0633), internode distance (0.0423), pedicel length of male flower (0.0122), pedicel length of female flower (0.0063). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through leaf length without petiole (-0.3503), days to first female flowering (-0.0490), number of female flower per plant (-0.1588) and fruit breadth (-0.0684). The cumulative effect produced a highly significant positive correlation with yield (0.588). Husna *et al* (2011) also found negative direct effect of fruit length on yield.

4.3.11 Fruit breadth (cm)

Fruit breadth showed negative direct effect (*-*0.195) on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect through fruit weight (0.4507), leaf length without petiole (0.385), number of male flower per plant (0.1548), days to first male flowering (0.1022), pedicel length of male flower (0.0272), pedicel length of female flower (0.0062). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through leaf breadth (-0.144), internode distance (-0.1065), days to first female flowering (-0.0531), number of female flower per plant (-0.4522) and fruit length (-0.0548) which finally produced a positive significant yield (0.133).

4.3.12 Fruit weight (kg)

Fruit weight showed positive direct effect (0.953) on yield (Table 5). The character showed highest positive indirect effect through days to first male flowering (0.4344), followed by internode distance (0.1114), leaf breadth (0.0882), pedicel length of male flower (0.0827), number of male flower per plant (0.0078), pedicel length of female flower (0.0045). The character also produced negative indirect effect on yield through leaf length without petiole (-0.4014), days to first female flowering (-0.2942), number of female flower per plant (-0.1025), fruit length (-0.0961) and fruit breadth (-0.0925). The cumulative effect produced a highly significant positive correlation with yield (0.698). Husna et al (2011) also found negative direct effect of fruit weight on yield, and also found highly significant positive correlation with yield. Kumaresan et al (2006) conducted an experiment in snake gourd and path coefficient analysis revealed that it

would be highly rewarding to lay emphasis on the number of fruit per vine and fruit weight to increase the yield per vine directly. The result is similar with the findings of Asmaul Husna (2009) in bottle gourd.

4.4 Genetic Diversity Analysis

The genetic diversity of pumpkin advanced lines is presented in Table 6 to Table 10.

4.4.1 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis was carried out with 16 genotypes of pumpkin. The computed eigen values for the 13 variables subjected to principal component analysis together with the corresponding proportion and cumulative explained variance are given in Table 6. Following the Proportion of Variance Criterion, three principal components were retained and these are the principal components whose cumulative explained variances were equal to or more than 99%. In summary, the principal component analysis resulted in the reduction of the 13 original variables to three independent linear combination, principal component of variables. The first principal component accounted for 28.18% of the total variation while principal components two and three accounted for 22.44% and 12.55%, respectively (Table 6).

Table 6. Eigen value, % variance and cumulative (%) total variance of the principal components Principal component axes Eigen value % Variance Cumulative (%) total variance

Principal component axes	Eigen value	% Variance	Cumulative (%) total variance
I	3.91	28.18	28.8
II	3.11	22.44	53.72
III	1.77	12.55	64.48
IV	1.28	10.35	78.12
V	0.88	5.44	84.92
VI	0.56	5.11	90.08
VII	0.44	4.15	94.46
VIII	0.22	1.68	96.22
IX	0.14	1.15	97.42
X	0.11	0.65	98.32
XI	0.06	0.36	99.86
XII	0.04	0.22	99.96
XIII	0.01	0.14	100.00

4.4.2 Non-Hierarchical Clustering

Twenty pumpkin genotypes were grouped into five different clusters nonhierarchical clustering (Table 7). These results confirmed the clustering pattern of the genotypes obtained through principal component analysis. Kunduet al. (2012) studied 36 genotypes of bitter gourd and genotypes were grouped into six distinct clusters. Khatunet al. (2010) conducted an experiment in 38 snake gourd genotypes and the genotypes were grouped into four different clusters. Asmaul Husna (2009) reported five clusters in bottle gourd. Gaffar (2008) reported similar number of clustering in fifteen sponge gourd genotype. In this study cluster I, cluster II and cluster III had the highest number of genotypes four, cluster IV and cluster V had 2 genotypes (Table 7). Cluster I had G2 (BD 2203), G6 (BD 204), G9 (BD 9492) and G16 (BD 251). Cluster II consisted G3 (BD 264), G11 (BD 246), G14 (BD 223), and G15 (BD 242). Cluster III consisted G4 (BD 2212), G7 (BD 249), G8 (BD 245) and G13 (BD 2205). Cluster IV consisted G5 (BD 309) and G10 (BD 258). Cluster V constituted by G1 (BD 4587) and G12 (BD 2236).

Table 7. Distribution of genotypes in different clusters Cluster number of genotypes Percent (%) Name of genotypes

Cluster number	Number of genotypes	Percent (%)	Name of genotype
I	4	25.00	G2, G6, G9 and G16
II	4	25.00	G3, G11, G14 and G15
III	4	25.00	G4, G7, G8 and G13
IV	2	12.50	G5 and G10
V	2	12.50	G1 and G12

Among the thirteen genotypes cluster V earned the highest cluster mean value for leaf length without petiole (19.95), internode distance (17.64), number of male flower per plant (13.68), number of female flower per plant (9.44), fruit weight (4.06) and fruit yield per plant (13.02) in Table 8. The genotypes included in cluster IV were highest mean value for leaf breadth (21.75), fruit length (34.32), and fruit breadth (68.66) (Table 8). Cluster II produced maximum cluster mean for days to first male flowering (73.44), days to first female flowering (79.89), pedicel length of male flower (19.98), and pedicel length of female flower (6.46).

Table 8. Cluster mean for thirteen yield and yield characters of pumpkin genotypes

Characters	Cluster I	Cluster II	Cluster III	Cluster IV	Cluster V
Leaf length without petiole (cm)	16.82	16.56	16.24	19.35	19.95
Leaf breadth (cm)	18.22	18.53	19.55	21.75	21.45
Internode distance	12.25	12.38	12.76	16.72	17.64
Days to first male flowering	70.00	73.44	68.18	73.33	65.68
Days to first female flowering	75.12	79.89	70.08	78.83	76.19
Pedicel length of male flower (cm)	12.58	19.98	18.88	15.15	11.22
Pedicel Length of female flower (cm)	4.32	6.46	3.88	4.66	3.57
Number of male flowers per plant	7.52	11.55	9.32	9.33	13.68
Number of female flowers per plant	5.82	6.58	7.18	5.92	9.44
Fruit length (cm)	32.33	31.42	26.72	34.32	29.26
Fruit Breadth (cm)	68.33	57.56	52.64	68.66	48.38
Fruit weight (kg)	2.94	2.64	1.32	3.12	4.06
Fruit yield per plant (kg)	11.18	9.85	5.44	8.98	13.02

4.4.3 Canonical Variety Analysis (CVA)

Canonical variety analysis was done to compute the inter-cluster distances. The intra and inter-cluster distance (D2) values were shown in Table 9. In this experiment, the inter-cluster distances were higher than the intra-cluster distances thus indicating broader genetic diversity among the genotypes of different groups. The highest inter cluster distance was observed between clusters II and V (35.33), followed by between cluster I and V (33.74), cluster II and IV (32.65), cluster II and III (31.35), and between cluster III and V (30.25). However, the maximum inter-cluster distance was observed between the Clusters II and V (*35.33*), indicating genotypes from these two clusters, if involved in hybridization may produce a wide spectrum of segregating population. On the other hand, the maximum intra-cluster distance was found in cluster IV (27.77), which contained of 4 genotypes, while the minimum distance was found in cluster I (18.88) that comprises 2 genotypes. It is assumed that the maximum amount of heterosis will be manifested in cross combination involving the genotypes belonging to most divergent clusters. Furthermore, for a practical plant breeder, the objective is to achieve high-level production in addition to high heterosis. In the present study the maximum distance existence between cluster II and V.

Table 9. Intra (Bold) and inter cluster distances (D2) for 16 genotypes Characters I II III IV V

Characters	I	II	III	IV	V
I	18.88	22.22	26.62	31.11	33.74
II		21.28	31.35	32.65	35.33
III			22.02	28.44	30.25
IV				27.77	24.88
V					22.66

4.4.4 Contribution of traits towards divergence of the genotypes

The latent vectors (Z1 and Z2) obtained from principal component analysis (PCA). The important characters responsible for genetic divergence in the axis of differentiation in vector I (Z1) were days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, pedicel length of male flower (cm), fruit length (cm), fruit Breadth (cm), fruit weight (kg), fruit Yield per plant (kg) in Table10. In the Vector II leaf length without petiole (cm), leaf breadth (cm), internode distance, days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, pedicel length of male flower (cm), pedicel length of female flower (cm),

number of male flower per plant, number of female flower per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit yield per plant (kg) showed their important role toward genetic divergence. The role of days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, pedicel length of male flower (cm), fruit length (cm), fruit weight (kg), fruit yield per plant (kg) in both the vectors was important components for genetic divergence in these materials. Kundu *et al* (2012) found days to first male flowering and fruit yield per plant in both vectors is important components in genetic divergence of bitter gourd.

4.4.5 Selection of parents for future hybridization

Selection of genetically diverse parents is the prime task for any plant breeding activities. Therefore, considering the magnitude of genetic distance, contribution of character towards divergence, magnitude of cluster mean and agronomic performance the genotype G6 (BD 204) for minimum days to first female flowering from cluster III, G14 (BD 223) for maximum number of fruit yield and for maximum number of female flowering from cluster V, G11 (BD 246) for maximum fruit breadth from cluster IV, G12 (BD 2236) for maximum fruit weight from cluster II. Therefore considering group distance and other agronomic performances the inter genotypic crosses between G14 (BD 223) and G6 (BD 204); G12 (BD 2236) and G6 (BD 204); G14 (BD 223) and G11 (BD 246) may be suggested for future hybridization program.

Table 10. Relative contribution of thirteen characters towards divergence of the genotypes

Characters	Vector 1	Vector 1
Leaf length without petiole (cm)	-0.0399	0.18393
Leaf breadth (cm)	-0.03201	0.12211
Internode distance	-0.0335	0.11187
Days to first male flowering	0.07457	0.34996
Days to first female flowering	0.1249	0.54739
Pedicel length of male flower (cm)	0.02128	0.02538
Pedicel Length of female flower (cm)	-0.0868	0.03034
Number of male flowers per plant	-0.12577	0.05518
Number of female flowers per plant	-0.13194	0.05916
Fruit length (cm)	0.29447	0.52623
Fruit Breadth (cm)	0.91269	-0.28795
Fruit weight (kg)	0.03278	0.04081
Fruit yield per plant (kg)	0.11443	0.38344

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

- Significant variation was observed among the tested genotypes.
- Maximum leaf length (22.37 cm) was observed in G_{12} (BD2236) and the lowest (13.20 cm) in G_8 (BD245), maximum internodes distances (16.25 cm) noticed in G_{14} (BD223) and minimum (11.34 cm) in G_1 (BD4587).
- Highest pedicel length (6.73 cm) of female flower was recorded in G_2 (BD2203) and the lowest (2.58 cm) in G_5 (BD309).
- Maximum number of male flower (15.45) was observed in G_{14} (BD223) and the lowest (4.27) in G_3 (BD264), maximum average fruit yield/plant (17.34 Kg) was noticed in G_{14} (BD223) and the minimum (2.28 Kg) was found in G_6 (BD204).
- The phenotypic variance was higher than the corresponding genotypic variance in all the studied characters, indicating greater influence of environment on the expression of these characters.
- The maximum genetic advance was observed in fruit breadth (17.6) with high heritability, followed by pedicel length of male flower (8.8) among thirteen characters of sweet gourd genotypes indicated influences of additive gene effect and selection of these characters would be effective.
- Path co-efficient analysis revealed those days to first male flowering had highest positive direct effect (0.91) on yield per plant followed by fruit weight (0.70), leaf breadth (0.84), and internodes distance (0.603), number of female flower per plant (0.587). Such results indicated that direct selection based on these characters would be effective for yield improvement in pumpkin.
- Fruit weight via fruit yield per plant had highest positive indirect effect (0.70).
- The highest inter cluster distance was observed between clusters II and V (35.33), followed by between cluster I and V (33.74), cluster II and IV (32.65), cluster II and III (31.35), and between cluster III and V (30.25). On the other hand, the maximum intra-cluster distance was found in cluster IV (27.77), while the minimum distance was found in cluster I (18.88).
- Considering group distance and other agronomic performances the inter genotypic crosses between G14 (BD 223) and G1 (BD 4587); G12 (BD 2236) and G6 (BD 204); G14 (BD 223) and G12 (BD 2236) may be suggested for future hybridization program.

CHAPTER VI
REFERENCES

Abusaleha and Dutta, 0.P. (1990).Studies on variability, heritability and scopeof improvement in cucumber.*Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* **19**(3): 349-352.

Ahamed, K.U., Akhter , B., Islam M.R., Ara N. and Humauan, M.R. (2011).An assessment of morphology and yield characteristics of pumpkin(*cucurbitamoschata*) genotypes in northern Bangladesh.*Tropic.Agril.Res. ext*. **14**(1).

Akter, S., Rasul, M. G., Aminul, A. K. M.I and Hossain, M.M. (2013). Geneticvariability, correlation and path co efficient analysis of yield and qualitytraits in pumpkin. (*Cucurbitamoschata*Duch ex Poir). Bangladesh *J.Plant. Breed. Genet*.**26** (1): 25-33.

Arora, S., Pandita M. L., Pratap P. S. and Sidhu A. S. (1983).Variability andcorrelation studies in sponge gourd (*Luffacylindrica). Haryana Agric.Univ. J. Res.* **13**(1): 146-149.

AsmaulHusna (2009). Genetic diversity, correlation and path co-efficientanalysis in bottle gourd (*Lagenariasiceraria*L.). MS Thesis. Dept.Genet.and Plant Breed, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University. Dhaka.Ateng, B. (1998). Comparative advantage and crop diversification inBangladesh.The University Press.

Badade, D.S., Warade, S.D. and Gaikwad, S.K. (2001). Correlation studies inbottle gourd. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **26**(1): 20-22.

Banik, B. R. (2003). Variability, gene action and heterosis in snake gourd(*Trichosanthesanguina*L.).Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Genet.andPlantBreed, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University,Salna, Gazipur.Bharathi,

L. K., Naik G., and Dora, D. K. (2005). Genetic divergence in snakeground.*Veg. Sci*. **32**(2): 179-181.

Bharathi, L.K., Naik, G., and Dora, D.K. (2006).Studies on genetic variabilityingourd.*Indian J. Hort.* **63**(1): 96-97.Burton, G.W. (1952). Quantitative inheritance in Grasses.*Int. GrasslandCong.* **1**: 277-283.

Chaudhury, M. L. and Mandal, G. (1987).Correlation and path analysis incucumber (*Cucumissativus*L.).*Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* **16** (3&4): 269-273.Chezhiyan, N. (1984). Studies on the performance of certain indigenousvarieties of watermelon (*Citullusvulgaris*). *South Indian Hort*. **32**(3):163-166.

Chowdhury, D. and Sharma, K. C. (2002).Studies on variability, heritability,genetic advance and correlation in ridge gourd (*Luffaacutangula*Roxb.).*Hort. J.* **15**(3): 53-58.

Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysisof components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J*. **51**:515-518.

Dora, D. K., (2001). Genetic divergence in pointed gourd (*Trichosanthesdiocia*).*Veg. Sci*. **28**(2): 170-171.

Dora, D. K., Behera, T.K., Acharya, G.C., Motapatra, P., and Mishra, B. (2003). Genetic variability and character association in pointed gourd (*Trichosanthesdioica*).*Indian J. Hort*. **60**(2): 163-166.

Fayeun, L. S.1., Odiyi A. C., Makinde S. C. O. and Aiyelari O. P. (2012).Genetic variability and correlation studies in the fluted pumpkin (*Telfairiaoccidentalis*).*J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci.* **4**(10): 156-160.

Gaffar, A. (2008). Characterization and genetic diversity of sponge gourd (*Luffacylindrica*L.). MS Thesis.Dept. Genet.and Plant Breed, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University.

Dhaka.Gaur, P., Gupta, C. and Kishore, H. (1978).Studies on genetic divergence inpotato.*Euphytica*.**27**: 361-368.

Griffing, B. and Lindstone, E. W. (1954). A study of combining abilities ofcorn in breeds having varying proportion of corn germplasm. *Agron. J*.**46**(4): 454-

552.Grubben, G. J. H. (2004). Vegetables, backhuys publishers, Leiden. pp: 354-357.

Hanson, C. H., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock , R. E. (1956). Biometricalstudies of yield in segregation population of Korean Lespedza. *Agron J*.**48**: 268-272.

Harhsawardhan, C. and Ram, H. H. (2003). Genetic diversity studies in muskmelon. *Ann. Agril. Res*. **24**(2): 345-349.

Hazra, P., Ghosh, R. and Nath, S. (2003). Identification of important yield components in pointed gourd (*T anguina*Roxb). *Crop Res. Hisar*. **25**(2):244-252.

Husna, A. Mahmud, F., Islam, M.R., Mahmud.M. A. A. and Ratna, M. M.(2011).Genetic Variability, correlation and path co-efficient analysis inbottle gourd (*Lagenariasiceraria*L.).*Adv. Biol. Res.* **5**(6): 323-327.

Husna, A., Maih, M. A., Begum, S., Shilpi, S. Z. and. Islam, M. R. (2014).Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient analysis based onvegetative characters in bottle gourd (*LagenariaSiceraria*L.).*Adv.Agric. Biol.* **3**(1)**:** 8-12.

Islam, M. R, Faruquei, M. A. B., Bhuiyan, M. A. R., Biswas, P. S. and Salam,M. A. (2004).Genetic diversity in irrigated rice.*Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.***7**(2): 226-229.

Islam, M. R., Hossain, M. S., Bhuiyan, M. S. R., Hasan, G. N., and Syed, A.(2010).Multivaritc analysis of bitter gourd (*Momordicaacharantia*L.).*J. Sci. Res.* **5**(2): 86-90.

Johnson, H. W., Robinson H.F. and Comstock R.E. (1995).Estimation ofgenetic and environmental variability in soybean.*Agron. J*. **47**: 314-318.

Kabir, M. E. (2007). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis ofpointed gourd (*Trichosanthesdioica*Roxb.) MS Thesis. Dept. of Hort.and Post Harv.Technol. Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University.

Karuppaiah, P., Kavitha, R. and Senthilkumar, P. (2005).Divergent analysis inbitter gourd (*Momordicacharantia*).*Indian. J. Hort.* **46**(2): 314-319.

Khan, M. A. S., Kabir, M.Y. and Alam, M. M. (2009). Variability, correlationpath analysis of yield and yield componentsof pointed Gourd. *J Agric Rural Dev.* **7**(1&2): 93-98.

Khan, A. S, M. R., Rabbani, M. G., Siddique, M. A. and Hossain, M. I. (2008).Study on genetic diversity of pointed gourd using morphologicalcharacters. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res*. **33**(3): 607-616.

Khatun, R. M. and Rahrnan. M. G. (2010). Estimation of genetic diversity insnake gourd (*Trichosanthescucumerina*).*Bangladesh J. Agril. Res*.**35**(1): 95-100.

Khule, A. A., Tikka, S.B.S., Jadhav, D.J. and Kajale, D.B. (2011).Correlationand path coefficient analysis in sponge gourd (*Luffacylindrica*).*Int. J.Plant Sci.* **6(2)**: 277-279.

Kumar, S. Singh, R. and Pal, A. K. (2007).Genetic variability, heritability,genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in bottle gourd(*Lagenariasiceraria*L.).*Indian J. Hort*. **64**(2): 163-168.

Kumaran, S. S., Natarajan, S. and Thamburaj, S. (1998). Correlation and pathanalysis studies in pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata Poir*.). *South IndianHort*. **46**(3): 138-142

Kumaresan, G. R., Makesh, S., Ramaswamy, N. (2006). Character associationand path coefficient studies in snake gourd (*Trichosanthesanguina*L.).*Res. Crops.***7**(2): 510-513.

Kundu, B.C., Hossain, M.M., Khaleque, M.A. and Mian, I.H. (2012).Geneticdivergence in bitter gourd (*Momordicacharntia*L.)*J. Asiat. Soc.Bangladesh, Sci*. **38**(2): 125-134.

Li, J. W., Sun, S. R. and Rer, Y. H. (1997). Study on genetic correlation, pathanalysis of the main agronomic characters of cucumber. *Acta.Agril.Univ*. **31**(3): 244-24.

Lu, A. and Jeffrey, C. (2015).*Cucurbitalinnaeus*.*Flora of China*.Retrieved.100

Mabberley, D. J. (2008).*The Plant Book : A portable dictionary of thevascular plants*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp.235.

Mahalanobis, P. C. (1936). On the generalized distance in statistics.*Proc. Nat.Inst. Sci., India.* **2**: 49-55.

Mangal, J. L., Dixit, J., Pandita, L. and Sidhu, A.S. (1981).Genetic variabilityand correlation studies in bitter gourd (*Momordicacharantia*L).*Indian.J. Hort.* **38**: 94-98.

Mannan, M. A. (1992).Studies on variability, genetic parameters andcorrelation in bitter gourd.M.S. Thesis, Dept. of Genet.Plant. Breed.Bangladesh Agricultural University.

Mansour, E. H., Dworschak. E., Erno, L., Andrea., B. B. and Gergely, A.(1993). Nutritive value of pumpkin (*CucurbitaPepo*) seed products.*J.Sci. Food Agric.* **61**(1): 73–78.

Masud, M. A. T., Chauwdhury, M. A. Z., Hossain, M. A. and Hossain, S. M.M. (1995).Multivariate analysis in pumpkin (*Cucubitamoschata*).*Bangladesh J. Plant. Breed. Genet.***8**(1 &2): 45-50.

Masud, M. A. T., Rashid, M. H., Chowdhury, M. A. Z. and Uddin, M. S.(2006). Combining ability and heterosis in bottle gourd (*Lagenariasiceraria*).*Bangladesh Plant. Breed. Genet.***19**(l): 41-44.

Mathew, S. S. and Khader, K. M. A. (1999). Genetic studies in snake gourd(*Trichosanthesanguina*L.). *J. Trop. Agril.* **37**(1-2): 71-72.

Miah, M. A., Rahman, M. M., Uddin, M. S., Rahman, A. K. M. M. and Ullah.M. H. (2000). Genetic association in bitter gourd *Bangladesh. J. Sci.Tech.* **2**(1): 2125.

Miller, P.J., Williams, J.C., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1958).Estimation of genotypic and environmental variance and co-variance inupland cotton and their implication in selection.*Agron. J.* **50**: 126-131.

Mohanty, B. K. (2001). Studies on correlation and path analysis in pumpkin(*Cucurbitamoschata*). Haryana *J. Hort. Sci.* **30**(1-2): 86-89.

Mondal, S. N., Rashid, A., Inouve, K., Hossain, A. K. M. A., and Hossain, M.A. (1989).Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient analysisin watermelon. *Bangladesh J. Plant Breed.Genet.***2**(1): 31-35.

Murty, B. R. and Arunachalam, I.J. (1966).The nature of genetic divergence inrelation to breeding system in crop plants.*Indian J. Genet.***26**: 188-198.

Naik, A., Akhtar, S., Chattopadhyay, A. and Hazra, P. (2012). Study of geneticvariability, heritability and genetic advance for fruit quality characters inTeasle gourd (*Momordicasubangulata*). *African J. of Agric Res*. **7**(49)**:**650-652.

Narayan, R., Singh, S. P., Sharna, D. K. and Rastogi, K. B. (1996).Geneticvariability and selection parameters in bottle gourd (*Lagenariasicerraria*L.).*Indian J. Hort*. **53**(1): 53-58

Narayanankutty, C., Sunanda, C.K., and Jaikumaran, U. (2006).Geneticvariability and character association analysis in snake gourd.*IndianJ.Hort*. **63**(4): 402-406.

Parhi, G. G., Mishra, H. N. and Mishra, R. S. (1995).Correlation and pathcoefficientstudies in bitter gourd (*Momordicacharantia*L.).*Indian J.Hort*. **52**(2):132-136.

Paris, H.S. (1996). Summer squash: history, diversity and distribution. *Hort.Technol*. **6**(1): **6-13.**

Paris, H.S. (2010). History of the cultivar-groups of *Cucurbitapepo*.pp.72-73.

Prasad,V. S. R. K., and Singhi, D. P. (1990). Genetic variability and heritabilitystudies in sponge gourd (*Luffaaegyptica*, Mill.).*Haryana J. Hort. Sci*.**19**(1- 2): 222-224.

Prasanna, S. C., Krishnappa, K. S., and Reddy, N. S. (2002). Correlation andpath coefficient analysis studies in ridge gourd. *Bangalore Agril. Univ.J. Res* **31**(9/10): 150-152.

Preeti S., Singh, D. K., Damke, S. R., and Harshawardhan C. (2010).Geneticdiversity in indigenous germplasm of ash gourd.*Indian J. Hort.* **67**: 208-213.

Quamruzzaman, A.K.M., Rashid. M.A., Masud. M.A.T. and Uddin, M.N.(2009).Heterosis in bottle gourd.*Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.* **34**(3): 465-472.

Rahman, M. M., Dey, S. K. and Wazuddin S. (1991).Study of yield, yieldcomponents and vine characters of some cucurbit genotypes.*BAUResProgress***5**: 75-85.

Rajkumar, M., and Karuppaiah, P. (2007). Variability studies in snake gourd(*Trichosanthesanguina*L.). *Plant Archives*.**7** (2): 699-701.

Ramchandran, C. and Gopalkrishan.(1979). Correlation and regression studiesin bitter gourd.*Indian J. Agric. Sci*. **49**: 850-854.

Rana, T. K., Vashistha, R. N., and Pandita. M. L. (1986). Genetic variabilitystudies in Pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata*). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* **15**(1-2): 71-75.

Rao, M.N., Roy, P. V., and Reddy, B. M. M. (2000).Correlation and pathanalysis in segregating population of ridge gourd.*Crop Res. Hisar*,**20**(2): 338-342.

Rashid, M. M. (1993). Vegetable Science (in Bangla).1st ed., BanglaAcademy.Dhaka. Bangladesh. pp.265-336.

Rhodes, A. M., Bemis, W. P., Whitaker, T. W., and Carmer, S. G. (1968). Anumerical taxonomic study of *Cucurbita*.Botanical Garden Press.NewYork .pp.251–266.

Saade, R., Hernandez L., Montes.S. 2013."Cucurbits".Purdue Horticulturepp.70-75.

Saha, R. R., Mitra, B. N., Hossain, A. E., Jamaluddin, M. and Hoque, A. M. M.M. (1992).Genetic variability, character association and path coefficientanalysis in pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata*L). *Bangladesh Hort. J*.**20**(1): 59-62.

Sarkar, S. K., Marity, T. K. and Som. N. G. (1999). Correlation and pathcoefficient studies in pointed gourd (*Trichosanthesdioica*). *Indian. J.Hort*. **56**(3): 252-255.

Shah, S. R. and Kale, P. N. (2002). Yield component association analysis inridge gourd. *J. Maharashtra Agril. Univ*., **27**(2): 197-198.

Shamima Sultana (2011).Genetic diversity, correlation and path co-efficientanalysis in sweet gourd.MS Thesis, Dept of Genet. Plant Breed.,Shere-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka.

Sharma, A., Vidyasagar, K. and Pathania, N. K. (2000). Studies on combiningability for earliness and marketable fruit yield in cucumber (*Cucumissativus*L.). *Himachal. J. Agril. Res*. **26**(1 & 2): 54-61.

Singh, G. and Lal, T. (2005).Correlation and path analysis of fruit yield and itscomponent traits in muskmelon (*Cucumismelo*L.).*J. Crop improv*.**32**(1): 102-107.

Singh, R. K. and Chaudhury, B. D. (1985).Biometrical methods of quantitativegeneticanalysis.*Haryana J. Hort. Sci*. **12**(2): 151-156.

Singh, K. P., Panda, P. K. and Singh, A. K. (2002).Variability, heritability andgenetic advance in ash gourd (*Benincasahispida*).*Haryana J. Hort.Sci.* **31**(2): 139-140.

Singh, R. V. and Ram, D. (2003).Correlation and path analysis in musk melon.*Indian J. Hort*. **50**(2): 124-128.

Swamy, K. R. M., Dutta O. P., Ramachander, P. R. and Wahi, S. D. (1984).Interrelationships between yield and other quantitative characters inmuskmelon (*Cucumismelo*L.)*South Indian Hort*. **32**(6): 334-339.

Thakur, J. C. (1970). Genetic variability and correlation studies inwaterermelon. M. Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.India.

Umamaheswarappa, P., Krishnappa, K. S., Murthy, P. V., Adivappar, N. andMuthu M. P. (2004). Correlation and path coefficient analysis studies inbottle gourd (*Lagenariasiceraria*(Mol) Standl.). *Env.Eco*.**22**(4): 636-640.

Vashitha, R. N., Pratap, P. S. and Pandita, M. L. (1983).Studies on variabilityand heritability in watermelon (*Citrulluslanatus*).*Haryana Agric. Univ.J. Res*. **13**(2): 319-324.

Vijay, O. P. (1987). Genetic variability, correlation and path-analysis inmuskmelon (*Cucumismelo*L.).*Indian Hort*. **44**(4): 233-238.

Weinberger, K. and T. A. Lumpkin.(2005). *Horticulture for povertyalleviation.The unfunded revolution.*Taiwan. The World Vegetable Center.

Yadav, M., Jain, S., Tomar, R., Prasad, G. B., and Yadav, H. (2010).Medicinaland biological potential of pumpkin: An Updated Review. *Nutri. Res.Rev.* **23**(2): 184–190.

Yawalkar, K.S. (1985). Vegetable crops in India. Agriculture Horticulturalpublishing house, Nagpur. pp.158-162.

CHAPTER VII

APPENDICES

Appendix II. Monthly records of air temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and sunshine hours during the period from April 2018 to September 2018

Month	Year	Monthly average air temperature (^oC)		Average relative humidity (%)	Total rainfall l (mm)	Total sunshine (hours)
		Maximum	Minimum			
April	2018	36.4	22.9	69	88	8.1
May	2018	38.8	24.6	67	201	7.5
June	2018	37.6	23.5	79	586	4.2
July	2018	33.7	22.3	84	602	3.1
August	2018	37.5	18.4	88	312	4.5
September	2018	28.2	17.8	81	205	4.3

Source: Bangladesh Meteorological Department (Climate division),

Appendix III. **The mechanical and chemical characteristics of soil of the experimental site as observed prior to experimentation (0 - 15 cm depth).**

Mechanical composition of soil:

Particle size	constitution
Sand	44%
Silt	38%
Clay	18%
Texture	Sandy loamy

Chemical composition of soil:

Soil characters	Value
Organic matter	1.44 %
Potassium	0.15 meq/100 g soil
Calcium	3.60 meq/100 g soil
Magnesium	1.00 meq/100 g soil
Total nitrogen	0.072 meq/100 g soil
Phosphorus	22.08 μg/g soil
Sulphur	25.98 μg/g soil
Boron	0.48 μg/g soil
Copper	3.54 μg/g soil
Iron	262.6 μg/g soil
Manganese	164 μg/g soil
Zinc	3.32 μg/g soil

Source: Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI), Khamarbari, DhakaAppendix IV.

Analysis of variance for different yield contributing characters of 20 pumpkin genotypes

Characters	d.f	Leaf length without petiole (cm)	Leaf breadth (cm)	Internode distance (cm)	Days to first male flowering	Days to first female flowering	Pedicel length of male flower (cm)	Pedicel Length of female flower (cm)	Number of male flower per plant	Number of female flower per plant	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit Breadth (cm)	Fruit weight (kg)
Replication	2	0.938	1.209	0.316	3.017	4.267	2.154	0.331	0.417	0.017	23.320	73.92	0.127
Genotype	19	16.882**	14.696**	17.863**	29.961**	64.571**	69.979**	4.437**	23.483**	10.460**	85.082**	289.82**	1.043**
Error	38	0.252	0.417	0.271	4.122	6.284	1.487	0.074	1.031	0.525	6.502	12.06	0.021

** indicates significant at 0.01 probability level.

Plate: Initial growing stage of experimental plot

Plate: Initial growing stage of experimental

Plate: Flowering stage of experimental plot

Plate: Fruiting stage of experimental plot

Plate: Collection data of fruit of experiment

Plate: Picture of data collection from fruit of experiment